



Mark Lane : ASSASSINATION, ESCALATION

In mid-August, Holt, Rinehart & Winston will publish "Rush to Judgement," an independent study of the JFK assassination by Mark Lane, 39-year old lawyer and former New York State legislator. The book will also be published in Spain and in England. What follows is an excerpt from an interview with Lane by Wendy Sonnenberg.

EVO: Who in your opinion benefited from the assassination of Oswald?

Mark Lane: Well . . . of Oswald?

EVO: Right.

Lane: Or of Kennedy?

EVO: Of Oswald.

Lane: Well I imagine that whoever wanted to prevent the full disclosure regarding the events of November 22 benefited from the murder—possibly.

EVO: What were those events?

Lane: Well obviously if Oswald had lived there would have been a trial. If there was a trial there would have been a full disclosure as to what Oswald did on November 22, I think. The reading of the record compiled by those who investigated for the Warren Commission, by the witnesses who testified to the Warren Commission, demonstrates quite clearly that Oswald could not have been convicted of the assassination of the President in the first place. And in the second place, the evidence would have shown very plainly that at least two people were involved.

EVO: It is your opinion that Oswald was framed?

Lane: Well, again, we move into the area of guess work . . . I think that the record shows clearly that what the Commission said happened on November 22 and November 24 did not happen.

EVO: It is your opinion it is not, that Oswald did not shoot the President?

Lane: I am rather old fashioned about these things. I believe in the presumption of innocence, which is theoretically the cornerstone of the American criminal jurisprudence. There is no evidence which compels the conclusion that Oswald was in any way involved in the assassination, and I, therefore, presume that he was not involved in the assassination. Insofar as the Commission's conclusion that Oswald was the lone assassin, that is an asinine conclusion and one which is rebutted by the facts because there was no lone assassin and no one—Oswald, or even a superior rifleman—could possibly have used that antique weapon which the Commission claims was used as the sole weapon and secured such effective results.

EVO: Do you believe that Ruby shot Oswald out of feelings of pure patriotism?

Lane: No, I do not. The fact is that Ruby, who claimed, after he had killed Oswald, to have been such a lover of President Kennedy, was among those who were present in Dallas on November 22nd and, according to his own

statement, did not even take the trouble to walk two short blocks from the DALLAS MORNING NEWS, where he was involved, he claimed, in a transaction, a business transaction, placing an ad for his Carousel Club—another club in Dallas—in the newspaper. He didn't bother to walk two short blocks to the Presidential route so that he could see this one man, the President of the United States, who he professed to love.

EVO: What are your plans after the book and movie are out?

Lane: Well I expect to be lecturing a bit in connection with the release of the book and the release of the film.

EVO: You've done a lot of lecturing, haven't you?

Lane: I have lectured at 75 to 85 universities in the United States, and about a dozen countries throughout Europe.

continued on page 14



Kaplan photo

CH3-9422

Assassination

EVO: Don't you think once the book is out you won't need to lecture any more? You can go on to another subject?

Lane: Well, I think that when the book comes out, there will be a renewed public interest in the assassination. While I'm not anxious to continue lecturing, because I think that almost everything I have to say about the assassination I have said in the book, and I would like to go on to another subject, I think that there will be an opportunity to advance the effort for pressure to force the government to conduct an adequate investigation anew to determine what took place in Dallas on November 22nd. And it seems almost as an obligation to stay with this matter until such time as that effort has either failed or succeeded, but has concluded in any event.

EVO: Don't you think that there are other subjects, such as the war in Viet Nam that require your concern and attention now, as President Kennedy is dead, as Oswald is dead, and as you've devoted so much of your time to this subject?

Lane: Well, the war is a massive war and is becoming much more massive. I'm not sure that the two matters, the war in Viet Nam and the assassination are unrelated matters. President Kennedy, two months before his death, announced to his administration that all American troops would be out of Viet Nam by the beginning of 1965, and we then had 17,500 men in Vietnam, and 1,000 were withdrawn from Viet Nam by President Kennedy in September, '63 and in November, '63, he withdrew another thousand men, and we were down then to 15,500. We now have a quarter of a million men in Viet Nam as a result of the policies of his successor, and the New York Times promises us (while relying upon Administration officials, of course, for the source of their information) that there will be 400,000 men there before very long.

Fifteen thousand five hundred, as a part of a reduction program of Kennedy. And almost a half million under President Johnson, as part of an escalation program. I think the two matters are not entirely unrelated. Although President Johnson likes to pretend that American participation in Viet Nam is the American program and always has been.

It is in fact, not so. It is the Johnson program. As the war in Viet Nam and its massive escalation is the Johnson War and the McNamara War in Viet Nam, and very different from the policies enunciated by President Kennedy during the final days of his administration. Nothing concerns me more at the present time than the American effort in Viet Nam.

EVO: You're for the withdrawal of all American troops?

Lane: Absolutely. Precisely. I believe in self determination. I believe that the national aspirations of people in every part of the world must be respected. And it is certain that what we are supporting in Viet Nam represents almost nothing in terms of popular will of the people of that country. There are two parts of Viet Nam—north and south. We deal only with the south.

