

House Select Committee on Assassinations

Hearings on JFK Assassination

September 1978

JFK Hearing

Wednesday 6 Sept 1978

<u>Day</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Subject</u>	<u>Witnesses</u>	<u>Page</u>
Wed	9/6/78	Shooting; photo evidence	John, Nellie Connally, Robert Groden	1
F Thur	9/7/78	Autopsy	Baden, Humes, Mecht	13
Fri	9/8/78	Autopsy, Ballistics, NAA	Petty, Lutz et al; Vincent Guinn	18
Mon	9/11/78	Z film, Acoustics	Hartman, McKamy, McCaghren, Barger	21
Tues	9/12/78	Z film, Trajectory	Mckamy, Canning	32
Wed	9/13/78	Oswald	Marina	36
Thurs	9/14/78	Oswald, Backyard photos	Marina, Jack White, McKamy, Kirk	52
Fri	9/15/78	Backyard photos, Kosenko	McKamy, Kirk, Hart	64
Sun	9/18/78	Mexico City	Duran (tape), Azque, Mirabal	74
Tues	9/19/78	Mexico City, Secret Service	Castro (tape), Thomas Kelley, Rowley	85
Fri	9/20/78	FBI	Ford, Cooper, McCloy, Rankin, Katzenbach	85
Thurs	9/21/78	Warren Commission, D of Justice	Helms	102
Fri	9/22/78	CIA	McNally, Kirk, Snow, Hunt, Witt, Hess	126
Sun	9/25/78	2 Oswalds, Umbrella Man, Deaths	Earl Ruby, Jack Revill	148
Tues	9/26/78	Ruby, Organized crime	McWilley, Aleman, Traffante	163
Fri	9/27/78	Organized crime	Trafficante, Salerno, Griffin	179
Sun	9/28/78	Organized crime, Warren Comm.		185

Notes 3 main areas to investigate: ① who did it  
 ② did fed agencies perform adequately -  
 ③ did the assassin or his assigns have = weight.  
 Was there a conspiracy? The 3 areas have = evidence is in.  
 Will need to write up the evidence in Document - will  
 write final report then. If new leads uncovered  
 in the hearings, will pursue them. Will keep  
 hearing notes & chronicle trial. HSC only  
 may have given such an impression. In evidence.  
Evaluating evidence. Went to examine all the evidence.  
 But does not a minimum test of credibility.  
 But does not a minimum test of credibility.  
 HSC will write a complete history record. Do not  
 look for daily presentations, will do  
 ① facts (surviving JFK unit files)  
 ② scientific evidence (autopsies & ballistics)

Pfeifer (Chairman JFK Sub-Com) 3 General themes  
 ① Who involved, if any - hard evidence, old & new  
 ② Evaluation of performance fed agencies like FBI CIA DDCI etc  
 ③ conspiracy theories - climate 1963, forces that had  
 (B) conspiring means & objectives.

No final judgment reached yet on any of the  
 issues. R: course of investigation & data -  
 presented & re-visited by many.

Trips to many cities (385) here and abroad. 1548 interviews 2  
interviews. To witnesses in exec. session. To 4/30/68. 2  
forward over 500 CIA FBI As the file down in 100's is 16 pp.  
238 FBI volumes = 5000 pages on ~~the~~ alone.

Panel on autopsy, tomorrow.

Perine (Ohio) investigate will continue 4+3 pres.  
after Sept. 1. Will interview + + witnesses, write final  
rep. etc.

Blahay, on meaning of the life and death of JFK. Was  
it penitent act, or have meaning? Report of JFK  
immigration speech and what followed. Points  
about life - "Buy 1 life - add over, etc. Don't  
JFK in CIA "splinter" - to the wine". Pronoun  
to Cuban exile Miami return thin fog & free cen.  
Weedon = White K. Berlin. Dang WW 3. JFK did  
not back down. Tasks various measures kept up.  
Military. Airlines & Rogers = contain Castro  
& communism. Nuclear testing. Berlin Wall. UN.  
Send 4000 missiles. Specialist. Resolved nuclear  
treaty over Pacific. Oct. missile crisis Cuba.  
On Civil nuclear weapon. June 1963 speech at  
American University. NBT. Civil rights, violence  
in Alabama 1961 freedom ride, riot, in Birmingham  
RFK on phone to MLK. James Braddock. Confidante =  
Gov. Wallace. 1963 April 19th attack on ~~agent~~  
Birmingham - police dogs - fire hoses - world wide  
coverage. JFK & RFK vs. Hubert Humphrey.  
War on drugs. Palme. Personal use of K's vs  
mob. RFK → life page. JFK popularity down  
because of civil rights stand. / needed a lot.  
Revised Ad protective measures. Despite over  
JFK risk of crime to Texas (after Hill's etc.)  
Why did he go? It was political. JFK liked  
motocross.

Connally (or Hulen) suggested by giving Connally, Don't Dig Connell

Yes, he did have leading role in event last year & JFK  
visit to Texas. Came in spring 1962. LBJ said then  
JFK wanted come Texas. He was in primary little &  
not interested in presidential visit. Did win primary  
vs De Yturriaga, then faced tough general election.  
So kept putting off JFK visit Texas, despite continuous  
& repeated pleading by LBJ & JFK. Q we, you?  
A knew JFK, supported him in Miss. Pres in 1956.  
Was his boy of Now! Normal & natural. No longer  
JFK wanted @ home & @ where his political position  
in Texas. Clear to all that was his purpose. At that  
time JFK not popular in Texas or in country at large.  
He was looking to 1964 campaign. He resisted the  
visit. JFK always became moderate & conservative  
feature was mark supporting him. Twice, he met  
JFK in El Paso in summer 1963. LBJ there also saw  
O'Donnell. JFK present Texas visit again.  
He then agreed & had a visit. Said, when went  
to Gove? JFK said, step home 4 or 5 \$-room  
dinners. Suggested Aug 27 (LBJ Biday).  
Bad time, August. Said yes to mistake. Left late  
open, later named present proposed for trip.  
LBJ continued to raise son of 4-5 \$-paying dinners.  
Come to DC early Oct 63, went see JFK, told him  
mistake (4-5 dinners). Warned against ignorance  
that JFK only purpose was funeral visit. Then  
he suggested prevent non-political visit in Houston  
Dallas - Ft Worth - Austin. JFK said OK work  
out to KOD & we will pick date. He was  
surprised that LBJ was not present in Oval  
Room, later claimed LBJ very indiscreet  
Connally for not telling him no date to JFK.

At El Paso and in Oct 63 discussed the Jungs types which JFK & others agreed in Texas with people, the moderate & conservative who did not like JFK. Sen. Johnson felt he was being pressed out, while Capo wanted help JFK come to Texas had gathered split. Mosbacher untrue. Problem was in DC between Kali - LBJ. Re patronage. Not hard metting to do w/ JFK trip Texas. He organized 8-9 men group to work out the details of the visit. Originals, was the 1-day visit his other 2 short.

(Exhibit: DME Sept 1963 first page story re JFK visit)

He was no migrant on him, has no Spanish memory of it. People involved in planning mainly (needs to everyone in his office! State party officials (needs to phones). JFK arrived 40-72 hours before trip to Dallas. Came to Houston for opening dinner - everybody had the speech. Had interview in San Antonio → Lawrence Medicine Center → Houston Town & West Hotel dinner. Concluded from "Jaboty", "Khalid" & later from other info, participants included in various events. The Bureau, FBI came from - Jacobson - Marvin Lester - were serious differences between phone etc., and Connally men, an minute. Biggest controversy was on a handshake in Dallas, which Connally people lost. Burns was pulled out & Bill (?) took over. (Moyers?) In car he - very hot very little conversation - JFK & Tandy. Because of the strain of the whole effort & public contact - the role. We also concerned re picket hostile placards etc. We never feared physical violence (despite LBJ ugly incident 1960 - Stevenson 1963). One protest sign in Dallas (K Johnson) which JFK did see. In general they had been "wonderful".

Mosbacher was having carpet cleaned. Left Austin & met JFK on arrival at San Antonio.

excited & nervous. Reception in den & front was great. Large crowd &  
very enthusiastic. JPK was very pleased. In San Antonio most were  
book part was raised. The dispute between Galveston & LST  
was always to them during the Texas visit. Was a horse in  
Marion. Y refused ride in car to LST. Was the top of the town.  
JPK heard about it, put his foot down, ride = him other or  
he'll walk. On Dallas - describe route of motorcade -

Break to show film

Blobby acted. Hunted around at  
home field, TSBD in front of car. Carried to 6 P.M. in  
"where Hto Doctor at this moment". Shot no act. 2 film.

Mollie Grimes Heard a noise, did not think of as a gun shot.  
Turned to her right, JPK entered room & both knew  
he said O, plunged in seat. John had turned to his  
right, shouting "No No No" As he turned back, 2nd shot  
fired & hit him, true shoulder, his hand still in  
front of him or on his knee, he plunged, the other  
he was dead. She pulled him down and laid him to  
fire, into her lap. They did not die & fire.  
She never looked back again. John hit  
They have shot my husband. She heard 3rd shot  
& felt matter cover, Jackie said, they have killed  
my husband, she did not scream. No one did.  
We silent terrible drum. John fought, got into  
Trauma from. Hand convulsion. Saw 20 knife &  
artillery - weapons. She knew (was dead, according  
if someone else took care John (as seemed to be true).  
They took him to OR, she followed John stretcher,  
walking away 3½ hrs of surgery.

Connelly (describes all from 10th St on) 150-200 ft when  
heard rifle shot, thought it came from book over his  
rt. shoulder, turned to see if could see fire,  
did not see him, was turning to look over left shoulder  
book as seen, straight ahead, he was hit, knuckled  
over, just collapsed over by force of bullet.

Conrad was rendered to Hood, knew he was badly hit. No sound over, pulled him on to his leg. Then another shot fired; heard only 2 shots. Did not hear shot that hit him. Heard it hit a personnel support dinner part blood & brain all over station. I can walk over them clothes. Were both covered. In his torso, arms as large as little finger. Kallmann pushed buttons on panel, said "Let's start to fire fast. After he was hit, said "No No No No" in despair. Said, my God they're going to kill us all. The 2 shots came from same direction, behind us, not from any other direction. Blasted out until parked H. His 1st thought was they were not be able. Get JFK out unless he got out & went. Tried radio himself but could not. In周恩来 Room began to feel increasing pain. Feels very very strong, as said to W.C. will never believe he was hit by 1st bullet. Heard 1st shot, reacted to it. Was not hit by 1st bullet. If he - JFK were hit by same bullet was not 1st bullet. ~~Walls~~ Heard 3 shots, but 3 reactions; 1st shot JFK and shot John, 3rd shot, all this matter. She said JFK held his thumb before Tamm hit. She says at the 3 shots all came from right rear.

Devine (Ohio) (to Nellie) Does not know which way the was looking when and shot hit Gov. C. Did not look back after Gov C hit. Cannot say if shot were from rifle or handgun. (To Gov C) Both shot came from behind his right shoulder. (Says gun pointed, going over same ground as before. Shakes head.) Gov C to cover head, was not the burns, was just Burns. Had no pain except that well be any problem an unfortunate point. No reason expect acts of violence — over pocket or pleasure.

His objective to motorcade was purely to have TDK wear a tan  
and to conserve time. Does not know if any discussions held to  
fix a route & motorcade. Never did agree to motorcade,  
but was asked to publish the route, but was overruled.  
Plan was crazy to publicize the route, but was overruled.

to Thurs.

Soyer (W.C.) re inf. re motorcade route. LHO started  
at JSD on 10/15/63. His mom used to know Kennedy TDK  
→ Dallas. Connally Sept. 26 Story said "1/21-22".  
Lived not far from private route until Tuesday. No one  
knew before then. Was it normal route? Yes, normal  
& logical way to go, the roads were easier, closer  
a parallel route.

Fauchting (DC) precision re timing of parade & detours?  
Who else knew exact order of processions?  
Gorc (Rep), LBJ & Stevie, Ken O'D, Texas Gov & stop &  
Aff. Dem. party Leaders. No previous release, so no  
access. Process always viewed as a city & the country.  
By Oct 4 very late in disclosed to Barker.

Pekinminn (Com) when decided on Trade Mart? Does not  
recall precisely, but a couple of weeks before visit.  
If Women's Reg shown, what some pre Deleg plan.

Doss (Com) reprise of the shots, sequence of events?  
Barker got 2 shots under pretty skin long echo? Not aware

of my echoes. Sure those photos were gone.  
Soyer (W.C.) re Gorc familiarity & files.

(Skipped about 1/2 hour of answers)

Gorc (Chair Statement) Operates triumph - thunder.  
HDC like WC with free fun. I know 2 gave a presentation.  
Fearful will not be able to follow all the problems. But  
hopes not will shed new light. Fears will not be  
any end to rumors & theories, no sunset?  
HDC into stats.

Re effectiveness of FBI, J. C. A. etc. He has jurisdiction over it when he can  
beas. / Treasury, feels pure H did but had no way to thwart a  
determined assassin. (Depends H as a law protection) 8.

Poss to 2pm

finger session will be devoted to 2 film, esp. head - progs &  
Hock apparent angle is that there plunging, appears  
313. Hock apparent angle is that there plunging, appears  
2 he shot from front. O'Leary Based on all the information  
& experts on both sides, believe it perfectly conceivable  
with shot from back. Panel depends on wounds - but a entry.  
But autopsy details have been written held until now.  
Hock HSC will have to X-ray him to explore very  
closely. 2 film + experts = shot from front.  
All that evidence will have to be reconciled to conclude  
of shot from behind. Hock The circumstances of the  
autopsy were not fully X by WC as much as  
show trial. A X exp never used by WC. Went  
receiving by several panels. Appears JFK brain  
not available for examination. A has created speculations.  
Ol Holmes was not an expert in either case and HSC  
will so find a PP of all pros will be answered,  
Hock HSC lost work complex under wings. Disagreed  
did not pursue original idea of an open investigation  
per Dolly when HSC set up.

per Dolly when HSC set up.

Blaking re WC closed hearings - 26 volumes - out of business -  
In 14 yrs, much contact commentary from Friend  
Response. HSC was entitled to write - writers &  
researchers. Some responsible & responsible to himself  
denie disclosure trust. Others to negotiate, left  
1977 several cities → DC → ideas & HSC,  
proposed Grindley for their views, Robert Fosder  
on photo evidence, was consultant to HSC on  
photo, the unique position to articulate  
current issues for HSC.

Groden Major Rep as consultant was to present three issues  
that could be presented, addressed. He addressed all issues 9

those which he felt had merit and others as well.

Colemaned the scientific panel, TSB rep or about 12 X.

In various issues, his opinions have changed quite drastically.

On others, remain the same. Re shots, we evaluated

3 shots, 4.8 - 7.9 seconds, 2 hit. (Reviews we find)

in shots & wounds) Excl. P-273 (extant in present

Blowout 7 hrs. Luminous & relative positions at X 7

first shot. Bare problem is their alignment on both

horizontal & vertical plane. Bullet was hit at 315-318 deg in

left side. Bullet was hit at 315-318 deg in timing

mid-in to hit where it did. Reactions to shots and timing

are also problems raised by 2 film. Explain why

purple-blood theory is presented to justify a lone assassin.

Must be 43 frames return JFK & GRC shot but

Frank Lee in fact. Describes his 2 technique for

photo enhancement. Photoscoping.

Z film shown (3x)

Instant, Roberts, reported James fan 2 film, Nos. 225  
230 237 238 274. Green emerges each

frame in 1st single-bullet theory. At 238 Oliver bullet, 274

Perkins undeton. GRC shot. At 274 GRC

Wrist visible, he was holding rim of hat in hand.

(Re direction of bullet) — At 313 hand shot. Thrown  
overlateral back to rear & left (suggested) going back.

2 film from 2nd camera. Explains reversal of

film from 2nd camera. Explains reversal of

314 and 315 discussed by critics of WR effect and

to make it appear JFK was found after

to take it down.

There are photos of TSB D at X 9 shots & etc.

Ex. F121, 122, 123, Blowsout of TSB D photos.

One is from Hughes film, 2nd frame of 6 of window

Then Dillard photo, taken 3 sec after first shot.  
Then Dillard photo, taken 3 sec after same

Dinner, Johnson photo by Powers, 30 sec & after same  
of blowing window. None shows any person.

the Hughes film' is appearance of another in LHO window  
also in next window. In Dallas, night shows same dated 10  
of same person in window. WC did not do any enhancement to  
photo enhancement, to best of his knowledge. Q any photo  
with beam, or WC finding, no other difference than LHO?  
Ex F 126 125 129 155 267 274 being entered in  
record. F 126 & 128 are Altgens photos, with blow-up  
of Deltex fire escape. Behind cover of retarding web, is a  
Will's photo. Behind cover of retarding web, is a  
firing, never ID, on down knee, a tötting of running away  
a hairy chisel. Could be a German. Q. F 267 & 274  
are same 413 of Z, showing a head of someone.  
He thinks it is same man as in Tucson photos.  
Seems he rifle-like object. Not clear. His film  
= Z 313 Shows what appears to be man in close  
military firing position. 2 major issues raised  
here F 130 exhibit, which is (Will's) of Lubbock man  
during & following assas. by Miller, explains who he was  
& Miami tape. On 4/2/63 Miller made plane and  
from Dallas saying, won't see it went according to plan.  
Next day, said, see, it went according to plan.  
Q in Dallas, might be in photos. In crowd photo  
by Altgens, is man resembling Miller. F 124-125  
entered in record. WC rec'd Miller evidence  
pertaining in record. WC rec'd Miller evidence  
pertaining in record, did not act on it.  
Never and it work, did not act on it.  
F 131, 173, 174 entered, being 4 & 7 photos of 3 things.  
Hunt-Sturgis allegation, opinion. Also photo of Fred Lee  
Crisman = short traps. Miller died 1972 in  
fatal accident (exploding stove) Crisman also died.  
In Altgens photo, man in down (F 126-127)  
however photo HHO = rifle, F 179.  
2 photos found in garage. (CE 133-A 133-B). Describe  
photos, pointed re 133-A HHO claims was false.

