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naceral.


 your rectret tor ecmment on pour peper dealing vith hoadonothon erd zapzaden. In
 to cmant as in road, whioh, of course, te mot as good as motding and then goim











 Especibliy le tais true won with uncritios pretonded otroightpomerdeanese you say,
 celbe anclyais becouse it wee sopareve mron the other rethvant bvidence.












 Avarbz who wouxd evidence thst Zapruder bod jug led his camerg, probebly in





 to dutve some soft msterial Sormardm as you doseribe tast direction, Tas suficient




 of $Z$ glones Tou moy do this, but in order not bo forget, you ghould also study this bood motion sin huchmore.

If that in sll you aby and onsacem aboub whet happene: to metarial once
 subject to musa parity sut abumantly man conatabonthy reported, twat most of the
 existhag meadathtons of the wound s)?
















 In the manes you heve ased this wo w, or mble propelled ar waploded? knd hat















 gotion miese I sum entioly Lost.



 conoulved rocused wo betieve, ae yura diae


 duplicgtine 19 fpe and the different shutver ppeod I tuink mobe Perpendicular to the inne of fildeth hos to be wong howe so te prone posithou, whicheqer direction
 and, if from the tront, the angle owla ar ve been sterper then the elevetion alona




Dease do not misunderatend me on testingos the officisl veraion's
probity. Dak Borabble gn I pent to coneiderable trouble and axpense to chock out wat the EBT, ostentatiougly, dxa not. foun we could axactiy durliteto what
 tals coull be po. (Some of dents.) Bomever, you sait for extical coment, anch thet is mat I m trying to give, intentine constmetive wopose gna hoping to corestall what i regard as at beet an inevi ithbie, apother, zycophantse, gut=ot-context
 also what one provat the mond mat an the zotation of the marman tho serthe



1. noxt come to the desg iptuon of wast hoppened to the bule t, and onf rotinod of the earitor ish uge, oss used mssonite axcept ware it was required. That did you gad to the nelon to replace the boneof the head? kow did you compensete for






 Gomprisons et 24 Ts 16 speed.

 bosys
 erpress kast secme to me to bo a comonasgnte ampebengion of componntin exgeracetion


 not getyulated Lenticas condtronss
 the ghat hed been fron the front, the sproy also woul heve be ffototare the fremb.

It Is mute frong to say that becouse twe fillis omned by Lids you eanot


 proven wrone to begin uth. Wou con and shoula paproduce the fromes. for soweons in tha soientifle somuntty ney bo able bo project an umocetanajpa jour discipline,

 not sceurate to esy wo slloge omy that "constiarghla motter.e.vas pjected ba ckurd end to thelecte. Hoet went other than to the fronto kin, I hepe yo are not forgetting
 telle you about taty. I hope you shso realize bhat you are gesumint the pristine condtrion of the fim. I, for one, noulon't-sal have wat I xegerd se rearon not to, moln more then yiu are sware of, as I've triod to Ladicta to you, banc mable to tol you what I maot.

"All of the fremes aroune the heal shot are"not moltahod


 discipling not ibe one, forensic seiones, with iaprecise formulatione only betng


Eepectally in the eontert of current logel proeecdines:
may, tomessee

Your work will be in this ontert, se an genswer, whether on not you so intend, babi do usist thet you oncider th. Thate Etuff gill be in oum for some time. Hhis ts an intrucion into that and will be misused by the government watever your intentions and miatever your protester ofer mouse.



 were imparts lour apponix may chow thia, but pour text shoula in luab it an bay rele Want atecusction, if caly wo procot poureolif and poux repubations








 tian empay cen go ong woy



 disqualify whis papery ind is mot rubber o bother epproxination of skin tat melonwat on tapor



andif oll deponds on the aetalls of tha struetureot the parget" mat hind of selence $1 s$ it to ignore whot mor closely durlucter the renthe end been conc usiona on mat in 20 shglo mospect duplacateos Next, you succest whet wo h



 of "hongeons thesis, neod 4 bey 4 begun by prodictikng you were working atith a etrav
 "corrected sor when not tho bezinotng nor end could be known, of thetho por hod, ier example.. Ialso sea no scceleration between 312 end 313.






















































in. Vothine could be more sppropriate. Dit becsace you reel La buivwes this so strongly, and esp chally boenuse he has, in thepast, palmed off ay copyrichted

 see fit a statement in which ha describes this as selontifically prectse and
 clasely as it is possibie poz him to, and he stares has reptration and honor on the validity, inm physice and all other sciencos of whim io has moviedge. Unless the ro


 reputation survives $i t$. iis aporopriations, no doubt, will I woula ala hik him to specify what da meana by "the dixection of thamberisi ajects from tha revident"s
 what you or he have yet to identify aeen in the $z$ fremes.