Eighty to eighty-five percent of the south is controlled by the National Liberation Front. Eighty per cent of the twenty percent which is controlled by the government is controlled by the Buddhists, who oppose the administration. So we are left with, really, General Ky, his cabinet, and a few members of his family, for whom we are asked to send American participation and help and for which we are asked to bomb North Viet Nam which is not a party to this conflagration in the south, and participate in the use of chemical warfare and gas warfare and a whole series of other activities including the torture of those prisoners of war—a whole series of activities which have been outlawed at the Geneva Conference, and outlawed by other treaties to which the United States is a signatory.

We are involved in an immoral activity there. Our goals are immoral in the first place. And our methods are immoral. And I think every American citizen has the right and the responsibility to be ashamed of that which is taking place today.

CLUB NOTES
LA MAMA E.T.C.
(Paris and Copenhagen)
Members Only

WAR TAKES NO VACATION!

UNITED PROTEST AND MASS RALLY AGAINST THE WAR IN VIETNAM

Saturday, August 6th
(Hiroshima Day)

Feeder Marches—merge at 12:30 p.m. at Times Square
Rally Begins—2:00 p.m. near Rockefeller Center

*In solidarity with those American youth—GI's and those facing the draft—who do not want to be involved in this immoral war.

*In sympathy with the victims of napalm and other atrocities of the Vietnam war, and in compassion for all those—Americans and Vietnamese—who have been killed in Vietnam.

*In memory of the victims of the Atom Bombings of Japan.

FEEDER MARCH INSTRUCTIONS

Three Feeder Marches, designed by Peter Schumann of the BREAD AND PUPPET THEATER, will assemble at 10:30 a.m. in the lower East Side area. They will converge upon Times Square at 12:30 p.m. along with 10 Feeder Marches from various parts of the city. The Assembly Points are:

1. Educational Alliance—East Broadway & Jefferson St., 10:30 a.m.
2. Tompkins Sq. Park—East 10th St. & Avenue B, 10:30 a.m.
3. Shuyesant Park—15th Street & Second Avenue, 10:30 a.m.

Sponsored by: Fifth Avenue Vietnam Peace Parade Committee
5 Beekman Street, Rm. 922
New York, New York 10038
(964-0070)

the new bohemia

Cont'd from page 3

attitudes. There is no doubt that this has been the wish of every new Bohemia, but the current one differs in seeking more to enlist than to exclude. It is somehow simpler to slide into it, the only prerequisite being an open mind about its aims and assumptions.

Behind this difference lies the fact that the audience for the creative New Bohemia is so often an integral part of its creative activities. Moreover, it is an audience whose character is unique to the extent that it does not seek entertainment so much as a sense of participation. Too, it is in attendance less to judge than to identify with, to support experiment in the mutual search for an awakening and deepened use of the senses.

The importance of the physical as a reference point here cannot be stressed too strongly. The Combine Generation seeks and respects a visceral knowledge of life, and seems to treat the brain as simply one more organ of the body, almost as if it were trying to close the cliched gap between the intellect and the emotions. There is tremendous faith in the unconscious and the uninhibited, as well as in the autonomy of the body.

To be outrageous, to be sensational, to be abandoned; these can result in chaos or genius. For the moment, it is chaos that reigns in the New Bohemia because of the undisciplined over-reliance on Combination—of brain and body, of boy and girl, of public and private, of black and white, of performance and audience, of one's inner and outer self—which has not, and perhaps cannot, truly come about.

The movement's identification with the distant American past and its rebellion against the immediate past and present are still too adolescent and unruly to produce, as far as the arts are concerned, any mature works. So far, it has produced germinal works, works of promise and talent, but no major one.

What it has created—often stunningly—is an atmosphere in which conventional values and responses can be unsettled or shaken up, if not blasted apart. Living as it does within a set of its own conventions (the inevitable adjunct of any new movement), the Combine Generation comes as a necessary antidote for the poisonous claustrophobia it considers itself heir to.

From the book, *The New Bohemia: The Combine Generation* to be published in August by Shorecrest Inc., New York.

TOMPKINS SQUARE BOOKS

proudly announces
the publication of the first issue

THE GREAT SOCIETY

Robert J. Richlin, Ed.
New Work by Ginsberg, Bremsler,
Helitzer, Matson, et al.
On Sale \$1.00 at

Tompkins Square Books
97 Ave. B 982-7190
Open Noon—Midnight 7 days a week
Mail Orders Accepted, Add 10c postage



EAST SIDE BOOK STO

17 St. Marks Place

new paperbacks* foreign periodicals* local poets
magazines* underground publications

Specializing in cinema, urban sociology, drama, po
contemporary American and foreign fiction, psycho
literary criticism, Vietnam, Latin America, Etc., Etc.

for the best in books, paperbacks,
little mags, underground presses,
and publishers' overstock—

Eighth St. Bookshop

Greenwich Village's Famous Bookshop,
17 West 8th Street