WC had FBI authenticate the photo, concluded was shot by LHO 11.

Conner, found no signs of fakery. later F 270 which is showing different shape  
showing LHO real face & face in 133-A showing different shape  
of chin. Jones raised, many, just creases is pass &  
rifle vs height of man - shadows vs angle of face.  
Evidence of crop or paste line in chin. Duplicate  
photo is height of man - later F 208 which is series of photos  
of rifle. When you line up given points, they fit to  
of rifles. When you line up given points, they fit to  
correspond. Behind previous by WC cutin Jack white.

Gas jets with air jets of rifle find on way - of Monson -

and length of rifle apparently ordered by LHO, also

gas have been raised about authenticity of pre-war

photos of LHO. As to A & P, originally claimed

by critics because of markedly differing descriptions

- different from each other & from autopsy doctors,

later F 132 & 166, which are 3 photos LHO + one

Murkin photo & his height. 5'9" vs 5'11". Changes

Murkin "doctor" to make him 5'9" while  
Dealey 2 large). Been an imposter ID or imposter LHO

- other theory is 2nd LHO incidents in Dallas etc

before case.

Prayor Just an intro & comes raised by various

critics, might? From 2 film is it Groden  
opinion that 1st shot hit late JFK = Dr. C?

Groden struck by at least 2 (if not more) separate

bullets, before the head shot.

Dennis He got C turn to right, 2 film shows him almost  
facing JFK. Groden accurate. Dennis As he turned

back to front, what was his position when hit?

Groden From W.C. 8 started turn left & was hit

as he got a little left of center - from 236-238.  
Jannaway re Dillard's similar photo - would concern

pick up smoke patt? Groden yes, it could, but if  
night mot. During CBS 1967 reconstruction  
shined rifle fired from TSB to punch smoke  
seen.

Fighting

why no smoke in Dillard? Groden See 2 photos 12.

appear to be smoke - in Mormon photo. Witnesses overpass noted puff of smoke. Is preparing an exhibit of clear area of fire w/ clear puff smoke. Groden Given sample from which 2 film taken and possible man, where standing? Groden Same as in Willis & Mormon, in court of concrete retaining wall, see Willis 5. Dodd Reprise that Groden merely ID

area of controversy raised by critics. Dodd Groden here as genuine cont'd and also questions his testimony, to raise issues posed by Continental Community, later will hear from technical experts who will deal with each issues. Fred When Jackie crowded out is there photo of what she pushed up? Groden This is very delicate issue! From her testimony, appears she pushed up piece of slate floor, backwards from impact of shot. Willis 7 Shows her pushing it up. Father (Stippled gun) Groden In my opinion, Mrs. Govt. C. gives accurate description of what happened, but his opinion is subject to change.

Sawyer (re puff of smoke) ever try photo smoke? Groden He didn't come, see smoke but CBS film shows large puff smoke each x rifle fired, father fears audience will get confused, wants edge clear is only showing list of witness. McGraw clear so, only laying foundation for later technical expert testimony.

Groden Thus opportunity present issues here. Trees appear again. In January 1975 release, prints & films. Downing, latest HSC. Some issues have changed. Some were never credible in first place. Some he never did believe. Will like come back later.

Discussion

2 film 94. Could see head explode. Was a

13.

mind-boggling experience! See all those photos! What were most poignant pts? Hoch. The head-explosion. Others vary greatly in credibility. Hynes will do more stammering, not merely attempt to write them off. Only an unimpressive man, seems only true & credible of Spaemann (Neville Chamberlain). First of these comes with go away. As to Milteer, photo resemblance different to see. But his story as such will not help him. Did Gordon know about it in advance? W.C. never did it. Did Gordon actually represent Marky the contractor? Generosity, yes. Commands him.

Thursday 7 Sept. 1978 (Spaemann & ~~Marky~~ D'Alary)

O'L There were 2 autopsies (?)

Bliley autopsy created most controversy ... his version was to prove Gretchen from any other angle again. Pts. Perry & Carrie had same injuries round - they seemed to fit as "contrace" round. Other pt. has supposed clandestinely in descentra head wound. McKeehan said "last temple" Clark "near I head". Shaw-Gregor skins to Connelly. JFK to AFL → DC. Mrs K. decided autopsy at BMT. Others designated, close Kennedy & Fitzgerald to assist. Others in attendance (Pts. of Milteer, personnel). Collins & Burke, authorized Humes do full autopsy. Found wound in open book. Didn't know decision not to dissect brain. Bone discovered in lumbar region & D.M.T. Brain retained by Johnston. Humes called Perry, decided was an exit wound. Numerous news reports, cites NY Times, various stories. Test FBI rpt Dec 9 reflected Robert D'Alary, July Feb = might better theory. Warren reported to have seen the A.Y.-P. (E 399 controversy). Epsstein reported "no hit" despite PBT agrees to Humes autopsy. Have cited "gentry word"

Tusk 1967 2 photos, one front one rear. History of A&P. 14

1966 review by Hanes et al. 1968 Fisher panel review. Head wound

up by 4". 1975 Rockford C review covered a Fisher

panel. HSC felt original examinee at medical exam  
violently, location head wound, back and Z 3/3, 1/1 factors

patients, authentication of A&P, "Final diag", etc. etc.

Concord panel 2 photos - one: prints w/ short Weston  
Two: Doe, Davis, Forum, Party, Lee Rose

Moderator: Dr. M. Bushen. Presenting

① basic conclusions ② detailed critique of autopsy  
② write report ④ res. One res, re-opening  
deserting, Dunn, HSC also tried locate  
mining material, victim, JFK brain. 30 + asked.  
But not able determine what happened to missing  
but not destroyed evidence. Remains materials  
material. Spokesman of K family, believe materials  
were destroyed - not buried & JFK.

Ide Rocks Medical Illustration (Andrew Party)

Ken Musilations were done by consultation - copies from  
A&P photos were book of head, upper limb, side  
of head, and front of throat. Used original at Rockford  
and duplicate at HSC premises. Then studied  
"Composite reconstructions" to illustrate conclusions of  
Bader panel.

Blakley asked Dr. Kevin determine if A&P were infected those of JFK.  
Quinton dental college. Also asked Calvin Mackay if  
photo were an imitation.

Kevin & Kevin Kevin Dunn grandfather & original dental ID  
process. He noted his JFK X-rays & known records.  
Archives had 22 photos, 13 of which dental, from before autopsy.  
# 255, 296 displayed, dental = Dunn X-rays. Archival  
Autopsy X-rays unfortunately thrown by JFK.

Mackay (McKamy) describes how he can distinguish A&P  
- from dental, no signs of alteration either a party  
No evidence of faking.

Diagnosis on postmortem & stereoscopic view. Considered it was extremely unlikely any alteration, however minute, could have been made.

Dr. Baden

(Kenneth Kline, counsel)

certified "Colonel John F. Kennedy was

and will be made available to funeral (per autopsy) and  
Herman Broome & Elmore were available to funeral.

Essential conclusions & anomalies. Cause of death  
determined as multiple aspects. Cause of death  
was 2 gunshot wounds, head neck & back & body.

Wounding of back, waist wound at right upper back  
(not neck) (blow-up of and same to be negated  
and singular) Panel concluded, wound of entrance  
alarmingly clean. (Entire epithelial skin jacket + tail)  
bullet in jacket corresponds exactly to hole in JFK back  
bullet shirt. (Mr. JPK 28-29 30 31 entered) Keep gloves

no bullet but fracture injury of 1st thoracic vertebra.  
Panel of wound.

Panel of neck front wound. Panel of wound.

Panel of neck front wound at site of fracture  
posterior and present at site of fracture.

Panel of neck front wound at site of fracture  
posterior and present at site of fracture.

X 7 1st shot. WC drawing, Boston and posterior  
wound about 2" 2 hi. Posterior drawing of back of hand -  
c missed. Same anterior perforation up to elbow hairline -

Posterior drawing of hand showing dystonia at shoulder  
area, photo shows 2 heads of hand. Both views show

just 1" up & down of shaft. Both views show  
common hole "explosive type injury" bottom report gave

approximately different pits of hand entrance, four inches  
below actual point.

Diagnosis of Dr. C. Womble.

Dr. DeGroote, radiologist at FBI, reported no fracture  
bullet fragment not in thigh bone, but in skin

Bullet penetration only  $\frac{1}{2}$ " into thigh

Created two bullet deepest and

One bullet came all you. C wanted to conclude that  
was same bullet that came out of JFK neck.  
CE 399 given to Baden. Panel concluded this  
bullet did it all. Especially since no other bullet  
was found. Panel concluded there were number  
of deficiencies in the Humes autopsy and with  
document there in it most (just dictum - P.H. does  
not have competence, lack of communication - P.H. does  
not have competence, and in completeness of  
preservation of evidence, and in completeness of  
the autopsy report).

Poyer P.H. has said entrance wound at throat.  
Baden not uncommon to arrive at different opinions  
after autopsy vs. examination live pt. with little  
training & ID of entrance & exit. In this case, it  
did have some characteristics of ~~entry~~ because  
it was small & round (because skin firm near tie).

Poyer why head and 4" higher?  
Baden the photos land X-rays are conclusive re location  
of head entry wound. Interim Humes/Baden/Finch  
and in all cases the 3 of them said it is 4" lower  
We disagree = H/B/F and agree to Fisher & Rockefeller panel.  
We disagree = H/B/F and agree to Fisher & Rockefeller panel.  
Humes et al feel 4" higher site is direct blow entrance  
and most a perforation. Site of main injury also supports 4" up  
and most a perforation. Site of main injury also supports 4" up  
and most a perforation.  
Does not feel there could have been 2 entry wounds.  
Does not feel there could have been 2 entry wounds.  
on low one hi - only one entrance perforation.  
No violence for more than one entry wound.

Re show testing to WC vs Purple - bullet theory,  
People have recently - he still feels SBT untenable  
but he bases self on testimony of Gov & Mrs. C.

Recd to 11:30 pm

### Discussion

Poyer Several key pts. panel tends agree to the  
conclusion, SBT.

but some wrist disfigurements. Wounds located at a distance away from original entry. Blunt pain, HSC and not fine main brain etc a severe destroyer. Distinct RPE ordered destruction. Bullet shrapnel found to have been to AKS. Now how 2 different reasons? How Pres. Shot. +<sup>4"</sup> disfiguring esp. back and down. All depends on how much irreversibility one can accept.

One strong disfiguring - fit the pair large wound flesh of authentic, no plots from front. He back wound, nurses dis's wash body but made no mention of a back wound. Re missing materials, Indian pair Clark said went to White House.

1:30 pm on tape

Murphy returns time to come

We

Tu

Th

Fri

Sa

Su

Mo

Tu

We

Th

Fri

Sa

Su

Bullock returns time to come  
D'Heavy re disfigurements  
Murphy - HSC got + & this arm  
Prufer to Bullock Oct 10 previous disfigurements had wound site  
and disfigurement to Bullock shrapnel pieces, Bullock  
got no fragments in neck. Is only dirt - debris.  
Cork fence (Fisher) different location of anterior neck wound  
They were in error (upper margin vs lower margin)  
Similarly, Humes et al raised back and 4"  
An experiment on bullet deformation? Not useful.  
If another bullet found, we have to reconstruct mechanism  
Devin to Bullock re quality of Humes' autopsy. Greatly concerned  
by assumption of jurisdiction (Reverend Jim Jones & Ed Rose)  
family control of evidence materials, leading to many things.  
Humes will testify. He has little general wound experience  
no forensic path experience - failure consult Pd doc.  
failed examinee. Asking. Failure preserve evidence.

Traveling to Bullock re wound in back & characteristics  
of exit and entrance wounds.

L. listed pt wound can have an abrasion color. Can be since  
of clothing is tight.

Tie & career, buried or rip & tear.

No evidence to cut at other pt. Did see 2 film  
repeatedly. No evidence of any shot from the front.  
Re motion of the hand - never see film shot on camera so cannot  
say what movement used to caused by shot to back of head.

Say what

McKinney to Baden - Re injury to JFK spine column & CE 399

McKinney to Baden - Re injury to wrist Baden says

Fitzsimons Re fragments in front chest & wrist Baden says fragment

(No evidence metal left in chest). In right a tiny fragment

3 fragments in wrist, one of which removed.

Unable est. how much bullet goes past but feels

easier could have come from 399. Bullet rectangular

object in brain, oblong blue discoloration, anchored

it was not metal & probably is blood vessels on

undersurface of brain cleared away.

Edgar Re rupture of head bullet vs near-penetration 399

if bullet from same batch, Baden says, true.

Sawyer re GRC "delayed reaction"

Shawyer interview Holmes - Blakesley - Revents on site of

head entry wound. Buried organ &

Notes because flattened with blood.

Blakesley interview April Weidt - on tape.

[Friday 8 Sept 70] Finger & Jack Gun & hilt

Blakesley interview Chas. J. Petty (low Delta corner). Petty buys single-bullet theory  
Sheer crap. Blakesley & New Start frantic about. This version  
(Mixed because ill)

Blakesley panel of firearms experts to render

- ① character of weapon
- ② brand 6.5 M-C machine gun from Munro
- ③ wire cutters used 399 - fragments committed to me
- ④ pellet injury - page 4 left-hand?

W. Preses are Pres, Chappigne, Bates & Langquist + George Wilson  
 but (can he make more than 4 g. power?) considers similarity to  
 numerous other rifles. (steps) accuracy fairly unpredictable, is  
 very deeply made, accuracy was as aforementioned. Power of  
 student (not related to left or right handedness, Pres is  
 no good they is a left-handed person. (Trigian) 3 lbs trigger pull,  
 (not a hair trigger).

Champagne (3 cartridges cases were) sent made on ignition  
 as in test firing of CE 139.

Bates Open. results (Company test-fires bullets = FBI test bullets)  
 were flat, case not ID. FBI test bullet is coming from M-C rifle.  
 final results comes to expect to because of no 5 & M-C rifle  
 found over the years. Result of other manufac. guns is the  
 CE 399 from same weapon as FBI test bullet.

Musquist (4 fragments found in car) (in front arm) to JFK brain  
 Conclusion car fragment from M-C rifle. Wacker bullet, Remington

Concluded car fragment from M-C rifle. Wacker bullet, Remington  
 Case characteristics to FBI test bullet.

Conclusion due to distortion of W. bullet.

Kutis CE 143 handgun Tippit shooting  
Edgar Long hot Day removed

clip, shells it an hunting  
 clip, shells it an hunting job, despite ejected, but in this case  
 flat hit. Champagne job, despite ejected, but in this case  
 found the clip stayed in after last round fire, because  
 edges ground out & were hung up in pipe. In test  
 firing (Bates) at short distance did observe some  
 smoke emitted from muzzle - the best way to addition  
 bullet, considerate anti-drink, pending de test.

Bates interviewed NAA witness. In Nov/Dec. 1963 FBI took  
 erosion spectroscopy, with inconclusive results.  
 In Aug. 1964 FBI took NAA, also is inconclusive  
 results. We did not design. Became public early 1970's.  
 Engaged Dr. Vincent Guinn, who had no bullet & WC,  
 he used for more information & accurate test  
 then FBI in 1964.

Quinn (WCC counsel) At segment from WCC's tested M-C  
WCC bullets found unusual features. WCC rounds 1 mm.  
rounds in 4 lot. Have lower antimony content than  
most bullets (where others had harder) eg WCC are  
unhardened bullets. Also, no uniformity within a  
production lot — wide differences the come from same lot.

In HLC he saw 10 different specimens, to see if bullets  
for WCC. 3 not pristine (carb Q609, must include persons  
seen by FBT; CE 579 fragment from first set, jacket only  
no lead left inside; Q15 CE 541 inside surface of  
windshield, material completely used up in older specimen).  
CE 573 = 141, unfired WCC found in Chamber unfired and Waller  
Marksmen bullet, were group 2. Five in group 1 =  
CE 399, 567 marked large fragment from jacket,  
843, 2 fragments from TTK brain, 842, 3 from fore arm  
840, fragments near ear.

Waller bullet vs five round in chamber (group 2)

WB = about 17 parts antimony 20.6 parts silver  
50% lead = 15 " " 22.4 " "

Piketty WB = WC bullet

In group 1 (5 specimens) all in range of 100 parts lead  
/bullet in mid-range of WC bullets  
Antimony = 2 parts 800 ppm 3 are 600 ppm  
2 specimens from one bullet

3 " " " second "  
2 bullets only are involved

CE 399 same as CE 842 wrist fragment

eg 842 came from bone of 399  
"marked so closely" is high probability

In Wacht opinion does not agree to facts

Fitzsimons wants closer degree of differentiation

Rebs shot New York victim —  
connect to W.C. Davies has presented any work  
if WCC or FBT

Monday 10 Sept 78

Acoustics (Rho is only) (To Vtg, Day Two)

21.

(Linn Hartman) Acoustic tests = 4 shots fired = 2 acoustics. Some & no shots came from Grassy Knob. Per HSC process. Only - one pistol & plantation might be. As agent on cap train shot back. But  $\frac{1}{2}$  sec. between 2 shots = 2 shots. That goes completely vs finding of WC. RT: HSC also plans photoes after interview = Linn. Marlin does not know if she will be called to testify. Will also see "jiggle analysis" of 2 film.

Also will do analysis of varieties testimony of some 200 people. HSC will also deal today = trajectories, time - span of shot, etc.

Heads today, no detection & timing of shot, best work evidence (unless) support WC conclusions. Evidence may well be timely, but do not draw sensational conclusions. Not yet proven & fit any theory to the facts. Wait & find out in Dec. (Disturbance by local planters, JHK & RHK killed by poster conspiracy - glue is written.)

Blakley reported WC "measuring" (3 shells = 3 shots). Refusing to determine no. shots is closer to Miami. One measure by Kook Dallen radio station, played in film, then Pto, we sent it from Kook & Specter news to Kunkin radio, heard 2 noises like gunshots. WC sent tape to Bee T. Lab, analysis apparently inconclusive (Report cannot be found).

