Dear Sylvia,

Enclosed find a copy of this week's L.A. Free Press, which went on sale tonight. The issue contains a long interview with Roger Craig (and Penn Jones). Both have been "on tour", all over town this week. Trying to follow them around, and tape the proper radio shows they've been on, has thrown my whole week out of kilter; one night, they were on a talk program until 3:30.

Chaig has made several points, in his various appearances:

1) the "found rifle" was not fired 2) the found shells were planted

3) A mauser was definitely found at the depository 4) Buddy Walthers found a 45 bullet in Dealey Plaza

- 5) Jack Ruby had guns and munitions in a storehouse behind his apartment
- 6) Craig printed testimony was changed, in many important details, from what he testified to originally
- 7) Large quantities of money were found on Jack Ruby's persn, and in the trunk of his car, when he was arrested

8) Comments about Will Fritz dentials, re having seen Craig.

9) How Craig came to identify Bradley

The above \$\pi\$ points, and I have probably missed a few, came out of Craig on various different talk-in shows, and appearances at UCLA.

The way Craig would phrase much of the above left considerable doubt in my own mind as to how much of this was first hand knowledge. I tried to get an interview with him, through Penn, but was unable to.

Hegarding the above points, here is what I find:

Point I He has direct, first hand knowledge, from a source in the Dallas P.D. who said certain tests were run on that rifle, and that it had not been fired. When I tried, from the audience, to ask Craig what type of lab test he was referring to, Penn Jumped all over me, as if I had committed some type of sin in doubting Craig. All I wanted was further information.

Point 2 Direct first hand knowledge; personal observations concerning cartridge positions, ejection pattern, lack of powder marks, other technical detail.

technical detail.

Point 3 This seems overstated; I think he is simply adopting Garrison's theory based on an interpretation of the DCA film point 4 Turns out Craig is reporting what a newspaper reporter said Buddy Walthers said. Highly overstated.

point 5 a "condidential source", again. No detail. Very bad.

point 6 Based entirely on his own present recollection of what he

remembers having told the Warren Commission

point 7 Same as point five.

point 8 Interesting. Apparently Fritz knows Craig for six years.

Would definitely recognize him. Implies Fritz is deliberately lying.

point 9 Craig appears entirely honest, but I just can't swallow this
feat of ex-post-facto recollection. I'm sure he is sincere, though.

(This is in the Free Press).

I feel Penn Jones is quite liberal with his interpretation of the record, and perhaps I am being too mild.

First of all----Ruby is characterized as "running XM a whore

houxse in Dallas".

Second --- the number of "deaths". He now talks of Dean Andrews comments about there being 60, and Loran Hall's "90".

There's a complete blurring, when Penn speaks, of the distinction between what is hypothesis, and what is fact.

One valuable function that is being served is that he is definitely articulating, to highly emotional and receptive audiences, what a lot of them are thinking and apparently want to hear. Everything is uncritically accepted; no decent cross examination.

Penn is practically stating that Johnson was involved, and people in the Dallas Police department; he is quite explicit about the latter.

Far too much of this is stated without any qualifications.

Example of one particularly anoying mistatement. In Lane's book, I think, they mentioned that Oswald was given articical respiraction before being taken from the jail to Parkland.

I spent qubte a bit of time looking into that this past summer. I finally located somewhere, what I think are the true facts. The young medical student on duty (whose name I found) only put a stethoserope to the chest of the wounded Oswald. A cop nearby, however, put in his report that Oswald was "getting artifical respiration"

But tonight, I heard a packed XXXXXXXXXX room at UCLA dorm told: "And they pumped the blood right out of him while he wing there on the floor, when they gave him artificial respiration".

Craig is becoming more and more of a buff with each appearance. If this becomes more prounounced, its going to murt his standing as a "witness". "Cun tu 3 Ksurum

This morning, I addressed a packed high school audMitorium, with my twin-screen slide presentation. It was most successful. I haven t given a talk since I last spring.

The sponsoring group was just a group of interested high school kids who I met recently. I showed them one of my more recent phone bills (this month's is \$343). So I got some money, to help with expenses, and they learned a lot.

I have a lot that I would like to tell you about the entire Kerry Thornley affair. I am preparing some materials, and letters, and I wuld like to send you "copyxx sents". If Kerry really does come to trial, Garrison will have committed "PE 8 1951", only this time in court. This will be a whopper, and this whole affair with Thornley, knowing as much as I do about it, is very revealing about Garrison and the way he operates. Best regards,

But