Dear Sylvia. I was in such a hurry to get my earlier letter to you today that - as you may have already noticed - I didn't check out the record on the Givens time change. It certainly is important! Belin presumably changed it from 11:45 to ~11:55 to agree with Givens earlier estimate (6H351) of 5 min. to 12. The 'innocent' explanation is that Belin realized he had made a slip of the tongue in referring khak to that estimate as 11:45. A bracketed correction, e.g. "11:45 (11:55 intended - DWB)" in the final volumes might have been okay; that would have, however, made it quite clear that Givens was so eager to be agreeable that he went along with whatever time Belin gave. So, please ignore my statement that I'm not sure the change is that significant evidencewise! I just got this stuff from Ralson, read what he had to say about it (which is weak), # and didn't check your

book (our ours!) How embarrassing! Regards, Paul

her P