As to witness, 2 to 6 with 3 as consensus. Different test as to origin, Jones TSBD some info. Responded "from back of me" but 2 and renumberation 15 to sure. (Pain, "from back of me") but 2 and renumberation 15 to sure. (Brennen said own name first; Johnson had self-action 2 shells diag. Many critics have alleged WC forced violence into press consistent = 3 shell  $\rightarrow$  SD theory. (Burke, Mark, Lewis, Thompson, St. 4 heard 3 shots but 52% from SK 39 to TSBD.

Thus Acoustic methods used by HSC on 2 film & sound recording, security turned up. Photo analysis of camera jiggles by Z, measuring J. Knob. Dr. Wm Hartman did joggle analysis. (Guns exploded). WJ, Johnson, Lunn & Plantation lab. Did photo plots analysis.

Hartman (Conwell, counsel) psychiatric report (1939) shows there is severe reaction to gunfire. Who present at 8/20/78 reenactment & found shot holes, has over 8/20/78 pictures were found. Did analysis in July 1978.

after excommunicated analysis findings: was assisted by Scott and  
and independent measurements using 2 different approaches.  
He measured blur in each frame at a time. Scott measured  
blur between 2 frames. (Ex. 224-225-226-227 disengaged, blur  
frames 188-191.) Threaded selected exhibits (42 film).

Scott measured another way. Any opinion who may have  
participated in investigation. (Ex. 271-372-373, 2 frames?) Measured

product in increments. (Ex. 176-177, 173 to 390 (?). The different types of framing errors. (Ex. 176-?)  
of some certain way to measure film - Always in 1976 in T. J. Murphy:  
film or position change in amt of blur. Did not look at Bureau film  
until he had done his own work = Scott. These have 3 sets of independent  
measurements of blur. In his opinion, measurement of blur = very

different to any which others are measuring to themselves. But if  
look at photo visual evidence of film 3/3 & very strong frisbe 313-319  
= shot at about 310 mm, reaction time of 3-9 frames. Date film  
190-200 = shot at about 181-187. Te oldest 210 is not  
warranted on basis film. Some photo evidence supports  
180-190 shot eg Willis series film + reaction to  
first shot at 2020 date by Willis + 2.

Blank will defer questioning Hartman until pm. Will send date &  
accompany him in Dec 77 of DFB tape. Will be by  
DP every other turned over to FBI. Obtained tape from  
Mary Janee. Selected Set B&N of Clothing, was did  
Kirk shot & 15 min. 800 tapes. First analyzed K&B  
which not contemporaneous to shooting. Then Tamm tape,  
no sound. The Garvin, & DFB said, worked on investigation,  
he supplied crucial tape & dictabelt. B&N did  
contemporaneous remanagement in August 1978 to help DFB.  
Final results only recently, awaiting lab theory analysis  
by Dr James E. Barger (Crestline) former project officer,  
h. b. center, FBI-N, author numerous papers, was lecturer in 1980-N.  
In summary, supervised K&B = 18 min. 800.

Barger HSC asked Series of questions. ① Was motorcycle in D. Plaza  
& were photos recorded? ② How many? ③ What happens?  
④ What location? ⑤ What weapon from State? Was  
able to make these determinations to some degree. Used  
holystone patterns to exclude motorcycle noise.  
Then tried dated hand & gunfire, which not clearly audible.

Used match filter device. Echo patterns converted to series of sharp jumps found on tapes. (Display: series of lines showing successive disturbance generated by a rifle.) (Very technical explanation) (Fig. 364 internal)- Describes acoustic waves from M-1 rifle. Very sharp shock waves, peak of 130 decibels. M-1 rifle = cast 140 decibels (300 ft per second vs. 200 ft/sec). Fig. 334, wave is "cavitated" (wave formation) of echo patterns in urban environment, to explain new principle. Found bounces off buildings. Reflected paths. Gives an echo detection of a plane, indicating the misfiring, by which time it is diminished.

Describes various stages of his analysis of the M-1 rifle. 5½ min. Segment = piston button on motor cycle. Digitized at 5½ min. and transmits into a graph. (Fig. 335 = 10 feet of wave form). There were sharp impulsive events caused by other radios as they lay in. Consideration of types after 15 yrs? Possibility that some noise caused by tape - increasing process is real. But that is not the principle source of disturbance. Used filters. Not available in 1963, first mentioned circa 1968. (Fig. 336-A contains technical description, including spectrograph analysis.)

The acoustic train events. At least 4 other radios were tried to transmit while button was struck. That noise also eliminated. (Fig. 335 = noisy segment containing tape & shell.)

At that point in analysis, concluded there were no continuous impulses unique to the segment 130-150 seconds, possibly also patterns. During 6 screening tests to see if they were in fact sounds of gunfire. (Describes 6 test.) (Did the tone segment correspond gunfire? (Describes 6 test.) (Did the tone segment correspond with the time span of the shooting? Did it cover at least 5 seconds? Were the sounds unique to that segment? Was the impulse attributable to gunfire? All received an affirmative result.) (Fig. 366 = Illustration of attempt arrive at best estimate of the time of the boom.) Related to Channel 2, DP, used by Curvy. Plot type is voice - autocorrelation. Arrived at best estimates of correspondence between lat time & Channel 2 time. At about 12:30 Channel 2 operated continuously.

Curvy operating triple underground. 19 feed back. Going to hospital. Room between floor 2 transmission. Therefore, heat lost, or gain is 12:30 + 12 seconds.

(Media is lost (momentarily) Estimates 12:30 + 47 secs. as time of said  
impulses (?) (Rogers' 2 cards one minute ago) Channel 1 was  
normal, Channel 2 used for species occasions, Rife motorcycle  
had switch to Channel 2 - but Rife were on Channel 1.

Were impulses unique? Examined while open & found one other  
impulse, dimension (1 minute later). Was this true - from 7  
at least 5 pins? Impulses events began 137 secs open button stuck  
2 continued to about 149 secs & open 9 10 seconds.

Were impulses constant = gunfire? Test test to see if Media  
distorted gunfire sound, using Rindler Radio from their police.  
(Ex. 368 entered, illustrating wave forms to multiple beat, and  
wave form from Mc rife.) Wave form characteristic of the  
rifle is perfectly distorted by the radio. Third screening test  
was on number of impulses. Took up 7 d. May 2 approximated  
no. of shots long enough to be audible. Found were about 10.

What conclusions at this pt? Test were just now in  
telling HSC that further testing of the tape warranted as  
accoustical reconstruction. The objective, to obtain echo  
patterns as basis for meter in matched filter detector.  
Designed a test to match events on tape as relation to  
Desired a test to match events on tape as relation to  
Desired opinion as  
D. Rife & Neenah shooting. Obtained second opinion as  
to wisdom of such test. HSC → Prof. Mark Weiss  
& Ernest Askengi to look at Ringer's test result &  
recheck if Neenah gun was justified. They agreed test as  
D. Rife shot he done. Test were then set up. First problem  
was, did not know when motorcycle was. Heard no type of  
rifle used, no info about a weapon on hand - that even if was  
rifle or pistol. (Media lost again!) Had to locate place  
Laramie - was bullet mark on carburetor - evidence  
may have been shot fired at corner of Houston & Elm,  
then had to figure out type of arms used.  
(Exh. 337 entered, showing how these patterns were plotted.)  
Positioned 12 microphones in each of 3 positions  
(36 micros) where motorcycle might have been.  
Rife & pistol were fired from J. Knoll and rifle fired  
from T.O.D. Exh. 344 entered, showing Rife  
shots from each position in turn.

spred from 6<sup>±</sup> ft. window, max at windows + maybe 2<sup>±</sup> inside window.

~~At~~, files used FB & G. Knob were N-C, photo was 38 sec. (extreme). (Decision tests in further detail, used WCC camera)

Cd. 339, 340, 341, 342, photos taken while setting up tests in D. Plots. (Did not have enough hand tape.) Well windows closed per day of exam? Hb window was 1/2 way down. These duplicate. But different windows between shots because of

test. Cd. 358-359 series photos D. Plots taken separately, showing structures not there in 1963, includes very long and late fourth of plazas. But it did not effect matching process at all.

What results of tests? 432 different test shot recording on magnetic tape, + high fidelity of each. Cd. 338 = illustrative of test patterns, six of them plus in alarm array, from WCC array. (Technique of position of code array) After test done, began comparison = DP tape. (Describe methods)

Matched code of the 432 + each of 6 segments of DP tape.

Correlation (mathematics) (binary) procedure used.

At least 9% uncertainty in location motorcycle rider.

Therefore added + or minus 6 milliseconds to each impulse.

Therefore possible correlations were spanned. Certain

numbers exceeding a coefficient of .6 were found.

(Pd. 367 entered) (List of 15 matches = coefficient higher than .6.) 4 test patterns corresponded with two segment

at 137 seconds of DP tape. 5 test patterns correlated at a different pt. At 145 seconds, 3 test patterns

correlated. Then come examination to determine which of any were false alarms. (Cd. 370 entered) No great deal of confidence that many of the correlations are not false alarms.

In sum, after all the analysis, a selection of 4 shot on DP tapes 1-2 = 1.6 secs 2-3 = 5.9 secs  
 $3+4 = \text{fraction of a second } (1/2 \text{ second})$

shot 1 = 0 Recor to 1:30

2 + 1.6 seconds

3 + 5.9 " "

4 + 0.5 " Total: 8 seconds

Pt: and J. Vols: Heard starting testimony this am from economists expert. Mr. [unclear] 4 shots fired, one from gun you know. This from 7 pounds = 3 shots on. If 4, had 2 be 2 shotters. This agrees very well. 2-3 are taken most in M-C rifle but only 1.6 sec. later 1-2 shots of course not have been 1.6 firing both. 3-4 shot = 1.5 sec. ditto 2 rifles, 2 shotters. At present time firing now 1/3 empty most of the time. People may regard this short hearing now 1/3 empty most of the time. Some newer helmets worn will us ancient history, waste of time. Same helmet Marmon will testify, also Gould Ford. Report of hearing to date: Consists to find their autopsy experts, & vs 1/4 SB theory protest. Then believes and NAA experts heard, discrediting cleavage DE 399 was a plant.

United today, all testimony except Woolf Tindall Corroborate LTC findings. This now changed by economist expert's finding. But states warning, do not lead to conclusions. He is impressed by sophistication level of HSC hearing, did a good job. He has had a "jiggle analysis" (not as impressive as concentrated analysis) by Hartman. HSC is demonstrating need to have all evidence weighed, not just some.

PT: Now knows FBI prepared and destroyed the note to HSC, which not known to us. JV: we do not know what else was destroyed by FBI and CIA. PT: might be cover up? This proves some of cover up of involvement in the case. PT: prove your tape - hearing of incendiary test.

Fithian testimony poses very serious problem as does not corroborate other evidence we have, what was it you were speculating trying to do? Berger ist to see if any auto license records on D tape. Was to determine if motorcycle was in D. Plus and then locate it there. Was able to locate "in 18 states, via motorcycle. Auto pattern was specimen, precise. Presumed was running at speed of motorcycle? No, no presumption whatever. Only after having made motorcycle was it possible to locate motorcycle moving down D. Plaza. About 11 mph. Started with his running except that motorcycle was on street, along motorcycle reservation except that motorcycle was on street, along motorcycle route. Past circa 12 miles, accommodation about 30 locations 181 spacing, which was deemed adequate. (More technical calculation.) I anticipated that motorcycle was located approximately in range of 9'. (Vols did not use equipment equivalent to that used on 11/22/63, why used more sophisticated miles?) 2 reasons. Radio distorted and limit application of lead points. Was only looking for time point, not angle. Expert used known to reliable. Old motorcycle radio circa 1963 Not reliable and not reliable in needed quantity.

spectra etc.) Norris had pointed out a hole. Virginia thought spectra contained oscillations outside than monochromatic. Is it your type continuous or discrete? You found here were 4 shots? No. But discontinuous.

Conclusion you found here were 4 shots? Yes. But discontinuous.

that if there were 4 shots, the intervals were 1.6, 5.9, and 0.5 seconds.

You are saying ... if my 3 shots fired, how do you account for the 5.9?

You can't be false alarms. At least 3 must be

of 15 minutes, 10 cannot be false alarms. At least 3 must be

of 15 minutes, 10 cannot be false alarms.

Surely discontinuous to expect four 5 of remaining 10 are false alarms.

But he was unable to expect four 5 of remaining 10 are false alarms.

Discussion of creation of target, using photo of D. Plaza. (No notes taken.)  
Discussion of creation of target, using photo of D. Plaza. (No notes taken.)  
(Note prolonged K-span of this witness vs. witness brief K-span of  
Vincent Guinn). Calls about effect of shield of cartons on  
echo pattern. Reply is, no significant impact on echoes.

Further questions by possibility of witness picked up over other

mikes from elsewhere than D. Plaza. Witness replies that  
other mikes were keeping in during relevant time - span <sup>but</sup>

patterns were quite convergent, so echoes over 1/2 second, and in witness

time pattern very intricate. So likelihood of other location mikes

is "extremely remote".

What insight if any can you offer into Govt C testimony (Stop hails together, at  
2 313)? He said in effect that often he was hit, he heard shot and  
heard bullet impact on JFK head. If bullet is貫通的, whence  
should have been removed? Yes. Then there has to be another interpretation?  
Can you shed any light? Yes. If there are 4 shots, they have to  
references to we describe. Therefore, last 2 are 0.5 sec apart.  
Govt C. heard 2 shots sounds close together at 2 313. He may  
have interpreted the impact with the shot. Or his testimony might  
indicate 2 shots almost simultaneous. If he heard impact  
sound at all, he must have heard it before he heard 313 rifle shot.

Q: Re thunderous 3rd shot from Ground Nurse, we have to get rid of it  
if we conclude only 3 shots. Without shot, cannot be easily  
explained as other than that. However 3rd sound case?  
Is own answer that 1/2 of remaining mikes are false alarms?  
Yes, is answer of random error.

F: M-C rifle needs 2-3 sec. between shot. Reply - Cases not  
allow that to affect his interpretation. Is confused to  
1.6 sec. interval.

Witness Page; we have found no evidence of more than 4 test shots

there may be four and if so in the sequence already specified.  
Now wire play point of shots from tape T4 test shot from 4  
possible positions. (Play tape Ext. 353) witness says HSC says transmitter  
of the type used, original. (Play tape Dp tape, witness says HSC says transmitter  
of this type, also a dictaphone machine as Channel 1 recording. 11/22/63.  
This type, also a rubbers dictaphone recorder, made my note recording  
and got a rubbers dictaphone recorder, then compared it by computer with tape, and found  
of dictaphone, then compared it by computer with tape, and found  
them identical in virtually every detail.

Are you satisfied that this recording passed all tests for D Plaza  
11/22/63? Yes. Any other checklist extremely remote.

F: to Committee on claim of possession - C says, yes, we have  
complete chain, from McCarran to Haugan. McCarran here  
able & testifying. (Pettman wants him next.) Also find  
collective judgment

Barger We have tried to make the most powerful detection test  
possible and to minimize detection thresholds. We found  
none false alarms, we believe chances very high between  
true in fact location motor cycle. Conclusion that at least  
2 shots very high - 3 to 6 possible false alarms -  
S. Kelland were 4 shots at times specified  
and at locations indicated: all from TSBD except  
3rd shot, from Jimmy Kimer, 4th shot from TSBD.

Hole Reply the tape & take us what to listen for &  
what we should conclude.

Barger First heard tape 4 1st X in sequence. His  
opinion of no participation voice (in how sounds those words).  
Answers his test shots to him. No 9' margin 4' even on  
location of motorcycle, 2 film + photo an only slight  
change motorcycle was but not at X of shooting.

Hole Just where was it cut each shot? That is  
uncertain, right? Yes. Therefore 9' margin was allowed.  
We had no idea which motorcycle had the key game,  
before we did the tests. After the tests, knew  
it was about 120' to behind the car. Then  
looked at film & photos & found more. And  
a moment before the shots, were motorcycle at the  
distance.

Davis calls deflection of a false alarm.  
Bridge in indicate that an event occurs when in fact it did not.  
 Only when constant exceeds 0.6 was it considered a real event.

Davis his personal interpretation of tape is 1, 2 + 4 random test noise No. 3 samples etc, another, else.

Fleifer re impact on lead shield, can he say if was not to nearer  
 as loud as sound of rifle shot? Fleifer would imagine impact  
 sound not as loud as rifle shot. Do you regard your  
 evidence as direct or primary, or any combination? Fleifer -  
 He said before that the potential is a potential cause of other  
 evidence, or a potential discrediting other evidence.  
 Is it as conclusive as a fingerprint? Or shows we have  
 resulted antisocial? The results of the tests indicate  
 it seems quite right that we can. To some false alarms  
 remaining 10 may be false alarms. In Laramie's time,  
 are you saying 50-50 chance of 4 shots? Yes,  
 goes down to that. So 50-50 chance 3rd shot may be  
 false alarm.

Dodd what is his judgment of the probabilities? for each  
 shot? Fleifer pattern of detection columns tested 2  
 (statistically) as chance over 5% factor. At least 2  
 shots, 95%. Third shot, ~~chance~~, 4th shot, 50% probability  
 shot, 95%.

Third shot would be from S. Kneel.

McKenna hears distinct differences between 3rd & other shots.  
Fleifer yes, does sound different. How can you be sure it  
 is not a false alarm? Fleifer features were noisy. That  
 pattern generator by its nature apparently uses a tape.

Ford which tape did we hear? Fleifer sounds 74 test shot  
 2 weeks ago, prepared by splicing 4 shots that  
 matched original DP tape. He directions, can only  
 determine by location of target and gun for test shots  
 that method.

Elger is confused & wishes to clarify the testimony. Before you went Dallas, you were more sure of 4 shot? After tests, you seem less certain of 4 shot. Why? Only because screening tests are weak tests, based on 6 fixed criteria.

