Dear David,

I have received some more CDs from the Archives and am enclosing copies of FBI reports on interviews with Julia Postal, Johnny Calvin Brewer, Harold Russell (the late), and Ray Rushing. I have copied these for you because they either contain information which is new to me, or they reinforce points already made in Accessories.

Postal leaves little doubt that the police were after Oswald, not just "a suspect," and for the JFK shooting, not just the Tippit shooting, regardless of what the WR states on these points.

As to Mr. Russell: his fear of being murdered was a little premature but not entirely unjustified (see Accessories).

Now, when we come to Ray Rushing, I am sceptical. Is it really conceivable that anyone in Texas or in the USA was unable, on 4/2/64, to identify a photo of Jack Ruby? Maybe the FBI is stupid enough to let it go at that, or maybe the agents were so inept in the use of English as to say what they did when they intended to say that Rushing could not identify the person in the photo as the man on the elevator -- in which case, why did they not show Rushing the live Ruby in the flesh?

New subject: In the FBI tables of contents, under "CD 897," there is a listing which refers to the name "Gandy" appearing in Oswald's address book. I have re-examined the address book (CE 18) carefully, without locating the alleged entry "Gandy." Did you have occasion to look into this? If so, can you indicate just where in the address book I can find the entry? I am interested in this as a possible indication that there have been omissions or excisions in CE 18 as published.

I have also obtained from the Archives (but am not enclosing because of bulk) pages 210-222 of CD 1245, dealing with the theories and information provided by Arch Kimbrough of Dallas, a "critic" of the WR who is apparently on close terms with Harold Weisberg and Bud Fensterwald and who has sometimes dropped me a line or telephoned. He has a very elaborate hypothesis of contiguity, for which I need only say that Garrison is also a practitioner -really far-fetched, fanciful, and irrelevant. What is amusing is that Kimbrough claimed to be convinced that the mainspring of the assassination was a Cuban/Castro-inspired conspiracy, and then proceeded to predicate a schematic contiguity thesis which depended largely on known neo-Nazis and right-wing ultras. It does not speak too well for Kimbrough's power of reasoning or his deductive abilities.

Don't feel obliged to reply to the sermon I sent you re the completion of your ms. It is really none of my business to raise such questions and that I did so is an indication of my intense desire for a new lease on life for our side. However, I hope that you will write as soon as you can on these enclosed CDs as well as the Givens material and any other evidenciary questions. All the best, as ever, Jylvia

Enclosures