News (e) SPRINGFIELD, Mass, Circ. 98,899

JUL 1 1 1966

Inez Robb Says Now the Scholars Study Report on Assassination

By INEZ ROBB of whites, blacks, reds or yel-The assassination of Presi-lows. It's Quite Possible dent John F. Kennedy has been an unexpected windfall Now it is quite possible that to only one sector of the in-at sometime some investigaternational economy: The pub-tor will be able to prove that shing business. Both at home and abroad a victim of a conspiracy, delishing business. steady stream of books, chal-scribe the conspiracy and lenging the conclusions of the name the conspirators. But no Warren Commission, tumble one has done so to date. from the presses. So far all Or an investigator may be the critical volumes have vehe able to prove the pet dissent mently disputed the findings of American critics or the of the commission that Lee Warren Report. This ques-Harvey Oswald acted alone tions that Oswald did the deed nd was the sole assassin. The favorite dissent in Eu-all. Implicit in this theory is and was the sole assassin. rope, Latin America and Asia the belief not in a widespread (as well as in certain home-conspiracy, as favored by grown factions) hinges on the foreigners, but in the compli-"conspiracy theory." Euro-preas and Asians, so much particularly the conviction of whose history has been con-that at least two persons fired spiratorial, will not be per-the lethal shots. suaded that President Ken- However, no one to date nedy was not the victim of a has been able to name any domestic and-or political con-individual in cahoots with Osspiracy of the right or the wald. Or to point a finger at

left, of capital or labor, or anyone in place of Oswald. Rush to the Press In the United States this summer there has been a race on to get into print with a brace of books critical of the Warren Report. Viking Press has hit the stands first with "Inquest" by Edward Jay Epstein. Now, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., has upped the publication of "Rush to Judgment" by Mark Lane from its original publication date of Sept. 8 to Aug. 15.

No critical judgment of either book is implied here. But I do make a judgment on a letter addressed to me (and no doubt thousands of other newspapermen and women) by Arthur A. Cohen, vicepresident and editor-in-chief of Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.

f It is obviously not so much a letter as a press release. And it outdoes in overripe, overwrought prose any other r press release that I recall in a lifetime in the newspaper business.

The opening paragraph of Mr. Cohen's letter reads: Some books are so horrible horrible by virtue of the directness and savagery with which they assail commonly accepted opinion—that we feel obligated to ignore them.

"At our peril, however, we ignore books whose horror consists in the devastation they do to popular historical conviction.

"When the issue of such books is the procedure and methods by which a Presidential Commission of Inquiry, presided over by the nation's highest judicial officer, inquires into the murder of its President, it is a matter, indeed, which, however horrible to consider, must be considered.

I have not seen "Rush to Judgment." So I cannot tell if it is as horrible as its editor says.

But I have been exposed to Mr. Cohen's prose which is, in ny judgment, inflammatory, rresponsible and in extremely bad taste.

Mr. Lane's book may be ruly horrifying, but surely tot half so much so as Mr. Johen's letter, its overtones and its "terrifying commercial ales pitch.

....-<u>\</u>---