

7-1-65

"Inaccurate" - Eisenberg
doesn't recall document.

Has impression that it
was not recently made

This appendix was one
of last things done

2 purposes for firing
at Aberdeen: 1) accuracy
(Simmons) and 2) wounds
(medical people)

Dallas police - E. doesn't
know how long they had
the cartridges and how
accurate their findings were

Scope mounting ("left handed")
was "hearsay evidence"

E. and many of the
"bureau agents", all of
whom were rt. handed
the rifle had no problem.
"Cunningham may have
left handed"

** E was not aware
that Kleins ad featured
a different rifle. ~~W~~
Would like to see a copy
of the ad.

E. has rec'd previous
inquiries, usually does
not comment because he
feels it is "not in good taste"

Assumes there are errors
in the report, surprised that

none have been turned
up.

availability of this ammo
"Fairly certain is not made
currently". Not sure,
however, when it was
last made. Doubts if it
was made any later than
1944.

Doesn't believe that Klein's
exercised great care in
filling orders

E. "never went down
there" (to Dallas). ~~Q~~

Pictures at the window:
SS took earlier set than those
published

Most of the WCR criticism E. has read are "frankly, out of its mind"

If "we would have tracked down everything possible, we would never have rendered a report"

Behavior of "Fritz, and those others leave a lot to be desired", especially re Ruby

"Every sentence in that report is a finding of probability" (re Jarman)

E. never felt that O. lied about the big things

(as opposed to his employment record, etc.)

E. was inclined to take O's diaries "at face value".

E. would not "be shocked out of my boots" if evidence turned up that O. had accomplices.

If E. was Jenner, he would not answer S.M.'s letter because it would be "indiscreet".

E. has replied to only one other inquiry - a Cornell student, under Hacker, writing a Poli Sci thesis