His opinion then was, could not rule out those angles we were in first gunfire. Other hand, could not be sure they were.

Therefore, he prepared the Dallas tests. Do the 4 time frames or

free "Dallas" confrontation correspond to post-Dallas? No.

The 1st 3 died quickly, the 4th did not, but we got

2 in the 3rd. Other words, approximately correct but not in detail. Do there any test we can make to reduce his uncertainty? Yes, now that we know position of motorcycle, but the advantages to the Squires would be very marginal and might not reduce level of

uncertainty. What tell my 6-yr old son?

Tell him there was motorcycle & rider open, which caught various sounds including possibly sounds of gunfire. Test of the type planned was possible to locate position of the motorcycle at ten feet start of gunner, if it was in a position corresponding to where car was, good confidence some of the shots correctly detected.

Sawyer is perplexed. (Reprie of probabilities.) It is judgment of team that they have detected at least 2, 95%, 3, 60-70%, 4, 50%. What is your own opinion?

Reilly arrives explains as clearly as he can. Reprie asks to what he said. Reprie does not

allow greater certainty than he expressed.

Stoker individualizing shots by probabilities.

Reilly, probabilities do not distinguish among the 4. Any 2 = 95%, Any 3 = 60-70%, Any 4 = 50%.

Time interval is very long, too. If the shot at 7.5 seconds is a shot, it was from 3rd bullet.

Others, you remember a .38 revolver as used as rifle from bullet. The .38 was subsonic. Can you say which weapon fired? If it is from same, it means a rifle and no revolver.

Concluded you tell me information last night that you can give us  
data and insight on problems but it is HSC problem to  
decide how I interpret the data. So we must take data  
as per what external unquestioning can be applied to it.

Pithman Can we say as far as K distance is concerned  
best to have occurred as  $0 \rightarrow 1.6 \rightarrow 5.9 \rightarrow 0.5$  sec? & seconds  
over - see? Yes.

Barger (Gets his 5 minute) - No, thanks.  
McLaughlin Recces, N-C mass 2.3 sec, per FST. Stop has  
made its own tests of rapidity, when learned of 1.6 second  
raised spectre of 2 riflemen. Stop than did  
open, raised spectre of 2 riflemen. Stop than did  
tests = prelin, result that possible for untrained  
person to shoot much faster than FST - Sat 1.65 and 1.75  
seconds. Class 2.125 and 2.0. (No no  
improper influences. Sols to desire. (His tests did not  
use telescopic sights.) Did not use like rifle (as FST did)  
use telescopic sights. Some time, not given attention  
became you shooting from time, and might be dangerous at this time,  
a hunting night gun, and might be dangerous at this time.

The Conen (?) (to McLaughlin D/P type). (No notes taken.)  
McLaughlin Story of how he took all the evidence home because  
he did not trust D/P intelligence personnel, later turned  
the material over to HSC stop.)

Blakely back to McLaughlin. Also testimony of Caruthers.  
Complies all testing, subjects to psycho-acoustics  
analysis (what is that??). Give Dr. David Green re  
psycho-acoustics studies at Dallas Connection.  
Green describes field of psycho-acoustics, Roy has a  
Harvard lab, various experiments in how people hear  
and count distances. Discusses how people perceive  
sound in time and space. Element of subjectivity is  
present but tests can be more objective.  
Sound waves vs end waves of bullet sound.

(Off the air at this point, 5 pm)

Tues, 10 Sept 1978

Commentary indicates that Green (100 ft air) last pose contradiction to 4 shots.  
The Green was misundertood by the commentator. HSC stop took place  
after the hearing that Green had said witness who reported shots from  
9. knew even credibly in psycho-scientific terms).

Beasley

bulletins credit to SB theory & location of assassin (5).  
Reprise WC reasoning. Describes May 1964 FBI reconstruction  
17043 was WC presumed trajectory from JFK shot. Quite  
marked here. Son in ATF "considered key 7 victims they shot were  
just from J. Knob", Trich Thompson. Jaws critics was at least  
one pt in their favor as WC assumed position of assassin  
> than worked and trajectory. HSC took opposite approach,  
starts from trajectory & works backwards. It's just  
logically arguable now have to be suspicious later to take credit  
of reconstructive findings. Used 15-man panel. It  
of record est. moment when JFK - Govt hit, and their relative  
panel violence photographic team, for whom  
positions. witness McCann (?) will speak.

McCann (Counsel Goldsmith) 20 on panel, voted on issues,  
about 15 voters each instance. Used 2 film + Green film,  
which was enlarged & stabilized. Mainly frames 208-211  
were available from Green from 1st film. Panel concluded  
first JFK reaction & shot was before frame 207 (12-5 note).  
Concluded Gov. C ("1-3 note) showed same sign actions by  
frame 226. Relative positions examined and (15-1) agreed  
they were in positions consistent to SB theory. [2 film screened  
at reduced speed] [in very slow motion]

Fanger (Q+A) Study utilizes photos which only recently became  
known (new photo!). Gov. C at frame 166-167 elevation 90°  
turn to his right & looked upward. Does that indicate  
shot that missed? Yes. Then a second shot hit JFK and case  
Gov C? Yes.

Dodd (late)

Devine (no thanks)

Truth  
Re relative positions of JFK-Gov C - Photo taken by 2 at 20° angle  
Lured under it appear they are perpendicular, separated  
left & right. Displacement is actually greater at 2 angles.

just three positions was placed from a one of photos, not from a screen. Since 33.  
not of going and breaking Gov. C. Sharp movements. Single bullet hit  
back just as they went below the piers. What about a shot marker? Yes.  
sawyer about 2.3 seconds to turn first shot that missed a shot marker? Yes.  
Dodd re for a hat, what do photos show? Can he seem he has his  
hat in his hand or lying somewhere in front of him, leaning down it in his  
hand. Concerning he comes here sometimes to keep his gun open but to wait.  
But agrees that he appears to be holding his gun after he is hit.

McCahey (5 minutes passed) No comment.

Bleeding photos analysis was undergoing back from urine plotting &  
trajectory came passes by MHSI expert Hessney (airing) carrying

Hessney (General Goldsmith) high-speed bullets tested in straight line.  
Edu. F-361 aerial photo + F-133 Harvey map displayed. (or film just over  
60' above st. lane). Measured exact location of in-shoot and out-shoot  
wounds, compare orientation of hole in car. Edu. 146 did project  
(requirements to plot trajectory). This determines 3 trajectories:

2 head wounds, back to neck wound, and front wound based on  
SB theory. Wanted to determine if 1 or more firing locations.

Key evidence was Z 312 Nip + Hammer film. JFK wounds  
date previous by plugging fragments description, est. by measurements  
from X rays. Edu. 147 + 137 distances, drawing of since:  
90° trajectory relative to facial axis. Display Z frame 312 enlarged.  
"before" photo head shot. Edu. 144 entered.

"1/35" of a second before photo was made. Series of calibration photos

[antropometric dummy] 4 JFK was made. Series of calibration photos  
taken. Was very difficult 2 week. = Z 312. Could not determine  
where JFK shot was. [Dates not taken during long technical  
exposition] Circle covers top 4 holes a part of TBC, indicates  
(apparently) source of shot.

Injuries come from forensic path. Panel. Based on reference pts. on the body. At 1st, measures + notes

back → neck wounds. Injuries come from forensic path. Panel.  
Based on reference pts. on the body. In 1st wound taken at autopsy provided the data. In 1st wound

taken at autopsy provided the data. In 1st wound taken at autopsy provided the data. In 1st wound

very high in shoulder just below base of neck in back.  
1st thoracic vertebra 7<sup>th</sup> cervical vertebra (also! May have  
missed it back up!) as JFK position very unlike his normal posture.  
Mug for adjustment was made. Used Z frame 190 to get  
back → neck trajectory, because of evidence twins this was  
faster time of 1st wound. Relied on photo by Robert Best (designer)  
and other photos by Willis to determine JFK posture + orientation.  
Craft = frame Z 161. Willis = Z frame 202.

JFK is bunched  $\rightarrow$  & consists of concave & his back. Head turns 34°. Sharp to right but shoulders do not change very much when cap is worn. (Note:  $\Rightarrow$  between 11° and 18°. In sum, concaved shoulder to front when etc. (entry to right, exit to left) Shot came from TSBD, slightly to front of first window SE corner. Margin of error? About 13° radius.)

(Note: At this point an "margin adjustment" of back shoulder.) (Lo: SB theory trajectory) Got inf a Gov. C. wound from PH data and change to 5° air. When going in his shoulders would become deflected & (Note: appears he did not use his from Gov. C. entry to 55° air.) (first entry = 55° air.) Did not make adjustment in Gov. C. (first entry = 55° air.) From photo mean determined back wound, as he air in JFK. From photo mean determined alignment Gov. C. & JFK. Display 2 frames 182, 183, 196, 200, 204, 205 used to Z 186. Display of Gov. C. Distances JFK much to Gov. [Sec. 223, 222, 232, 236, 240 entries.] [Drawing of car at 2190.]

[Drawing of car at 2190.] [Drawing of car at 2190.]

His analysis of SB theory trajectory: JFK exits  $\rightarrow$  Gov. C. entry (leaving JFK entry!) produces line to TSBD. Margin of error + 5° radius. [Note: This is based on shot at 2190 over + 5° radius. Between witness and car!]?

Lawyer (Q&A) why the marked improvement in the margin of error?

The angles are different (JFK alone vs JFK + Gov. together  $\rightarrow$  exit - Gov. C. entry) [Note: There is no straight line between JFK entry  $\rightarrow$  exit - Gov. C. entry] Bullet wound here had to be perpendicular to direction in which it was fired.

In order to miss Gov. C. Does he WC tests of trajectory, was it last kind of test in 1964?

No. They were testing consistency of a trajectory & a hypothesis. They were trying to fit evidence to a conclusion. He did it in reverse.

Drew two much more severe paths in JFK in enhanced photo than in calibration photo. Many angles play James to you. So many angles in this pattern! Is a Lawyer's source of potential error in his analysis?

Sticks different slope becomes using different lines? Under SB, would JFK entry wound? Yes.

Fathman how much higher is JFK's head than Gov. C. head? 8 cm higher. (or 3") But Gov. head longer from shoulders to top than JFK does. What margin for error in head shot trajectory? If one incl. 10°, error of 15° or 35°! A curved curve trajectory about 70°. Correction: 30° error.

is very important by logic of Loring's testimony; he margin 4 ever, Devine is wrong witness of witness? Yes. Consistent = single Uncle change witness of witness? No, but consistent.

Shot JFK + Gov. C.

re Gov. C. Sharp right turn of head, body more too? He was moving forward center of car. That is his impression. Hand held out, but towards center of car. Head is his best. Was bullet open against did not change position. Did indicate this.

for \$1? Date indicates this.

McKinney 2197 targeting easy? Not affected by sharp moment Gov C 190 - 197? Yes, but concerned he made no major shift of position. Did you ascertain that back & front seat did not intersect in trajectory? Was quite close.

Fithian '6# of witness is only one 2 in all 3 margins & over? Yes, a

Dodd does Paul-Tex lead enter into margin & over? Yes, a

clear coincidence.

Fithian could be project point of head bullet? No, did not say.

Wunderlich change in range of direction, but not position.

Re moving path in JFK book → neck, was he tilted 10° → ?

Re moving path in JFK book, Given that tilt, no pattern

(no, based on Craft photo). Given that tilt, no pattern

(no, based on Craft photo). Given that tilt, no pattern

→ to Gov C book? Adjusting of posture tends to change

The "vertical". Tilt of 11° to 15° estimated (heading =

head in normal position).

Golombok 9m, how often re photo showing direction of bullet. (Refers to lines drawn on photo, question not clear.) About margin 4 ever, 3 circles or

clippings drawn around TSBD, did he take account of

possible error of 2° in orientation of JFK head?

Yes.

Bleeker used to receive need 2 consider collection

evidence to evaluate possibility that came from

another killing, hearing in mind ballistics + NMF

evidence, so there is no additional evidence in

sufficiency, so there is no additional evidence in

This record of a shot not coming from the TSBD.

Kerry (5 min) Only wishes clarify aspect of SB theory.

They est = to reliability & precision the

Right - most position Gov. C. sitting, but not

left - most " while under water. Some change

in position, moving head slightly. Relates to what happened to 9 AM, Wed.

Left - most " while under water. Some change in position, moving head slightly. Relates to what happened to 9 AM, Wed.

Wk. 13 Oct 78

Commentary: Merrin comes as called by G. US Marshall. He

WC Staff & UK citizens claimed he was a spy. He did answer being a  
Second Key Point (Russia esp., Waller, Foley, never saw LHO or KGB). Ga is,  
will she never tell truth? A contact for us, who was like? An agent of USA

agency, KGB, Ga? Did M recruit him into KGB? There is 2-LHO theory

of administration & LHO in USSR. Very little hard evidence to support  
try to prove theories. One during crisis in LHO height, photo of LHO &  
Miff has been challenged, by LHO self and by photo analysts. M. testing  
changes repeatedly, partly aiming at JFK → rarely aiming

at Gov. C. Why did LHO get such easy treatment in "defection"  
and negativism? why never destroyed by CIA?

Stokes on 2 occasions persons attempted during hearings & had to be  
removed from room. Will not tolerate any disruptions. witness  
today, M. never, all remain seated (per James Earl Ray).

Barley sketches in light & hearing. Met LHO Nov 1961, 6 weeks after  
married. To USA June 1962, to Dallas → N.Y. → Dallas. After LHO  
arrest in murder, M. testified WC & X all in closed session.  
1965 M. married Ken Porto. Oct 1977 book & interview Dec 1977

1 areas of gov; Paris - Dollars to April 63 - NO - Dallas.

Merrin (counsel J. McDonald) (she is accompanying my attorney)

James Hamilton X-Watergate Committee (her uncle never discussed  
his job). She never studies English at school. Does not receive  
whole friend called her 2 LHO at dance. Did not tell her that  
he was American. Never told her he was a Marxist or Communist.  
Politicians views never expressed in their statements. Said her  
believe she & family life in USSR. Did not then represent  
dissident to USA. After number of trips working, he started  
X desire return USA because wanted back in USSR. He always  
spoke highly of JFK, was very happy when JFK selected. Thought  
him young & attractive. Never X any negative views on JFK.  
He was afraid not to be very difficult get back to USA because he  
had renounced citizenship. Never too far no visits to USA  
Embassy to defend. Merrin left after 6 weeks, not unusual  
at her age at that time. He promises at that time he would  
never go back to USA — This was a condition of his marriage.  
When she learned he wanted to back, it was hard decide  
if go & him or stay. Does not believe if any change in  
his attitude → USA, does not know his political views  
when they met a married, nearly divorced politician  
to her but did defend USA from criticism.

He was born kind of machine at some factory. Merrin  
labor, did not like it much.

P.S. to have duty hours, work clothes; yes. Did not have many friends.  
 one, John Clark, conversed w/ LHO in English. Got letters from USSR,  
 worker from Solovki, whom they first returned from USSR.  
Prayer (9-24) No. Gave several donations to HSC & Tany will be part of  
 fund received. Was it unusual that LHO had such faith? Yes, unusual, but  
 foreigner himself, tends better than USSR citizens. Do she know?  
 Did he ever have visitors whose ID unknown then? No. He knew  
 visitors etc if wrote something in English, and not tell her what  
 it was. (Does not know what "decisions" means) Was he deceptive?  
 Not at that period of their life. Ever married? No. Even say he in  
 contact w/ KGB? No. Both assumed KGB observing them, as  
 general policy on foreigners. She had no known contacts w/ KGB or NVD  
 herself - when applying for exit visa & NVD. They like to talk  
 Their act was brusque, again assuming it was b/c customary,  
 based on foreign and name. Did LHO ever tell her why he was  
 allowed stay in USSR? She understood (later) that he had asked  
 4 political asylum. Yes, he did have "kids" in Paris.  
 Belongs to hunting club before they married but never wear  
 after they wed. He did the "nice" and clean etc. Did they  
 think their child was being opened? Then assumed it was.  
 Believes LHO claimed his envelope opened and released.  
 Any strange man who might have been watching them? Does not  
 remember. Yes. She was member of Komsomol but was  
 never active, was mere formalities, patriotic things to do,  
 was not forced to join but was "reminded" desirous to  
 join, she only paid dues, never went to meetings.  
 His references to JFK were always favorable. He had  
 uniprom life not so bad in USA as first press depicted.  
 HSO applied to Komsomol W. Moscow & very disappointed  
 when not accepted, began think of return to USA. She  
 thinks of he had been accepted, women have stayed in USSR.  
Was surprised when he first revealed he wanted → USA.  
 Ever thought LHO applying any kind? Yes, it crossed her mind  
 at times, because he was writing what might have been  
 notes in English — thought he was USA spy. Ever learn  
 what the writing was? Learned later it was his Diary.  
 Was she expelled from Komsomol? Yes, when she ~~applied for~~  
~~for~~ Komsomol. Married LHO, some time, but her brother  
 a foreigner. Ever hear JFK on radio in USSR?  
 Yes, but could not understand him.

Thinks LHO turned Radio so as hear JFK (on BBC), they had short-wave radios.  
Parlonchow says she has been present at that time but she does not  
recall comments on JFK speech, when she left USSR. She learned she had  
bridge, did not expect one to return. They went thru customs,  
no info at that time. LHO did not see no reporter at airport. Said  
we left Dallas, a possibly N.O., when he had relatives. In To-W  
Stevens & Roth. LHO got no plane calls from friends, or marks cases,  
far as she knew. off - on also mentioned relatives and JFK, but  
always conglomeration to JFK.

