16 September 1969

Dear David,

A page of considerable importance was included in an order I received last night from the Archives and I enclose a copy herewith (CD 5 page 400). The importance lies in the last paragraph and will, I believe, be selfevident.

I suggest that you re-read the relevant section of Joesten's book (Oswald: Assassin or Fall-Guy?) and the chapter "A Strange Arraignment" in Accessories. It is fully evident now that our assumptions and reasoning were correct and that Oswald was <u>never formally charged</u> with the assassination of JFK!

That is a great deal, in itself, but of considerable importance also is the inescapable evidence that Curry, Fritz, Justice of the Feace David Johnston, and It. Baker conspired with each other and committed perjury while testifying under oath by certifying to a wholly fabricated "arriggnment."

The FBI and the WC, with page 400 of CD 5 in their possession, "overlooked" the collective perjury and published an account of an arraignment that never took place.

A consequential question arises: Was Oswald in fact removed from his cell on two occasions after midnight? Or on only one occasion, for fingerprinting and mug-shots? And if he was not removed for the stated purposes, why in fact was he taken out of his cell at such an ungodly hour?? Was he interrogated again? Did he give information--about the real engineers of the assassination, and/or about his own connections with federal agencies--which in effect signed a warrant for his execution less than 36 hours later?

We are dealing here not with a mere "outstanding error" but touching a sensitive nerve signaling sinister events in the dark of night in the secret confines of a police building, events in which the Warren Commission became an accomplice and which it knowingly concealed. You may think I take an exaggerated view of this, since we have already had so much proof of malfeasance and worse on the part of the Dallas police, the FBI and the WC, but the fact is that each new vindication of the inferences and assumptions made by the critics fills me with renewed rage and shock.

Let me know what you think.

Hurriedly,