JUL 1 1 1966

Branded 'Terrifying'

INEZ ROBB

President John F. Kennedy has get into print with a brace of books is the procedure and methbeen an unexpected windfall to books critical of the Warren ods by which a Presidential only one sector of the interna- Report. Viking Press has hit the Commission of Inquiry, presided tional economy: The publishing stands first with "Inquest" by over by the nation's highest ju-

from the presses. So far all the date of Sept. 8 to Aug. 15. critical volumes have vehementcommission that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone and was the sole assassin.

The favorite dissent in Eurfactions) hinges on the "conspir- hart and Winston, Inc. acy theory." Europeans and Asbe persuaded that President Kennedy was not the victim of a domestic and-or political conspiracy of the right or the left, of capital or labor, or of whites, blacks, reds or yellows.

NOW IT is quite possible that at sometime some investigator will be able to prove that the young President was the victim of a conspiracy, describe the them. conspiracy and name the conspirators. But no one has done so to date.

Or an investigator may be able to prove the pet dissent of American critics or the Warren Report. This questions that Oswald did the deed alone or even that he did it at all. Implicit in this theory is the belief not in a widespread conspiracy, as favored by foreigners, but in the complicity of two or three persons, particularly the conviction that at least two persons fired the lethal shots.

However, no one to date has been able to name any individual in cahoots with Oswald. Or to point a finger at anyone in place of Oswald.

In the United States this sum- to popular historical conviction. THE ASSASSINATION OF mer there has been a race on to Edward Jay Epstein. Now, Holt, dicial officer, inquires into the Both at home and abroad a Rinehart and Winston, Inc., has murder of its President, it is a steady stream of books, chal-upped the publication of "Rush matter, indeed, which, however lenging the conclusions of the to Judgment" by Mark Lane horrible to consider, must be Warren Commission, tumble from its original publication considered.

ly disputed the findings of the either book is implied here. But Warren Commission's Inquiry. . I do make a judgment on a let- is such a horrifying book. 'Rush ter addressed to me (and no to Judgment' persuades me that doubt thousands of other news- we know terrifyingly little about papermen and women) by Ar-|what actually transpired on Nov. ope, Latin America and Asia (as thur A. Cohen, vice president 22, 1963, in Dallas." And so on. well as in certain home-grown and editor in chief of Holt, Rine-

It is obviously not so much a ians, so much of whose history letter as a press release. And it has been conspiratorial, will not outdoes in overripe, overwrought prose any other press release that I recall in a lifetime in the my judgment, inflammatory, irnewspaper business.

The opening paragraph of Mr. taste. Cohen's letter reads: "Some books are so horrible - horrible by virtue of the directness and commonly accepted opinionthat we feel obligated to ignore

"At our peril, however, we ignore books whose horror consists in the devastation they do

"WHEN the issue of such

"Mark Lane's. . . 'Rush to NO CRITICAL judgement of Judgement,' a Critique of the

> I have not seen "Rush to Judgment." So I cannot tell if it is as horrible as its editor says.

> But I have been exposed to Mr. Cohen's prose which is, in responsible and in extremely bad

Mr. Lane's book may be truly horrifying, but surely not half so much so as Mr. Cohen's letsavagery with which they assail ter, its overtones and its "ter rifying" commercial sales pitch