We Don't say Cuban friends in Mexico? Did friend but LHO met some Cuban  
friends attending university in Mexico. She did not know them.  
They never came to visit us. Does not know how often LHO met them.  
He mentioned Cubans not very happy = weather as cold or factor  
in USSR. LHO liked Castro, so said she, seemed very good fellow.  
LHO did not speak Spanish for as she knew, assumes spoke  
English with the Cubans. She never met the Cubans. Assumes  
LHO knew Cubans assassinated, Kirk, over a few months.  
LHO used loose language sometimes, but generally good or controlled, if.  
He was not violent, & unusual about his behavior at that time.  
(Re Texas 1962) She found no LHO's Mother in USSR because  
They corresponded with each other. Did she consider LHO  
dennis & 1st concerning evidence his mother? She thought it odd,  
for she knew G. D.M. He was one of their Russian friends,  
met him 1962 shortly after arriving USA. Thinks she met  
him at a lunch or dinner at a Russian friend's house.  
Thinks Anna Melian was present. Thinks LHO probably knew her too.  
Does not know if LHO met Don previously. Both liked him  
very much. Says speaks (LHO & D.W.) no JFK? Thinks  
JFK came up in conversation, Don was her one he knew  
Jackie Bouvier. Never heard her speak highly of JFK & family.  
Don always liked a lot, he was curious about changes in  
life in USSR. When LHO back in USA, she at first 2D  
back home, never & regret he had left USSR. Yes, he  
said he was contacted by FBI in Ft. W. After speaking to  
FBI agents, he was angry, felt FBI hampering his job  
financing & job keeping. They spoke to LHO on telephone.  
She thinks about 45 min or an hour. When LHO & LHO  
met, she does not recall hearing any visitors. His personality  
when returned USA began to show when last traveled/joining  
pot.

(Recall 5 min. as request in attorney)

Discussion, passed. Dem worked against Allies in WW2. Conviction & FBI Clip etc.

He claimed plot kill JFK involving FBI CIA & Dem self. His Ms. in hands p. 39. His lawyer: HSC did better estimates re plot but free HSC documents everything Dem said. Not Union of HSC had Dem Ms. Dem me of large no. people who knew Davis even pen-palities. Maltese marriage seems particularly parsimonious. Problems they married about or why she came to USA = HSC. Four critics consider they were husband and wife spy team. Her motivation seems very hazy: she "does not release" except Lito always informed to JFK. Wonder if she passing us on? Think she is smart. From we are? Nezando said o in HSC file indicate, he was an agent.

Murkin (McDonald) HSC became + + strong. Not happy w his job in Ft. W. Even met an HSC friend in Texas? Met some Russians, no men - Rs. Who never mentioned any pro-USC friends. No visitors when Davis in Management. Read a lot, in USSR, novels, novels, classics. In Texas, Read all kinds books from public library. Did not read, devine folktale & her. Did so = J. Dem. HSC ever discuss assas on political act? No. Never. HSC relation b/w white Russians: our first longer meet them. Gradually he withdrew, felt they had 2 much influence on her. Did HSC in USSR own rifle or was it shotgun? She does not know the difference! HSC has HSC = rifle in USA but does not recall where or when. Could not be same weapon as in USSR because had to go there customs. [HSC] In 1964 HSC was uncle ID CE 139 rifle so no purpose served by showing it to her today. She was not pleased have rifle in house. He said, most men have it, likes to hunt or play w rifle. Found him clean. The rifle about once a week (!!) kept it in his closet. In New York, St. apt. Any change in his personality after he got rifle? He claimed he alone, as before, but his behavior to her changed, she felt out of place. He ever aim rifle? as if threatening? Shouting? Yes, in N. Ols. Did it have a scope? He does not need. Did HSC keep gunns around the apt? You, kept it + rifle. She just ignored it. In Nols after dark + rifle on porch, said "Leave me alone". Does her own pounds his barrels, rifle, moving left? Does her needle. She did not pay much attention. Ever seem to be shooting at imaginary object? Does not know? Yes, she might have touched rifle during cleaning. Did not ask where he got it.

Yes; he said take it out of apt. Before dark, left in principal.  
 Held his rifle under coat, said going to target practice.  
 [Held several k, more than once, gave a few bars each k.  
 Said was going to practice range somewhere. [About April 10 63  
 Waller County] How did he get to practice area? He said, on bus.  
 Remained was long, rifle not visible. Any change Hto believe  
 in week before W. Shooting? Was long k ago, cannot recall.  
 But was Captain always & worse + with down, hostile,  
 short-tempered, spent much time alone in dark & don don  
 or writing. What happened April 10 63? Did not come  
 home until late at night - worried - found note - came home  
 of brother Dale said first that Gen. W. compared to shooting  
 Hitler before he came to power caused w. a forecast. He was extremely  
 nervous, when heard gun w. not hit, was angry he had missed.  
 He did not come home often work? Does not recall, may h. to do  
 & then left again. Does not know what k he got home, was  
 very late, did not have rifle with him, thought it took a few  
 days later concerned under Reinhardt. When did she find  
 LHO note? Does not remember, might be in closet above the sleep.  
 Thinks she found it before he returned, said what to do if he did  
 not return, does not recall contents, was in LHO writing.  
 Does not remember what ph did & met when LHO returned.  
 Think LHO had notebook re Gen W. DNR how she learned about  
 The notebook, Did it contain photos? Think so, & some kind  
 of house or road, LHO said was Gen W. house. Please tell  
 Motetank, DNR, what happened to notebook after k?  
 Possible it was destroyed. [Commentary: She is having a  
 memory problem, the in back the ~~old~~ number] Her memory  
 not good now, thinks she assumes certain things 2 or 3 times.  
 Did LHO burn page Motetank in bathroom? She recalls  
 She was Peterson, probably she wanted him to dating it  
 but DNR. When he told her he tried shoot it, she  
 realized he was capable of killing someone, was  
 very disturbed, had no place 2 go, did not tell  
 friends, did not tell police and of loyalty to LHO  
 & could not speak English anyway.

Did Hto say he too afraid, police could not catch him? He said Hto  
police looking 4 car, didn't realize some people could work.  
He demanded papers 4 Gen. W. Stories, who refer to it X 24.

Was paranoid & yes, what closer friend he was, got away & it,  
begged him not 2 do it again, he promised will not. DMR any  
unusual incidents meeting & others, before w shooting?  
What happened = DMR after w shooting? DMR made John's  
Remarks, how did you miss? He thought it Hto tell DMR,  
Hto thought she told him. DMR say conversation Hto &  
Dm re Walker. He does not think she told DMR so w  
incident. She was ashamed, did not wish discuss it.  
Probably she would have told DMR but DMR.

Det 62 Cuban music stories, any TV? No. Was Hto concerned  
or CMC? Yes, so was she. Was afraid of war. DMR Hto  
news on CMC or on Cuba. But he was fond of Cuba & Castro.  
Det Hto listen to JFK speeches at that x? Did not know  
English then, DMR only comments Hto no JFK that x.  
At Kelly St., any visitors? Yes, DMR 2 other Russians. Any  
strangers? No, Hto personally, continually change & worse.  
Shows any + tendencies → misdeeds? Not pure except heroin  
did worsen. [Recess 10 min]

Commentary Peeling more & tooks of DMR & as in Watergate. Some DMR  
less than fully credible. Remain - wife - has story: how does one  
fit on hand & rifle under coat? 2 pole spear leaving Walker scene.  
Can left a scene. And how did DMR know??  
Paranoia (McDonald) 2 exhibits to display. WC CE 133-A. 133-B  
(F 378 & 379) (Photos Hto & rifle) She took these photos on the  
unsubstantiated request. She did not know how own a camera, the  
showed her how. She took 2 photos. F 381, hydrogen lighter  
camera (CE 750) - familiar? She admit 10 it. Who paid...  
I had she seen hand gun before taking photo? DMR. Possibly  
knew before that he owned hand gun. It was a weekend,  
maybe a Sunday, no neighbors were around. She went  
back to her house, she goes 100 changed his clothes,  
put weapons away probably. DMR if he went out  
anywhere. Say anything to shooting anyone? No.

How many photos did she get? DNR + then 2. Now shows her 133-C photo never seen by UC (F 380). Shows her book as it is.

& compare w/ 133-A & B. 3 photos & Blighty different pose in each.

Jan 133-C wife in art hand. Can you recall you took any additional pictures? DNR how many photos she took. She might have taken 3, one occasion. Any pose like holding rifle over his head?

DNR this small. Now shows her 2 + photos F 103

F 184, back of photo = same writing on it. (F 382-383)

[of DNR inscribed copy] Marin (can I consult = my lawyer?)

Re Russian inscription, recognize handwriting? No, I don't, but

her handwriting changes a fast & a day (?). M does not look like someone wrote over original writing. M does not look like her written, does not resemble written, it, but it does sound like her. Writing is typical of Anna Kusarina (and to

way certain specific letters are formed, 3rd letter in 2nd word) Ever see this before? No. Your handwriting?

Does not think so. He comes up to me, stands ... he doesn't

recognize that? No, looks like Hto written? Cannot claim it is his. So matter of experts. DNR like English handwriting. Hto writes to her in Russian, always signs "Alce". Never saw the inscribed photo

before. Miner said "Copy sent to DNR" before,

Ever destroy any photo like this? Apparently she

did but she had forgotten completely about it.

After Hto arrested, DNR if anyone tells her to destroy it, DNR has many other characteristics. Has been told she

burned it. DNR if anyone tells her when she did it.

Does not know how many copies Hto had made,

has no idea. Did Hto develop the photo himself?

He once worked somewhere where was poor in

to do it. Miner does not know.

Re April 4, 63, per Mark book, re Miner to Dallas, tell about it. After Hto put his gun, said was learning, river in town, she asked why the gun, they had fight, he did not go. Both arms, bottom, Tacos.

Hard remember after so many years. They wrestled!! Very 2 forget bad things... cannot describe in details. Of course, Hts was stronger than she, but she very angry & stronger. Held her shut? Yes, he wrote. Did he pull hard? Well, hard for her knew it closed. feels he did not try hard to get out.

Fryer (re Nols period) Why did Hts go to Nols? He had trouble getting job Dallas, felt Nols would help him find job. Any plan to do w/ W Shootin? DNR but was dead. DNR is Hts deceased → Nols before W Shootin. He spoke of relatives, did not mention friends. When Nols when he went alone to Nols? He fetches belongings, in bed. Main station wagon to bus stop. He was always the one who did the packing. After a RP later brought the rest of their belongings, including the rifle? (No reply.) Occur to you to get rid of rifle, prostate, often he left alone for Nols? She was by then afraid of Hts and avoided any actions that would antagonize him. How Hts break her before going Nols? Constant guaranteeing. If she had got ride of weapons? He would have got rid of her. Does not know. He talk about → USSR at all? Believes that came later, in Nols. Long visitors in Nolin St. apt? DNR except one friend, friend of RP. One visit only. Hts re JFK, any comment in Nols? Yes, he worried no Jackie pregnancy + health. Talk about USSR? Yes. Wanted her to know, didn't want her around any more. Sometimes letters or both returning there. He was engaged in some FPEC activities, favored Cuba over USSR as place to reside. Hts ever gone 4 long periods? No, only one night in jail, no other absence over night. Was met friends & neighbors. Seemed have no friends in N. Ols. What he said no Castro. Why he wanted go Cuba? Castro long Hts here, he wanted work & Castro came.

Ashes of who or who knew Clay Shaw. No, until she testified for  
 Garrison Nails. Guy Banister? No. Luis Jo G B Maria  
 à LHO? No. David Ferris? Sounds familiar now but  
 does not know where she heard it before. DNR soon meeting him.  
 Meet Dorothy a Dutch Marxist? Remembers Gustafsson.  
 Did LHO and comrade ever mention names okay. Takao?  
 She did not speak English at that time, Carlos Marcello?  
 Never heard that name. Known LHO tried join  
 anti-Castro Gang, Carlos Bringuier, seemed to work on  
 both sides. Was LHO a true Communist? No, he was  
 not. Was he sincere in his beliefs? No, he was  
 neither Marxist or Communist, very pro-proclamation  
 but never belonged to the Party. Was he pro or anti-  
 Castro? Always thought he was pro-Castro. Was he not  
 consistent in his views on Communism? Did he continue  
 read Com/Communist International in USA? Does not know.  
 Any change LHO attitude → USSR at that time? DNR.  
 Where he work in Nails? Office Co. Did not talk  
 much about it. Always come home on time? Yes,  
 was very punctual. Come home on bus. Where he  
 kept rifle in Nails? In a closet. Any rifle  
 practice in Nails? DNR his doing that in Nails.  
 When did he first mention Cuba? DNR, but often in  
 Nails than in Dacres. Discussed Cuban situation?  
 Bought Panhandle horse. He used "Hilda" name.  
 You write that name & him? Yes, she asked if  
 Stand 4 Friday, he only smiled.

Recess to 1:30

Commentary Presented out of sequence & her story. First M very  
 brief in witness, she is clearly very indecisive but claims  
 DNR kept events in her life. Her memory is very selective.  
 Originally she told FBI she never saw LHO & rifle.  
 Now says she did take photo. Photo did not show her car  
 license but photos are perfectly contrasted, hands one to  
 open her umbrella. Also her truck has kid gloves.

Not confronting her with the many contradictions in her planning

45

(1:45 pm)

Asked to clarify his pro so LHO pro and anti-Castro activities, he stated if LHO been Communist, Did that mean technician, but in belief apparently not. Did he believe in principles & doctrines? In some. But even in that sense, she does not consider him true Communist. Was he sincere in his political beliefs? (Answer not clear.)

McDonald why did Anne have book Dallas Sept 63? Sighting, and closed RP was generous and invited her stay in her house. Why did HHO decide send her back to Dallas? He came up & idea living elsewhere, planned return USSR or go via to Cuba. He said he will go first, then send for her. Even deserves his jail airplane? Yes, she thought it was ridiculous. Did Mc McDonald help him? No. She said how could she, she did not ask her help him. HHO, she said how could she, she did not speak English. She forgot, what she was supposed to do under his plan. What did he say? DR R. Mention any particular influence or Agent? No. Did he intend use ripe & however? Yes, he did. Wanted her to hold another gun a something like that. She refused, tried to convince him how strange it would look to people here. No, she did not tell any one of his hypocrite plan. Does not know if he was serious. When she first known of his prep. City trip? He told her shortly before she left for Dallas - planned take bus - told her not tell RP for anyone - thought he might not be able to come back - RP would not approve and would withdraw her help & RP would not agree. Did he travel with anyone? No. Did he travel alone. Did he travel with someone? No. He was ever hint would travel with someone? No. He was secretive and never met same plans that easily - what happened when you left NOrlo? Went to RP home. Had said HHO in NOrlo on day she left for Dallas = RP, who took all their belongings, like did all the packing. Just closest a kitchen utensils. Does not need passing people. Every thing was packed and he loaded it into the car. Where HHO got \$ train to Mexico? He said he had saved \$ from his pay check. Does not show her the money. She very upset, did not know if she would see her again, did not confide in RP because had the Agent to LHO. When they parted, she did not expect to see him again. She said if he did not get visa he would come to living.

If he did send gifts, he would send for her. Also, he thought to break to USSR from Hungary. In her conversations, she who had been writing Mr. return there. What did he tell her about Hungary? Very little. They discuss him a while. He was very disrupter.

No, never mentioned University in Hungary. Even mentioning any party persons? DMR, Any parties? No. Did he say anything specifically went by cars? She first assumed that,

Ever see his truck? At that X? No. Did he travel to Dallas en route to Hungary? Does not know. Ever mention "Soviet Order"? No.

Please notice my change his personality after meeting? He was happy, see his family, talked about new cities appearance.

Did he treat you better? He was not so brutal and violent as before. How did he get job at TSB? Friends of K? ... long & ago ... thinks someone helped him get the job. Thinks he kind of liked the job. Friends one of AP neighbors was working at TSB too. Friends of no one else who might have helped. Ever visit LHO in Dallas? No.

How you discover his use of C.H. Yes? He gave her money, she cashed, was told no one by that person lived there, he later tell her using assumed names to avoid problems if they learned he had been in USSR. He did not know many friends. Did not like to take orders. Can you see him working in an armaments? She cannot.

He was most trusting or open. On Oct/Nov/63, any change in LHO, in his conduct? Did not see much of him, except weekends, when he was more relaxed than usual.

Before W Showalter, he more irritable. Any day in Oct/63 that was out of ordinary, any signs of what was to come? No, in Nov? No, not at all. He had change, if better. Did he have any friends in Oct/Nov? Michael Pine X to but

knows of no one else. Friend? - Since Showalter? Does not know. Do you know FBI Hoot? Yes, remembers his name, not his face. He came R P house one day, asked questions. Who became very angry. Said he would tell Hooty ~~say~~ harassing her. Hooty came once, had no reason, came to twice. Was she intimidated or frightened? At first seemed a

hostile procedure, did not find it disturbing.

What was LHO reaction? thinks he felt FBI made him lose job.  
He did not like FBI. Demand FBI for job lost in Niles. 47.

He is not sure if Hasty came back after his 1st visit.

Did LHO see Hasty? She does not know. She DNR seeing Hasty after 11/22/63. (Also her associate Hasty visits) Was in R.P. house. RP acted as interpreter. Hasty wanted her making him if an foreign agent ever tried recruit her. (Merlin now who going to her attorney.) [RT is struck by circumstances nothing Merlin testimony.]

[Boxed 15 minutes] (2:30 pm)

3pm

Photo of LHO inscribed on back, cannot prove pretensions

Merlin re 5 II 63, was that LHO's name any dating? Lucy does not know. Has she ever seen that style of dating? Has g women permanent for month? Does not know if LHO ever used it; do single have, in USSR, where it is customary. Nov. 21, 63, Thursday, where was she?

At RP house, driving. DNR what she did that day, just visiting.

LHO did come Thursday after work, about 6 pm, between 5 and 6 pm.

DNR what she was doing when he arrived. Telling about it so many times, remembers very he arrives after work. DNR

if he came thru door, to kitchen, a visitation, what did he say to you? DNR. Did he say hello? That is usual.

What did you say to him? DNR. When did you talk to him last prior his coming to driving? DNR. When last saw him? Usually every weekend but one weekend he

did not come, because he was angry with her, something like that, maybe because he used S.H. bee clues. Did you discuss that? What did you discuss?