 untixa you that thes rubbich. I have boo much respoet, roally amipation for yous to gey mothing of personal like, to a celve you whit a cancy-coated veraton. I at ply
 is fotmoes, becouse this 19 only pege seven. I should acknowlodge the dectus of
 consider thet when angidering what I'm saying. I do monlage axtreas entipatimy to -verytitn, begiming wita concopt through formulation of wat you cell monciusionsi?


 estogee are kinge.
"grop inentally rerified" when the eloser simu ation of the man brein, bhe one used by the goverment, coenx move exactly the oppositer wieturi m merves nor ulcer can wolerate much more, so I'mabbreviating
 drop bulishiti Again, the gelatib is cloaer to proof.
"test it exporimanctely" is whe one tanne you did not do.
Why da not the Warren Comiscion come uy with fatio sacause they la cken I.A's contempt tor human intelligencepthey dared not vomit what ine vili.
 modicul evidano, avory valu andmanen'





 the first ons, so studiously ignored here. You plefor the wher man.
 non- comperison by socelled pirymies, wack hgores all relevent consthaxetions and



 "....comente on the motion os obeerved in the zen ruder film bytics...0
 context. And note the dise ppsncy betwoen the reprecontations of the IMA Fia-


 Epstein and me. If Thompeon's wos "eckentifie" then shrimps naretie Mxie. (0)

Alvarez dares call thes "incontrovertible physical evidence"? or ony of gouf
Sut it is good tho here defense of the Compscion s d 4ts "derenderst


Same exros about "oll ofnthe fromes".
 is thia an oarly and preolsely pecurate acco wht of whet cleined veriser and you do not butrose, tast the two notions were discmtimous. Xou soy other ise. The allegod necelleratlon of theco is no tribute bo Starn, for the most casual examination of
 to late 1967 , not late 1966. (bottom, 9). Vince presented finis trodine (10) to me as his oun ides. -t is a goot one. This formulation contuses between from and right of the cor with front and right of the jrestont and duphiestes the ervos aboub a aingle, a ntinuous motion.

On pege, as you mustu you bog tae quastion of bone. It is mo respone to

 bolioving I mado oo yross a miarepresentation of w om beliof. I dic make thia typo. Paet ithe thin cind of error No, I misread you. I seld the firgt wes from the roar,
 you the som rosson for thet citing bats, aince botim were publidied before thompon and both berore sylvia.
 more then grejudice ta this irtolevency quoted from -arison (21). I ignoro this lenctay main because it is irrelevent and can be decigned only as frojudce.



Nor ta CBS mortiny of more than dectain in a work of "phyoics", soI do not even reed that, etrher. I'To noted, in ackpotmis thot "a 11 ghturb is obviously


 widt not to describe the parallel fith the mone:

18 aro you duabrvitinet thet thome was at thet inetant a 15 mon wind ma thet it where had boci it wuld have had tula offect? In any bvent, there was wind other then coused by the cer, which you ignore.





 y urself questions. Esp, whon the PBI PEES to note this in 2 snd momore snd then


 that they kmow tapiz busineseg whether of not that ghill to gispleged in tho




22. If you are not chetming thene wes oniy whot from the rear, be wonogt
 wonget when the oppositw must be the effect, if not the intent, or such prostituthon of (excuse the expregsion) mefence.
-tw een you have "oncushons" bout whe notions wituout conciacmetion


A1 your pratanded aiscisimers vaporize st bhe top of 25 , where tum heve

 Depoeibory Building, sine qus non for gny single essassin theory."

 attributed fo sclence shd eariy copyridited. It is not en orikinal conelucuon vith




$24 ;$ tho shtwy to heavent oout observetion tend to support the concluchon


 whe forstl.
 Terget efve as notod.
 oxnemple\%


 blon of the formess doctring, to court th necosoury, gno though e bemand for he eringi as a preliminary if rejectge by twa atations ow the ROC Lavola heigberg

