

December 3, 1969

Dear Sylvia,

Thanks for your letter of the 26th.

I didn't complain to Masterman, to avoid drawing attention to Davison, but I will restrict anything I send him in the future, and I will be careful indeed about what I send.

I agree that Brener's book is surprisingly good - much better than the excerpts in the Times-Picayune ~~indicated~~ indicated it would be. It gives a picture of Garrison and the way his mind works that is consistent with what I have observed from other sources and seen for myself (he reminds me of Dean Rusk), and Brener's obvious admiration of Garrison's ~~forensic~~ forensic skills and his ~~attempt~~ attempt to be fair make the net result more devastating. Quite the opposite effect of Epstein's book, which I just reread in part. ~~Epstein~~ Epstein even quotes badly out of context - just like the Warren Report - and the flaws in his logic are so gross that I am hoping Harvard didn't rot my mind like that.

I ~~haven't~~ haven't heard from Lifton since a letter from him dated 11/12; that kind of gap is not unusual. When he returned my CD's he sent them 4th class, insured but without special handling, so they took from 11/12 to 11/18 to get back,

Sincerely,

*Paul*