Talked about his renting an apt. for them. It was a big imposition, living = R.P. DNR word 4 word.

How did he seem? Quite normal, nothing unusual.

Always relaxed? Yes. Different in any way? No. Calm? Yes.

Did he talk in any way like he did before W shooting?

No. Was + willing to listen to her now. About beans

together again (LHO a heavy + chicken) All eat dinner

together? She was asking the alarm TPK went to Dallas,

he did not make any comment, which seemed peculiar.

No kept changing the subject but not in hasty way.

What did he say? DNR. Changed subject, content

to talk about their next baby. When did she want to have children? She did not pursue it. Did it ever cross her mind, the W or the H? Never incident? No. Did LHO say anything at all about JFK? She asked if he knew the Motocade route, he said he did not know - he did not like her. This was in living room. Then, Any TV news on? She did not know English well enough. Was it before or after dinner? DNR: What happened later? LHO went to bed, she did chores, he was asleep when she came to bed. Yes, he did play in the children that evening. She did not want to make up their guard, wanted to tell him a lesson.

Where were your belongings? In LP garage. How much space occupied? DNR. Did you know where types was? Yes. in garage, wrapped in blanket, placed between boxes. Ever see it unwrapped? No. Did LHO go into garage? No. Back yard? DNR. When he was playing w/ child, what were you doing? DNR. On back M. You gestured as saying LHO kicked you during night. Please comment. DNR That incident now. Whatever she told Priscilla was true.

What happened on 4/22/63 when you got up? LHO said don't get up, he will get his own coffee. Appeared quite normal, calm, nothing to arouse suspicion, so far as she now recalls. What did he say to you when he left? DNR. She still in bed. Thinks it was dark. Nothing out of the ordinary happened.

Hoyer When did she learn JFK was dead? Was watching TV, very very went heavy, RP said JFK had been shot. It was horrible shocking news. Did TV say shots from TSBD? She did not understand English but RP said, from TSBD, the left-wrist, unfortunate, went outside so LP and not see bullet on her face. Crossed her mind LHO involved. Then a policeman came and beat her than RP LHO questions. She thinks she went to DP station with the officer. Her recollection is fuzzy. DNR if saw LHO that day or next day. When she saw him, he

circumstances all talk of lesson, talked only about children, mentioned to phone is up to say, he crooked went you say. Was he calm or excited in police HQ? He looked scared to her. Did he protest his innocence? He did not say in these words "I am innocent". Did he ever ask for justice? DNR. Give you any hint at any time as to why he did it? No, top & if he had accomplice? No.

How FBI treat you? Quite strict. How AD? They were nice says. Ever contacted by any foreign Govt? No. By any one who approached him act in assassination JFK? No. Know Tippie? No. Ruby? No. Did LHO know them? Not to her knowledge.

After 15 yrs, can she say if she thinks LHO killed JFK? Does not know if she is guilty to make such a judgment. You do not wish to give any opinion? She is not guilty. If he did it, does she know why? No.

Pryor wants to ask Martin about statement inconsistent w/ earlier testimony. He expansion from him some, tell FBI because she finds pick up membership card. Very different reason today? She assumes her marriage was the reason. The pretense was the card.

Earlier = today, you said you paid dues regularly. Paid in & deposition you said express for non-payment of dues. (Confirms reply) LHO frightened her, that if she was number she could not get into USA. To "kid" Pryor then comment. Now pay her per reflecting before in (instructions or instructions) confirming testimony)

at & deliberate, pushed them. Pryor goes

her to change her testimony? DNR, but FBI showed her machine objects, broke her down, and she had to confess she did know. So.

Re: Hitler alias, she told WC it was anagram 4 Fidel.  
why tell SS on 11/24/63 (?) Hto had never used Hitler name?  
DNR. She was emotional, disturbed. Remembered one day,  
forgot the next, but when gave WC testimony she told truth.

Re: rifle/pistol, when she first saw pistol? DNR.  
Was it when she took photos or when Nixon visited?  
DNR which was first. (Photos March, Nixon April)  
Then more logical she saw it when she took  
pictures. Did not know where it came from.  
Why did she tell Hto she had never seen Hto  
in a pistol? DNR. You know Hto had killed  
Tippit with pistol? DNR when she found out  
about Tippit.

Re: cameras + rifle practice, why tell FBI on  
12/17/63 you never saw cameras around the house?  
She was frightened about staying in this country.  
With much general statement about earlier  
unconsciousness - desire to protect Hto, fear of FBI,  
fear of being a foreigner in a strange country?  
Was mixed emotion. Frightened & R.P. Did not  
want her friend out she had prior knowledge  
of trip to Mexico or weapons, an attempt to  
R.P. helps. Frightened prosecution, also.

Some critics allege your story changed because of  
FBI/S pressure on her to incriminate Hto.  
Was there ever any pressure from them to  
incriminate or falsify? No, not true.  
They only wanted find the truth, As Justice,  
FBI thought, so she was not as responsive,  
wanted to punish Hto as human error.

priviledge. She apologizes.

States re LHO activities → JFK, is it consistent that he was accused of knowing Kenedy knew him? Very hard for her to comprehend. Did she ever say sent he was seeing & know one else? It was her last theory but had no foundation for it. What did HHO say re Gov C? Not much. Want to live on a legal matter while in USSR. At time she thought it had to do w/ returning to USA. Many he was angry in Germany, tho he never said anything. Is only hard to believe he was seeing at JFK. HHO ever say anything re Gov C? DNR. Even testing that that? DNR. Re LHO disengagement no press working in Dallas, why? He said his defection got publicity, told her he prepared for plane on return, was surprised at lack of press presence.

The point who accused Fidel Castro "was in a revolutionary mood". What did she mean by that? Castro was leader Cuban revolution. When LHO got rifle, she assumed it was connected to Revolution (in Cuba, not in USA, DNR much about it).

Dennie is she in good health? She is okay. Is she citizen USA now? No. Any one ever threaten to deport you? No. Did LHO look & TSBD jail or was it happendstance? No, it just came about. Did he mention he had his sent 4 motorcads? No. When last X you spoke 2 LHO? In jail.

Want you tell remember if you got a pharase from him? She does not remember, in fact. What HHO say re Walker shooting? That he planned? DNR much. He thought would be service to USA 2 Communist Walker. Dennis as knowledge of Ruby. Did she see LHO leave Italy one? No. Does not know if he arrived anywhere.

very comment about restrain blinds? No.  
What made you think Tricky "I hope it won't be?"?  
She knew LHO worked TSOB. Drive sense or something  
like that.

[Recess] - to Thursday 9 am

Thursday 14 Sept 78

Dodd why no opinion LHO killed JFK when there is Walker & Nevin  
& no accomplice claim? Do you believe LHO killed JFK? Credit  
to me accomplish repeat what she said yesterday. Her personal opinion  
repoly as apt repeat what she said yesterday. Her personal opinion  
is, he did it, he was capable of such a crime. Yes, because he  
acted alone. Do what basis? Maybe just intuition. Does not  
homicide. Who names confess in anyone, he was not open person.  
Does she know LHO attempted suicide? She found out after  
the case. Notices scars on wrist? Yes, looks, but he did  
not want to talk about it. In mind, release condition  
of life? Circumstances better than average Russian? Any other  
reasons than he was foreign? That is all reason she knows of.  
When she married LHO, any KGB function has info? Remains  
when the marriage info, an official function to take  
to prove we met function quickly, so official function to take  
her out of it (officially advised). Was she asked right to KGB  
her info from LHO? No. Questioned by KGB or others when  
they left LHO USSR? No. What month she left again via?  
June 1961 she thinks. Took about a yr to get permission.  
You left via Brazil? Yes, then to Vietnam? Yes.  
Was LHO absent any of this time? On train to Vietnam,  
was always present. In fact, how long? One night.  
Three days, unless you argue? No. See any other photo? No.  
Where stay? Boarding house. LHO had arranged it, or  
someone saw it to him, she does not know who.  
Answers they were greeted in English.

Ford when they got papers & return to USA, ever wonder why to  
Latvia? Not easy, lots of red tape and agony over a year is  
time, when she unable to rifle kilometer LHO, when she  
saw it often, moved it around, took photo LHO & rifle?  
Faxon her ignorance, all replies were alive to her.  
Who hits her she destroyed some LHO's rape photo &  
how their person knows it? DNR. She told so many  
different versions for various reasons, is she sane  
that now she is really clear on the facts or is she  
like American girls still confused? Girls so long hard

to answer. (He repeats the question) (She considers her answer) ~~at the~~

53

Soujner she is German descent true, knows o more.

Kind of many, has knowledge about, afraid of being exposed, afraid will not pass exam. Did HHO ever mention Coronel? HHO did not drink, unless so any bar. Never mentioned knowing any D. Poles? No. In inscription on photo HHO = wife, what Russian writing say? Hunter & an frost air, HHO HHO? Comm D English writer as Lits'. Maybe yes, maybe no. Re 5/15/63 date, is that Russian style? Yes, some use that style. whose writing in Russian? Some letters look like her written, some not, so confused. At first glance, hers. by analogy, not hers.

Family why did she move to Miami? Poor relationship = step father had nowhere to except and - leave in Miami. Uncle never talked to her about his work. She did not know he worked for KVD. Corrects herself, she did know he KVD but did not know what he did. Was continuing money in 6 weeks? Some do, some don't. Was it low at 1st right? No, she likes him but did not feel in love. Did not marry him because he would take her to USA. Had she known, she would not have married him. He left ~~attache~~ → FBI, she tell us he became uninterested & FBI after he lost his job? Good no. Every 4 he lost a job, he said, because FBI harassed him. Redemptions she had said to WC? No, she did not. She was not friendly frank & FBI. What is her present occupation of the truth? In regard to what is she trying to file loss a FBI. She blames FBI, per HHO, at first put later on analogy she did not blame FBI.

Fifthman how much does she know? Finished school, then grammar school 4 yrs. He describes photo, seeing met even girls. School student would have written test and he cannot believe she did, explains only on basis formation of individual letters. Can 48th testify that she did not write it? She is very pro-Soviet, she agrees to him about the individual letters, might be written by old person or a child. She cannot claim it as her handwriting. Is it possible someone else wrote one word, she called the rest? No, isn't it her best judgment that it is not her writing?

As she said before, her writing not consistent, she is not an agent, etc.  
She does not remember writing it. (Consider her story) Did you see — (?)—  
It was not your writer? What did you tell her? Mac Mc? DNR,  
was so long ago. Re burning some photos after 11/22/63, did she  
burn any negatives? No. Usually makes destroying a picture.

What else did she destroy in haste to get rid of evidence?

As far as she knows, only that. Did Mc keep letters from  
other people? She does not know. Did not pay into his things.

Devine how did she happen land in RP home? Was she gotten here  
she met KP, who was kind & generous. Was made init in contact?  
DNR. Was she aware of him? Does not know, did not tell her.  
Re hits, burns — cut, did she see him before he arrested? No,  
saw him next when he in jail. (Devine is confirming  
brother's name & RP name)

Clegg How hit is RP these, night? Did you drop him  
off. How would she describe LHO as a person? what sort?  
Person was he? She could not do it in one sentence.  
He was a little taller than she, next in appearance.

Did he want to be much? Not physically, but wanted 2 be  
impostor. Had he tricked her? Sure? In Russia, was  
Gunkle a strong Soviet tank → USA, his personality changed and  
he was often cruel. Did he beat her? Not pleasant  
to both alms, but, yes. Even need medical treatment  
as result? No. Any other incident besides us didn't  
that he tried wife? Not other knowledge. He commit any  
crime? Not 2 her knowledge. How did she get of  
her travel to OS? LHO said he borrowed \$ from us already.  
Did he ever go to Cambodia? To pick & up? She knows  
he went to Cambodia, but DNR knew he picked up the \$.  
In USA on arrival, did LHO have any \$? DNR.  
She never handled \$, hours soon after arrived he got  
a job? 2 or 3 weeks.

Stokes, re her opinion LHO knew JFK, this not 1st x tho  
wanted such an opinion? No. At we, Raygo passed  
her to Raygo & she said "I have no doubt LHO knew JFK"  
but might have intended her for c. Recall that?  
DNR, never seen her testing, but seems like.

Hendley DNR what she said to WC and does not deny that was her testing. Decision to present her contents of the book? Yes. (He needs p. 436 except) ("...sign that he was guilty..."), Ted has that? Yes.

Re demo - those cap a wednesday morn, tee that to WC? Yes,

Remembered cap, yes, she did find mng. Did she tell Hito

Hito never took mng to (he quotes) presentation by Hito? Yes.

Re Hito motive, in book Hito writes (p. 434) his policies

"Yes, Ted had to make? That was her conclusion, based on

her studies of Hito, but she agrees. He did express having

to form an organization, etc? Anybody not other

than in conversations she heard. Re her lies to FBI & do

because she wanted protect Hito, but at same & she is the

one who told FBI re W shooting, using the lie about mng, etc

but tell them about W shooting? They got info out of her,

little by little, she did not volunteer. (He phones) DNR

just how it happened - protection Hito when he was alone -

very confused state of mind then - DNR how she came to

tell FBI re W shooting. Wanting clear her consciences &

every thing she knew.

Re mail intercept &

topping in trash, was she being unwise, inadvertent?

The had no doubt they would keep an eye on a foreigner.

Who? Some authorities. Can she be more specific?

Not a uniformed person. Didn't she tell Hito re

a KGB agent? DNR. In book (p. 139), Garrison

knows everything about her & Hito, she told that to Hito?

Yes, every thing in book is true, but the DNR at this time.

[Recess 5 min.] (10:15)

Commentary She looks tired. Strokes may have given previous claim  
of events re incision. She watched & wrote HP found note  
in book. Her WC testing was ... note in Russian ... She  
never mentioned that note until HP found it and gave to authorities.  
She put her in better light than WC did, the Ford & others  
being rather tough with her. She gave multiple admissible  
reasons for going to FBI and to naming Hito killed JFK.  
After unsuccesses by you re Hito, Garrison, Atchison (ID know),  
how could he return sans any CIA sign of interest? He was  
at NC base yet no CIA interest. Some think he helped  
Bobby / KGB shoot down just to cover. Monroe says  
no KGB interest. "Monetary interests," typical - And  
comes to us & return 1.5M?"

Murkin never became USA citizen, "quint boy" also, train the week  
refused. Wonders about train.

finger (re inscription on back of photo) Could it be Lito's wife in  
Russia? She does not know. Has not seen Do in months.

(Do in shocked he knew no Jan. 6) Date on photo 4/5/63, W. Shot

\$15/63. To paramedics Do had this photo taken & planted?

She did not send the picture, does not know. Not friend

to Do in winter? No. Her winter? Does not think so. Lito? Does not know.

Just what did Do in any precise? DNR

but she assumed Lito told him - re Joko - or lucky guess.

Did she ever like Lito claim it? DNR. Never crossed her mind

DNR participated in US shooting. Lito beat her, did it alone.

When JFK shot, did she go right to Charles Rizzo in Garage?

Want to confess him, then to George, before was more

but she did not check & see if nice inside.

Reyes (one + effort elucidate Lito possible motives) Does she think  
any of these factors involved - political, monetary or  
internally personal - Was he trying make all his & American  
half? Different from 2 answer. Reyes does not know what  
to say about the motives. Just the mental state of person.

On personal side, he appeared 2 like JFK, As no political  
motivation, maybe just wanted to come up to by killing, isn't  
person. You feel that might be main factor, personal desire  
for status? What matter no intentions, hard to come up  
- patriotic reasons, but who has "impetus" theory.

Dodd, re "blasted treatment" by Lito, she also said FBI "blasted"  
Lito struck her = brutal. Was the physician, advised by FBI?

The medical word becomes from vocabulary. FBI did not  
hit her, was only hostile tone or frustration. Yesterday she  
had option DNR, wants to press her, re destroying photo.

Also again, did anyone advise her destroy photo?

She was in state of shock, has partial blackout, she  
does remember destroying it, Does where, who there,

or how she destroyed it. No remembers today'

Before or after FBI visit? DNR. Re Harry visit  
before soon after upset, did he call again? did he  
see her after again? DNR his face at me, rights  
2 prints before came because Lito took her take down  
his opinion no.

Re: Rotterdam, pls advise was a leading knew. Told him she paid  
"private agt". She advised that phone ring. Hto told her it  
was invited room from private person, by prior arrangement, by  
whom she does not know. Yes, Hto was with her when she  
crossed border at Broad.

Debbie when she met Hto, did not know he was American? Yes.  
His known friend may pass if a Russian? Yes, was family friend.

Hto why did she feel and strong sympathy as to give mistakenly  
(bottom), often has contributed to her? She had no one but Hto in  
this country, does not know only she had that sense of loyalty.

Her feelings were complex - might loyalty, might fear.  
Ever think like instoker or manipulator, id? She was only 21,  
not manipulative enough recognize symptoms. It never occurred  
to her than that Hto was (manipulator) sick.

Father when she destroyed the photo, did she not worry about  
the manipulator? Did not occur to her, thought about it  
prior? What happened to 3 manipulators? Assumes  
Hto has them. Does not realize forming manipulators.

Did Hto have Cuban friends in N.Y.C.? Not that she knows.  
The Cuban arrested he did manipulate Cubans on street,  
say tell her about radio interview. Did tell her no  
FCC but she assumed he was any member. He  
never mentioned CIA that she recalls.

Any Hto friends ever call him "John"? No.

(How first met Do M) - how did Hto & Do M become  
friends despite great differences? Hto met  
many white Russians, Hto likes Do M best. Does not  
remember how 1st met Do M, thinks it was a coincidence.  
Did she know Do M well? She likes him. Many  
contacts to Do M's? Visited occasionally, but stop by  
when Hto not there? Yes, once. Please Do M took her  
anywhere alone? DNR. When he come by, he had  
a gentleman with him, stayed only 5 min, the latter  
you & Miss Edith Burton? No record of  
somewhere but ~~do~~ DNR incident at all.

