---- Dear Sylvia.

Because of a recentvisit from my folks, and other matters,

I failed to spend my normal amount of browsing time in the UCLA library's periodical's room. Today, I caught up on some reading, and saw your recent article in September TMO. I thought was quite good perhaps will have an effect in showing the uncommitted that there is an alternate stance, at this stage, than simply joining up as a true believing member of Garrison's courtroom cheering squad.

Last week, I appeared at the JFK Truth Committee here in LA to make a minor announcement (I wanted volunteers to transcibe hours and hours of tape I have of Liebeler at Stanford, and Joe Sell at the APME convention at San Diego in the fall of 1966). Following my announcement, there followed a few questions which quickly grew into a 2 hr. question and answer period ranging over a wide variety of subjects. I expressed many of my views on Garrison, and at some length. I think it was the first time that many of those in the audience ever heard an alternate view, coming from a WR critic. Most of them simply grant Garrison the benefit of the doubt, merely because the federal govt. is obstructing his case reasoning, therefore, thathe "must have something". An unpleasant moment came when one woman stood up and sarcastically informed me that the "bylaws of the group" include a pledge to "support Jim Garrison", and that I had a nerve using their platform to criticize him. I didn't even have to defend myself, for in a second, there arose a gentleman from the floor who angrily demanded to know whether this was an intellectual discussion group, or a fan club. There were lots of nice comments afterwards. In addition, I recruited 3 volunteer transcibers.

Enclosed find a print-out from the most recent newspaper-onmicrofilm that I have read --- The Baltimore Sun. I don't know whether you will be able to do anything with the marked item, but I thought it would be of some interest. Paul Hoch has recently received on microfilm the entire FPCC file of the Warren Commission. He states that there is nothing in there about Oswald ever being at the UN.

I did a short queston and answer radio program for the university station at Santa Barbara this morning; it should be aired this thursday night. I'll let you know whatthe response is. They promised to send me a tape, also.

With best wishes,
David David