

106 The following CD 81-b (CE 2003) pages were requested from the archives: 70, 71, 72, 104, 107, 159, 160, 173, 174, 175, 176, 255, 378. A letter accompanying the material indicates that pages 159, 160, 255, 378 are missing from CD 81-b. The remaining 9 pages, those received, are in each case a duplicate of another page in CE 2003:

CD 81-b page#	Identical with CE 2003 page#
70	105
71	106
72	108
104	74 (almost)
107	108 (sic)
173	180
174	181
175	182
176	183

107 CE 2003 p74 seems, on the surface, innocent enough: A couple of typographical errors, corrected, plus the possibility that one or more of the "hour" entries might have been filled in later - after the exact time info had been located. However, my copy of CD 81-b page 104 seems to be a Xerox of a carbon copy of 2003,74. The date/time botching under "Arrestment of Oswald" seems to be due to neither of these two possibilities, but rather a deliberate erasure of both date & time followed by a change in the original material. When advancing the paper in a typewriter an inch or two for an erasure & then returning it, it is possible to come up with some vertical re-arrangement. Especially if you are making carbons. But what won't ever happen is that you wind up with a half-space horizontal error after making your erasure. It is the sort of thing you come up with only if you at some later time reinsert your work into the machine. And so it seems to be with this page in the CD 81-b presentation of it.

Page 104, in my opinion, originally showed an arraignment for Oswald at some time following 11 pm 22 Nov, possibly 11:12 pm. It would take a visit to the archives to tell. Unfortunately, all printed sets of the 26 probably do not look the same - the 1st set to come off the presses will probably look slightly different from the last set to have come off. In my set, there is no hint of ~~##~~ erasure in the date "23", making it appear that that information had been filled in when it became available. But in p 104 there is evidence of erasure. In the 2003 version it appears that the typist may have ~~#####~~ an erroneous "11:35 am" <sup>e</sup>nt<sup>n</sup>ry, replacing it with "1:35 am". However, page 104 shows the last figure to have been anything but a "5"... and the "am" becomes "pm" when looked at carefully in the light of a certain peculiarity of the "p" in the typewriter used. To believe in all this as having been a "typographical error" would be to believe in there having been three errors in about seven spaces, plus the typist removing the entire work from the typewriter in order to make an erasure.

The attached p 104 from CD 81-b will be an X generation copy. A good thing to look for at the archives would be a better look at this. Hope it will not evaporate before anybody gets there.

108 Of all the "off the record" breaks everywhere, the one in 7/296 has a flavor of its own. Holmes's experience of "...Sunday morning about 9:20--", the one he didn't get to tell about, was clearly leading up to something concerning the Investigators ~~##~~ the investigation participating in