

EIGHT SIXTY LATHROP DRIVE
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305

July 27, 1967

Mrs. Sylvia Meagher
302 West 12th St.
New York, N.Y. 10014

COPY

Dear Sylvia:

You or your publishers may use the following comment for any public purpose that you wish, including advertising the book.

"If, as seems most unlikely, those responsible for suppressing the facts about the death of President Kennedy are ever brought to trial as accessories after the fact, Sylvia Meagher's scholarly book might well serve as a prosecution brief. It is a massive, thorough, and accurate work and it contains some material not previously explored. Those who cannot accept the Warren Commission's conclusion will want to read it. Those who believe the Warren Report should read Accessories After the Fact. It seems doubtful that they will then be able to retain their original view."

Not for publication -- but for your own eyes -- I would like to observe that I approve of loyalty and your display of it to Mr. Arnoni and his publication: "more so than any other other single periodical of any persuasion." However in an appendix that deals with the press in which The Minority of One, the introduction to a paperback, The Nation, The New Leader, The New Republic, the "devastating appraisal of the Warren Report by Dwight Macdonald" in Esquire are mentioned among others, the failure to refer to The National Guardian is obvious and astounding. Its first issue on the subject was the subject of a major New York Times story. This was reprinted in brochure form and many additional tens of thousands of copies were sold within weeks. It was also reprinted in Europe, Latin America, and Asia. The National Guardian sponsored lecture tours throughout the country on the subject and public meetings in San Francisco, Detroit,

Chicago, and Philadelphia as well as a meeting at Town Hall in New York. Through the original article I was introduced to Marguerite Oswald and thus my official role began. Through the meeting in Philadelphia I met Vince Salandria and Harold Feldman and thus they became involved and later wrote articles which you have mentioned. If the role of the periodical and not its "persuasion" is all that is significant, then it appears to me that this oversight should be corrected.

Good luck with the book and if I can be of any service please call upon me.

Sincerely,



Mark Lane

ML: kh

*This is a copy of a
letter a section
of the*