Elder did you take those photos LHO = Hitler? Yes, 2 or 3. ~~why would she (dislike Nazis and know) agree to take pictures of LHO = Hitler?~~ Because he forced her. When that pose of their position? His explanation was, for this man's eyes.

Was he going to read it & then open a part of it in a brochure? Doesn't know, when fraction later? Around noon, in

Spring, on boat in Am. Gated here been April.

yesterday she said uncertain sounds like her. Clarify! WSS, she can get parasitic, sharp tones. What is a fascist? WSS, something 2 as = Germany, write me soon, with missing file.

Re SS style DMR vs LHOs, see him often seen? On 2 occasions afterwards, at a Russian Easter party, and at a movie on a camping. Do we ever present. On those occasions, speak with no hito or even? DMR, perhaps not. The Moscow unscrupulous plots? No, often help her &? Yes, thinks he did. Give her any \$? DMR but certainly not after noon.

Stalin no phone in Kursk but any access & use? Yes, public phones - at work - at aunt's house. In mind at train station viewing KGB agent, the could not recall? No. Much book no LHOs last night in USSR, man behind pillar (Mens). True? If that is what she wrote, it is true. Re mistreatment of LHO, the Paris, probably to become right person, but she knew from w sources, he organizes & helps for political reasons? Thinks his reason "he was afraid. Compared w to Hitler? Yes. On 8/9/48 she told HSC he listened to news in Paris so times short. See w... etc. Correct? Yes. So she didn't know he counted 3 Hitler's & political reasons? She doesn't think it was strictly political.

Moscow (5 minutes) nothing to add.

Plaut, re authentication of photos 110 & 111. No self minister photos. Critics argued (from one year), "If we do, they are higher incrimination & corroborate Plaintiff. If not, poses grave question of emoluments by other than private parties."

Gives history of "Bengal Photo". Jewel of Surge - Photo found at least 2 prints - at least one negative - Taken to Dr. Hig. - Photo showed LHO in enlarger 11/23/63, he denied ever seeing it, claiming Bengali was heard.

Purvis told PBT when Neely St had gone 2 different versions of him. later rebuked by Plaintiff, to PBT & WC, later told PBT saw negative prints every day, and Neely had two photos 10 days later,

WC concerned when 3/3/163. Honeywell Laboratory photo taken by engineer Robert Conner (per margin inscription), not Conner, photo = no photo, but could not say categorically, since identified.

Info photos - No time - etc. Apparent variations caused by retouching. Critics have refused to number most.

True site line across chin. Slope of chin, beads identified. Studies now inconsistent. Quoted Mark here. Quoted Sir in PBT "not precise determination of genuine but used line from genuine witness, opinion evidence & have it accepted as authentic".

Reborn (no photo with wife held alone head Marguerite testing) No photo with wife held alone head

(3) PBT found a third photo, different from 133-A & 133-B. A 1st defendant print. Plaintiff obtaining evidence photo from T. D. M. (elsewhere have found) Marguerite, as found before before asian. Storall — (?)

Quoted BBC TV interview, concluded, photos.

Plaut BBC interview on video tape. Asked Todd White

Gives no witness today. No defense photos 10 yrs. Grimes credentials, she has written article, on 110 wife photos.

White (counsel Grimes (2)). Has subject Tyro photo 133 A, B, C. (1970-1975). Scrutiny, measurements, copies various photos, transparencies overlaid on each other. Specified books at home, locksmith & body. Believes background witness identical, taken from single different camera prints.

Heads in A & B IDs that lip area shows strong signs of retouching.  
Heads ID → hands different fingers, some critics believe, 3  
different bodies, he has no opinion. Head is Hto down to lips,  
Believes hands are superimposed on background, but cannot prove it.

They are X sophisticated fakes. Only an expert can tell how the  
fabrication is: which requires several sophisticated techniques  
including matting (explains what we know). Cannot tell how  
made the fakes? No, he does not know because enough in his

few months at J&S. They were made to increase Hto in the  
area. Fig. 371 = art by prepared using A-B to overlay  
in 2 colors & heads stuck same size. Every portion of  
A few minor desiring on dies (up & down fractionally) but no  
fotone movement. Fig. 222, 392-373 = art work prepared  
by water, red and blue transparencies of A-B. Differences  
now he determined hands were ID. Only one minor  
discrepancy but all features wanted identically — only lips  
very " in B & in A " in a smile. Variation showed the  
same in face but not there. Blue lips in 133-B are  
retouched. When Believes police seen in this

lips, Fig. 374 = DP having shot 1Hto vs 133-A + B face  
are painted to same size. Painted chin = cleft or deformed vs  
blue Payne's chisel no cleft or deformed. Shows show  
several peculiarities. In A more slender to center of lips  
Ditto B but when overlaid, hand has taken up a more slant  
in nose shadow. Fig. 375 = art by water, Hto-C = same  
background area, painted on negative reference points.  
Shows in A stand taller pt to 10 o'clock.

B	"	"	12 "
C	"	"	10 "

Portion of body in A, stance is out of balance & the background  
lead to his conclusion that figures was superimposed over  
the background.

If it your conclusion photos are fakes? Yes.  
How does he think they came into being? Possible.  
Someone come to a sophisticated lab, with general  
instructions. Describes how might have been put  
together in a composite photo.

Wanted riflers very high level of skill. (At end Wurst no guns + bl. no barrels on trees, not until late April.) Post is covered in B, not in A.

Goldsmith to write He concluded 5 yrs ago photo was faked, because 15 yrs, did not work more recently, earlier post work of Fred Newmark Mart 10-12. Induction (factors) of faking. Ever examined original prints or negative? No, only D-M print. Photos he got from Archives, what generation? Assumes Archives +!, but no way? Archives, ever computerized (digital in process) photos? No. Knowing, ever computerizing (digital in process) photos? No. P-31 white pamphlet, ruler at body + ruler at rifle — 2 dimensional measurement + another, considerations of perspective. Very formal training in — — — ? No. Use vanishing pt concept to analyze shadows? Not as much. Detect any retouching at margins edges? None no att. to that. [Greenamire asks Wurst he given original 133A + B] Look at area to left. Agnes Stevens do not appear 2 to same, rectangle in picket fence: Measure it? No. Two constant measurements apparent lighting factors? No. Two different techniques would have changed the two different areas on left side: measurements. Re 2 white areas on left side: Even measure three parts? Used overlay technique but did not use ruler. Said body not consistent with head size ... do use of overlay consistent Good technique by scientists? Does not know that.

#### Rifle photo analysis

Grissom (Coward) (Pls. 208 + 396) Rifles A thru G. A = 133A rifle  
 $\beta = \text{ct } 139$  C = another M-C rifle. D = S&D illustration, is replica rifle E = H. Day's rifle, rifle as well as out of 1960 F = Mr. Dan Hollis' rifle up in jail G = Curry book, DP file photo of rifle. WC said 40.2" long. Determined date from his story. Gun in 133-A dimensions = G. Other rifles did not line up. His novels were inconclusive. Baffled, until Newmarks furnished photos of rifle in Archives. (Pls. 396) Had a Cowdorn. (Opposite before Cowdorn + Schreiber C-5,) Butts were different lengths, two metric parts lined up.

62.

His opinion we have been shown more than we can as he is the  
man weapon.

Geesomith Did he compare photogrammetry, effect of test?

Does not know what "is."

[Recess to 1:30 pm]

Government ! This is the first tougher exam of my witness.  
Who happens he critic. Geesomith the hits him. Then  
make him look stupid. Why beating up on an expert?  
Various other experts. What happened? He also  
And what about BBC. Macmillan Thompson? He also  
said photos were taken. Thomson presented a finding  
of HSC expert panel and his recantation on almost all his  
findings.

Goldsmiter to White HSC aware sentence he offered and  
blueprint of mark of pole like him which helped Gaesey in  
IP views no photo evidence. He was formerly HSC executive  
who took some resemblance to fence for analysis.  
White is presenting, goes, "not answers."  
Pertaining to use of non-1st generation materials. Next to  
Jehanne originals. In some cases differences great, in  
others, negligible.  
White (5 min.) Repto did not fit into one area,  
of the Dem photos. It shows much more foreground  
and fine edges, indicating printed full negative.  
133-B ID as HSC camera, yet Demo plate shows  
larger background area, so 133 A, B, C, etc swapped.

Baffey corrects self on conclusion of Shampfer—  
Said A, said b said B.

Appy. made 2 + comments. About last time, him  
no. 7 experts like BBC & CSC experts. In original  
to other 2 BBC report, showed him panel findings  
invited him lastly, he referred to panel's  
conclusion, no evidence of faking, he was  
presented by non-1st-gen copies, But remained  
unconscious by HSC claim & Receptio to  
Computerization analysis. Canadian expert  
Spent less than 1 hr. Made no scientific analysis,  
was not available testing today. [RECESS]

Commentary Review of history of HSC on the one & everything that has been learned to date. Hank has received interview for new study. Drawing → Jonzeles → Spengler, who tried to cover the whole investigation and evidence or ignore it → more & more power to him, albeit unconfirmed, etc. 63 → both left → Spengler / Poyer → Blakely. Hearing: for C + Valle → attorney etc.

Quoted to floor of House for debate on leaving resolution)  
Spengler to floor of House for debate on leaving resolution)

Poison & HSC & Spengler. Spengler has voted us setting up HSC but endorsed it now, appears to be an independent fact as Chairman, is in 103.20 support of him & his steps. We've OK'd \$8. This will be last report of the year to X (?) yes, outstanding job, suggested reduction of 1/2 in \$ yields to X (?) yes, outstanding job, suggested reduction of 1/2 in \$ at which X. Spengler said then would make party to request 4 + \$, at which X. Spengler said then would make party to request 4 + \$, We've voted 4 of \$70,000 this time, but not any more & later. Will next try to negotiate the HSC in future. New speaker: Has been unable to negotiate the amount HSC, voted us \$, felt it was inappropriate. Then voted to too us what they were doing. HSC was expensive. Then they refused to tell us what they were doing. HSC was expensive. Spengler Poyer & Dennis in closed session some months ago expressed their view & Dennis & Spengler & themselves were in agreement & from very recently I convinced them working in mandate coming to exact conclusions House had asked for. If we can't get their \$ now, would be very criticized. Congress feels will conclude FBI CIA & UC readers right conclusions.

New speaker (Illinoian) supports & commends HSC its discretion to inspect job & intervention. New speaker went about cost for printing of Report & Hearing? How much? Rep. Bliley Please

ask for printer of Report & demand for Report. New speaker & 4 print, the knowledge, no idea at all & demand for Report. Will speak maximum economy. New speaker has some will come out of contingency fund. New speaker has some \$ to me, but HSC already being questioned by some critics, but HSC already being questioned by some critics, New speaker uses OK of \$70,000. Vote adopted,

of HK, New speaker uses OK of \$70,000. Vote adopted,

Commentary Poyer testing on 4 photos, 50% photo problems. Apparently he was for more conclusive results. Testing a photo report? Supporter SB Harvey. Vote question is, won't velocities stand pat?

Basic purpose of these hearings is take place as much as possible. Rep. Bliley some will critics may accept HSC findings. Vets can be sure have with write back attacking HSC. Even some AB people in general are attacking HSC trying to gain. Hank conduct

at NUK Hearing was grounds for elevation from the norm. First States & Poyer refused to be beaten. Blakely early in 1970 concerned panel of photo experts to study all photo aspects & raw evidence. Contracted private lab.

Most advanced technology available was applied. Below McCamey & Kirk Kirk

64

McCamey & Kirk (consal (2) Glazier) examined 133-A-B also  
4 amateur photos obtained by HSC. 2 from DP → FBI + negative.  
Also 133-A DCM and 133-C from deceased Dr. Spivey +  
133-A-~~B~~ Stoenes. All are first generation prints except one is  
original; Did not examine non-1st generation materials.

(No notes taken because of fatigue)  
(Their testimony interrupting, to be resumed Friday)

Blanket De M. incrimine photo submitted by defendant of LHO  
writing. Number 8 handwritten, same, save McNeely  
Marvin & Ruly, 45 LHO samples, some originals, some copies.

McNeely (LHO counsel) examined samples write, 45  
F 382 - 383 = enlarged De M. photo back sides  
F 401 (not apparent (CE 281) Concluded writing on DCM  
photo & signature on front appear written by same  
person. (Decisive method of analysis) (Note discontinued → below)

[Friday 15 Sept 78]

Commentary Testimony will have examination of testimony on photos LHO & rifle. Panel  
of 16 photo experts will say they are genuine. But most of the day will  
be devoted to your Neighbors. Blanket will tell us that Neighbors photo is  
very unimportant to this investigation, there are other ways of finding out  
the real note. JV: CIA tried 12 yrs determine if Neighbors telling  
the real note. John Hart will testify Neighbors was tortured.  
Blanket, former CIA officer John Hart will testify he was not a witness.  
Angleton commissars otherwise, apparently will not be witnesses.

McComey & Kirk They considered allegations photo were faked.  
Photogrammetry is technique of seeing patterns, size - making & can  
used by other dealers. Re line at LHO chin under lips  
compared to other photos of LHO, used digital data processing, etc.  
Compared to 133-A, A do M and A. Stoenes. Result of visual inspection  
re fine across chin, found on 2nd & 3rd generation prints were  
tenderly balled up contrast and was apparent lines. Not as  
pronounced on original. There is visible very fine line (no  
points it out) too fine to be a photographic line. It is  
conclusive. It is in front the edge of a water spot.  
Smaller water lines on LHO shirt and on front of neck.  
negative of 133-A not visible etc., spots must have been  
on negative. Found no unusual linear edges.  
LH, DP photo of LHO, which shows his actuary hand & lines on  
crease across his chin. Not also exact shape of chin.  
Test & results, so if eliminated from above causes

blown sheet at bottom. Had Rochester Institut of Technology make enlargements to enable return to our details. Nothing at all unusual. Shadens read (part 65 points in) Dafnis digitalized prints in = complete - assistance  
Photos - enlargements. Had it work done by W & L Clegg and themselves do.  
Started notes of silver grain alone and below chain, found nothing?  
Remarkable about grain pattern. No appreciable lines. Area on print  
surfaces & shadow, nothing unusual, as confirmed by computer.  
If there had been forgery, computer would have shown difference in  
Grain, evidence of montage. None found. (Digital, various  
head - shots of the other various times of his life.) See also, Cam  
rea distinguishes lines across chain, which were numerous in  
younger years. Height is a very important factor in producing  
changed appearance of features. Applied test of Vanishing -  
point analysis to determine if Shadens real and natural. He  
claims this background in one of the photos are identical, then use  
differences of distance in leaves, pots, letters rectangles. Checks for  
movement of camera, up & down and left & right. Distortion horizontal.  
Movement also without shift was found. Very small, but  
it is there. Shows camera was moved, was not on a tripod.

Conclusion after all testing leaves, no evidence of any  
forgery.

Stokes (No 133 A, b & c) and practices orientation posing; photo not taken?  
With anti-aging rodent photos on 4/5/63. Prints, presented by an amateur.  
Internal evidence (date of manufacture lies, Lto, date arising of virus)  
lenses various times anxious for forgery. Analysis went beyond  
claims made by critics, encompasses all features of the photos.  
Leaves on bush look purpose, like arbitrary position. Re: transparency  
overlap, most convenient a good overlapping method in cases like  
this, where edges are soft and obscure certain differences in the  
two images. Heads are not actually identical in angles, are some  
minute differences. Must take account of tilt in lens in relation  
to camera position. If not taken into account, useless to do overlaps.  
Variations in body height and legs result from changes in posture.  
Re: use of rulers to measure parts of photos, is not a valid technique.  
Takes no account of distortion and tilt to camera axis.  
Heger (No: Shadens) allegations about more Shadens vs body shadens,  
women they expect all Shadens to line up parallel to each other?  
They might, if sun reflected and camera axis exactly  
horizontal, in most cases, however, lens distortion causes  
appear to converge. In these photos, Shadens look completely  
incongruous, were not painted in. (What have they learned  
from this investigation?) The people who questioned the  
photos were relying on 2nd & 3rd generation prints.

This also demonstrates that observations by amateurs are false, leading to false premises and false theories. Clear lesson is that little learning is a dangerous thing. Agrees w/ Black's approach of honesty & paranoia over high competence.

FBI thinks if anyone trying take a photo of rifle, would not make 3 or 3 x as many claims of detection. But they examine life standards up points? No.

Hitherto

why do Vanishing-point lines converge at just a tiny distance?

The VP may be at infinity; it may result from a camera error. Camera a tiny convergence. Estimate photos taken 4:45:30 pm (with sun overhead??) Re: lens cap rifle in photo and relationship of bullet to rifle — Kirk says he prepared a demonstration using cultural rifles (CE 139). Shows rifle in photo is rifle found in TSB & used to shoot JFK. [No - is clearly with fresh white photos of rifle showing apparent differences in length & apparent relationship of rifle features.] His experiments show that changes in tilt, position of camera, etc., produces seemingly disparate photos. [Udo's conclusion was 'take no account of tilt & perspective. In fact, lever action' took no account of tilt & perspective. In fact, all rifles in his photo mounted on CE 139. He did some mounts and got similar results.]

FBI agreed not posturing, metal rifle in photo = CE 139. Kirk does not agree w/ FBI finding. (Doubt = case damage to rifle) 133A de Ne Shus Park deposit in woods, same dimensions and same location as in VP photo of St. Dog & rifle. Chip or defect is sufficient, compare to lead to conclusion that rifle is same as in photo. FBI did not have as long a history of evidence as these experts had, nor use same sophisticated analysis, relies on Semenoff claim. CE 139 matching bullet hole in Martin picture of rifle being recovered in TSB and in photo of rifle being carried out of TSB. There were no misinterpretations.

