

Warren's Conclusions Doubted by Attorney

Columbus Evening Dispatch
Columbus, O.
... 218,146

NEW YORK (UPI) — The third book in recent months questioning conclusions of Warren Commission in its inquiry into the assassination of President Kennedy will be published Monday.

"Rush to Judgment," by Mark Lande, a New York City lawyer, published by Holt, Rinehart & Winston, is presented as "a critique of the Warren Commission's inquiry into the murders of President John F. Kennedy, Officer J. D. Tippit, and Lee Harvey Oswald."

IT IS AN exhaustive presentation of Attorney Lane's case that in the Warren report the "evidence against him (accused assassin Oswald) was magnified, while the evidence in his favor was depreciated, misrepresented or ignored."

Kennedy was murdered on Nov. 22, 1963. Lane said he became interested professionally when he felt that "a concept of instant guilt grew around Oswald as various media simultaneously broadcast the claims of the Dallas police that Oswald was the 'lone assassin.' These words alone encouraged doubt."

Lane wrote an article saying that the Dallas district attorney's evidence, as published, contained "inexplicable contradictions." In December, he got a call from Marguerite Oswald, mother of the dead suspect, saying she had read the article and asking him to represent her son before the commission.

"I ACCEPTED and thus began an investigation that has continued for more than two and one-half years," Lane said.

After studying the Warren report, going to Dallas seven

times, interviewing witnesses extensively, Lane has concluded:

"THE FORCE of the evidence is inescapable — the case against Oswald as the lone assassin is refuted by the very witnesses upon whom the commission relied."

Lane also asserted that "the FBI report devastates the commission's conclusions that all of the shots were fired from the rear and that they were fired by a lone assassin."

ENC 14 48*

AUG 14, 1966