Three 5 minutes

Commenting there are extremely inconclusive photos. Expert has demonstrated claims by we critics & testifying no evidence any kind of packing. later today will deal w/ paranoid conviction & focus on report on Novak. CIA used police state methods that USSR would have been proud of. kept in Novak & 3 yrs under hard interrogations and per bogus Novak seemed to know all one pt. CIA thought he would break; he did not. But CIA found extraordinary number of discrepancies in his story.

Fitzsimons wanted a real photo expert he acts like a photo and make it come out this good? Paulson goes at Great lengths, believes it is possible to make a forged photo may want to come to detect Mr. Scott on plane spent to his purpose a fake photo, he took one look and said it was fake. Possible and quite, but very difficult.

Fitzsimons has great difficulty with shape of chin. See no resemblance in any other LHO photo. Seems to him one of the strongest points raised by the war critics. Experts, only, were unable to find better photo LHO & overhead lighting, to show how chin would be flattened. Are they saying it's chin does become in shadow &

chin? Yes. Is the angle of the sun and shadow change in shape of chin? Yes. The practice consistent with change in shape of chin? Yes.

Fitzsimons says re UK critics who purport certain to differ & McCann and Kuhn, what approaches might they apply to determine their findings? McCann says it's also a matter of measurement, not of opinion.

Racey 5 min - (11:05)

Fitzsimons  
Concerning Epstein's batch is also questionable. No basis for concluding LHO gave U-2 information. Novak did give info. Case, to court 2 spies, but late, which led some into thinking him a disingenuous agent to think he was giving up already known. At AIBS has conclusion he cannot think Novak case will be fair. Some AIBS do not think so. A Angleton charged Colby was aware, which Colby denied. Novak seems to have emerged on top — near ID, \$35,000 a year as CIA consultant. FBI vs CIA, Sedan, JET involved of Novak.

Fitzsimons  
Shestopaloff in view of Novak's arrest, US officials began to examine his defection & his possible recruitment by KGB. Cold war — Berlin Wall — Minnie crisis — Cuba — etc. WC concluded no evidence of Soviet involvement in JFK assassination or in using LHO. In Dec 1964 Novak defected, denies LHO connection & KGB. He claimed he had reviewed LHO's KGB file. But some CIA doubted Novak's bona fides. Hartke interrogated April 1965 cut off from world & confined to psychiatric room 3 yrs. Relaxed & frailer, interrogator wrote, reluctantly, CIA decided Novak was legitimate. WC was aware of the Novak's situation but decided not refer to him in WPA. Epstein had 1978 did raise some questions re Novak & LHO. The Epstein depends on hard-hands sources, will examine; no Novak statements on LHO available? If yes, you is most. If no, still does not necessarily lead to any conclusion USSR involved in JFK assassin.

Has made no examination of Novak's home files but did examine performance of FBI CIA & WC. Novak has new ID and a cover letter & flew his car, which could expose him to real danger. Did better in closed reason to HSC & Y and did final deposition. Almost all material derived is classified but CIA releases declass section of basic outlines and staff report prepared, to make public. Report.

Novak's home files are available HSC. President. Staff report.

Contains no conclusions - that is for HSC app. Continue on F-425

Stop Noyton Novak.

Summary report: Born Ukraine 1937. 1953 joined KGB.

Monteiro terrorist. Contacted CIA June 1962 offered

self info. In January 1964 he decided to defect. By April 1964

messing around in his benefice, in part because of his

lying on LHO. Became backed out to the body of the info.

He was given by FBI, which enclosed March 1964 report.

In April CIA placed him as informant under hostile interview.

(Described - N. laid on very rough) Was kept hungry - no

books TV radio or smoke. (Moral long segment because Report)

Books TV radio or smoke. (Moral long segment because Report)

John Hart (X-CIA)

(Contact Kenneth Klein)

~~He left~~ (reported such a statement) was a CIA employee in office  
not an agent. His notes prepared for major based on guidance from  
Alvin Turner. Will take HSC as much as possible about Novak  
as an US credibility. [Counsel asks 4 reasons to examine  
Hart's notes.] Decide process now, reason later] Within this process  
as he processes with his statement.

He will start some of the learned factors in Novak that led to  
Cumulative misunderstanding, mostly & in CIA, when these  
are explained, problems will be cleared up. Will show that  
Hart's job by CIA was counterproductive from 1962 forward  
to November to late 1967. Generated a large amount of misinformation  
Creating problems for Novak, who was not well informed, or  
given total picture. Alvin T. quite concerned about NC case  
& asked Hart come back to CIA K-2-K and try to  
analyze it in the case.

This study was made June to Dec 1976 folio K & 4 auto. Collected  
10 4 drawn files of docs, CIA also other docs in repositories  
were consulted. That step this is open liaison with Novak  
him (original material involved).

First specific question is human factors involved. Will discuss psychological profile of

N. made June 1964, what got me off from those charges in the USSR.

69

Walter said to TQ, State test given to N. Measured 10 elements of human TQ.  
N's memory was weakest aspect his overall IQ, got a 7 (mean about 10) & well below normal. Therefore his testimony unless he favored or the

memory factor alone. And, defector is in deep a major life trauma.

Defector is usually a rather disturbed person a very uncertain about his future.

N when give'd 1962 was still conscious by KGB 25 large agent.

had freedom and choice. In his contacts = CIA to whom memory along

memory factor alone. Was paid in safe house after 1965

KGB tail or protection of him. Was paid in safe house after 1965

were drunk during all those interrogations. Problem became even

worse, 2 type sent from Washington, one = poor Russian, the other

Spoke native Russian & was interpreter. Tape recorder did not

always work well. But records were made from notes by non-

Russian speaker (not transcripts). This led to changes in CIA

N was not what he purported to be. So-called lies were not

what he had actually said.

Proceeds intelligence produced by N in 1962 (notes not taken)

"Another defector, "N.Y." who was paranoid and jealous & often  
hostile defector. He was a product of CIA because wanted class  
over & business, no flattery. Gave 2-B as best the as claim of a

Member of CIA in handling of case, was given access to documents

does a types & info. In my view, X instruments 1964 intercepts of N.

His views on N were taken as definitive and testimony of N

therefore director → breaking N, who was presumed to be a

"double-dealer" KGB agent and of medium USA."

In that context, must view as event after 1962. Poly graphs not used  
& Sch at trial but as instrument of indoctrination. He was  
regarded as part of horrendous plot that had to keep secret  
even from most of CIA staff (eg Mr. K was CIA penetrator  
at very hi level). Was treated to Maximum Exploitability.

11/30/64 conversation = N by Dep. Chief, never part of transcript.

N: what should I expect in future? Reply: Defect CIA has paid  
you or giving N every day to control, social career, personal  
independence, breaking, an asset to his own \$50,000 demands &  
\$25,000 + yr. Plus a \$10,000 bonus.

Once N arrived in USA, were problems. FBI and CIA investigating.

FBI felt he was genuine, at first. TQ said N was  
the V a provocateur. CIA position, keep N isolated but at  
same time continue in being debriefed.

CIA objective was not set up but break him and get him to confess he was CIA  
KGB agent & under KGB control. On 2/12/64 N → CIA info house, in  
friendly fashion. Detained & under guard at all times. N forced, being  
misled of wif = stem disavowal. After a few days, he became  
deafened, personality crisis, having drinking 24 hrs a day → nothing  
(one of his testifying the given in good faith. Handwritten, 1962 tape of N & so-called  
detested persons disengaging between 1962 tapes of N & so-called  
transcript. Found by an older USSR defector. His clients were most  
followed up. N was then switched to "Soviet confinement" and  
put under hostile interrogation. Gave him same treatment as Bushkov  
got from KGB in 1963. [Describes brutal regime HV] only, on 25  
days out of more than 1000 was he allowed freedom. 75% of  
the K he was kept where he would break and confess. Relating to  
was genuinely hallucinating. Helms, then Director CIA, set  
important the lack of any concession (HSC, HSC) on N revealing  
to some other 60 days & went up the case → Praetor's activity  
became handles cases not just N's fault. In Sept 66 prepared  
use podium amongst but Helms refused that. Then went back to  
pre-pride 14 Oct - 28 Oct 66. There were few more trials &  
the "Grim" but he took serious exception to that.  
Mangled confined in chain, hostile operator.

Re 1000-pp report & SB power: per Blaney,  
[Recd 2:30]

(Hart & Dodd) CIA failed miserably in Naffai? Why does HSC  
believe any the N paid when interrogated 3 yrs, hallucinating,  
drunk, no verbalization record? There are eight reasons  
for memory! HSC does believe N. None of his post-truths statements (even  
very HSC does believe N). None of his wife, passed out. But is not  
that or HSC former prisoner. None of his wife, passed out. But is not  
HSC accept N statements on HSC. Why did he never mention  
HSC once in his statement? He agreed to be CIA spokesman on  
Nose but not on HSC, or unless he is less competent. Dodd concludes  
CIA did not want decisions HSC & HSC? Hart, ask Adam T. for his  
judgment, N paid everything in good faith. Prod no P-27 HSC  
staff report, says N denied any knowledge of HSC. Peter, he said,  
HSC did not know Naffai & HSC units applied to memory.  
How come there were 8 to 10 thin volumes of surveillance documents?  
Want say, told down to you of how to evaluate. Personally he would  
ignore N testimony on HSC because finds it incredulous. Trust it  
hard to believe N knew everything that was going on or that  
KGB had so little interest in HSC. He had 24 yrs experience  
in counterintelligence agents but was kept in ignorance of

attempts to assassinate were tho. he was in charge of Cuban desk. No chance of  
work. Conversation of N testimony on LHO, said Helms to disengaged.

71

In FBI/CIA contract on Helms, CIA Helms offered help FBI, which had  
principal responsibility. Doss, quotes from FBI report, "On or by FBI  
or someone in USA, every source & info now is report of FBI, No tapes, no  
transcripts. Doss gives Hart Devar report of FBI, very declarative portions,  
p. 5 para 8. "FBI had no direct access to N April 3, 1964 to  
April 3, 1969," and could not evaluate his home files. Roads

Reply to par 12. "FBI did not take a grant for 1964-5-1968  
about whether N telling truth about LHO." N statements taken at  
face value. (par 15 on primary sources, "FBI had primary rep  
for evaluating N statements re LHO is no JFK case." (Doc is  
FBI Reply to HSC Interrogation.) Hart does not take vision & FBI  
Reply.

Doss, replies, on CIA Reps re LHO in USSR.  
Replic. Doss, replies, on CIA Reps re LHO in USSR.  
Replic.

Hart didn't want N role source of info on LHO in USSR? Any reason  
I think so. Seems clear to him that CIA didn't have first trial set  
all promises inf in info from N, before any very close? Yes, would  
have been logical, but cannot judge if CIA thought they had done  
their best. Helms said, who was major factor of N probe. Also,  
had testimony being undersigned failure of CIA performance "pretty  
damning"! Yes, wed to his opinion, but may not know all the  
facts. Dick CIA try verify N info re LHO = KGB? Yes, they did  
ask a lot of people. But element of the time was "such thick & such  
if Soviet paid N to be my tuck, was considered evidence of  
treachery. Why only now learning about CIA blunders in  
early for of N? outside Hart sphere.

Answer, N got \$75,000 net \$87,000? You then a \$50,000 bonus?  
No, it was reimbursement of amounts deducted from \$25,000 -  
now on CIA salary, about \$35,000. His estimates between  
his CIA visits are classified. Any information brought by  
CIA & N detection? CIA lawyers will reply on request.  
Any resemblance of due process? Helms considers interrogated  
letters in D of T, considered N person held in prison and  
not legally in USA in normal sense of the term.  
Where was Helms after arrest? In safe house in  
suburbs of Wash DC. later moved to place under  
opercacy to house him. His accommodations were  
absolutely unacceptable from any civilized pt of view.  
Witnesses? like a bank vault, with similar door.  
About 10x10 and on 12 x 12' room, no windows.

N made clear - set out 7 limit & it was confirmation. Date slender.

Hart sees firm are open interviewing Day after 88 Div in 1976 - he had been 72. Prime move in N case - had sent ~~letter~~ a mass of N information referring to "demonstrating consequences" of liberation of N. He was evasive re "demonstrating consequences" "danger to his personnel" he refused to answer. Hart thinks it was plain nonsense. NS said "for the period of interrogations" Hart agrees, may have happened. Memo by DC/SB reported to "disposed" of N.

(3) White cost of speakers construction house & N? Quite expensive. Vault surrounding house permanent & chain link fence & barbed wire. Vault itself very costly. Does Hart & Peetie travel on LHO? No, says at another he did not investigate HHO matter because this did not have total access to FBI file on LHO. Decision needs not tell Helms what going on re N? No, he only made an inference Helms not being adequately informed. He was not given total picture, but also shield from Hart himself. American Ops will be very concerned to ensure cause not happen again. How have things changed in CIA? Hart unable to say, not nearly involved in CIA very much. Known Turner overruled "recommendation" re Hart present role of Peetie & CIA. & said Peetie witness on performs & in CIA.

Decision of giving direction over N by CIA, by FBI. LHO in Russia in president of CIA (but not necessary, its competence). 1277 days under arrest, 77% of which was not being questioned.

Hart concludes was no CIA investigation of LHO via M. True? Inclined to agree. But correspondence on dies on LHO would be in a file he does not ask for!

HSC has to assess performance of CIA. How would he rate, CIA or scale 1 to 10? At lowest possible figure. Has never seen anything that was handled worse.

for WR Staff p.18, says, no limitation on WC inquiry and all agencies fully decide Rep Corp in the interest... etc. p.22 WR says, proving negative to a certainty... etc.

Obviously, those conclusions of WC not true, are they? He understands N say was made available to WC & current he was not genuine. WC decided bringer. Not take account of what N said. Represents judge WC in retrospect.

Dodd, re scale of 0-10, lowest and at zero? Yes. If had been a conspiracy by Soviets, would CIA performance mean would not have found out? Not saying that what CIA mean by "disposed"? often used carelessly

Can mean retribution. In N case, believe it meant (rendered by DC/58)  
(in Helms deal) "to liquidate and clean up situation in which  
CIA caused to accused to recant, Helms, N — liquidate,  
render him incapable by use of drugs, put him in long his ~~other~~  
~~other~~ <sup>his auto</sup>

Any contact between CIA & N to keep N in return H&? No.  
Are other people still in CIA or fired? No one except one person  
now in CIA. DC/58 now gone (Deputy Chief of Foreign Ops).  
Does N need from left Agent in N's life story, re KGB back &  
interest in H&. Just no lie by him LHO. Is that plausible?  
He denies it implausible that in relatively small acts, Russia  
KGB 2 board better = H&. Plain implausible. But KGB may not  
have known LHO was a Marine. Even so, plausible? No.

Re N human aspects (memory, drinking, expectations & future)  
was top official KGB in 1962. Helms? 2 believe he does not  
know he would face rough interrogation? Soviet treat  
Russian defectors very well, they are welcomed, and N might  
have expected same good treatment. If he knew how US  
handled defectors, he would have been fearful. Does not  
think N would have no peccatum treatment to face, which was  
unprecedented. [One man tried to defect to ~~USA~~ USA,  
CIA not told, was summarily executed after being turned  
back by US Embassy]

Re N memory, below aware in terms his other personal qualities.  
Does, no weaker than, told by experts it is not dangerous  
to reflect but memory and does not to used as much test.

Were N CIA personnel fired? Refers someone in command line at CIA nothing.  
Feels not proper for him to answer. Surely Helms knew about  
torture went? He went 2 days to look at it, one day, DC/58,  
They said it was catastrophic place. Cannot say how much  
Helms knew. He did know N in solitary 1272 days. Knows &  
turned down torture any tool. Did D&T know what  
CIA intended do w/ N? Not sure it was spelled out.  
No one knows he uses he held so long. Did D&T  
knows or torture, depression, etc? Thinks not.

States HSC met w/ N 2 times, 6 others, Klein spent 15  
hours w/ N, spent 100's hrs CIA memos, N. Staff Rep 10.17  
Aug, late 1968 N unwilling visitor contractor by CIA, etc.  
knew some and young payments cited. Also CIA working on N home.  
Is it correct that after all this, HSC showed disagreement  
everyday N says re H&. Hart, repeats, not plausible on H&.

Brimrose wants to see document re "disgrace" of N (Apartheid).  
that when released, N regime doesn't say it ever met any further claims  
on CIA. N very upset about Epstein book. Does not believe N  
paid off just to make trouble. Stiles of N paid CIA if illegal  
detention & mistreatment, he was not be kept as consultant? He no idea  
would not do that.

Hart (5 min) Will only say he had 3! yrs Govt service, military  
and civilian, never felt he let to be involved in anything so  
unpleasant as N case. An administration and is not typical  
of CIA performance.

Recs to Alan Mandel

Commentary  
A fantastically vague thru intelligence community. Mandel & HSC  
hostile, shocked. Rockfeller Com. has not maintained  
nature of N detention. "Hot List" of 7 ways designation of N  
including "Repudiate" or also associate!

Monday 18 Sept 1968

Commentary (Mme Tolender & Joe Volgy) Will hear two types and clarify  
Report of British Journalist (now deceased) that LHO made over  
fleas to TDK will have any back (Cuban) re plot vs Castro  
etc. Demand answers (now deceased). Open will close Oct  
clarification of un-to many photos by CIA Majority, past PD as LHO.  
KGB will not be informed. Report Friday having re N.  
Blakely re Big Red & Missile Crisis, provinces of Cuba in Cuban Crisis, LHO  
felt possible. Cuban P.R. UC considers if LHO informed by Cuban  
air force regime, whose arms need possibility. UC demands in and  
over not associate any one nation or group & nations. UC demands (greater)  
who moves to top ~~overseas~~ personnel & arm. UC demands (greater)  
who does come from at Cuban Consulate, present & answer; demands  
who does come from at LHO visit. UC also demands various  
on Cuban Cuban aspect of LHO visit. UC also demands various  
concerning allegations, demands, as per footed hand.  
Information not as simple as we believed. Castro was target of CIA plot  
being demanded (Moffat). 4/2/63 Paris Cuba Des Moines. Castro  
self 9/1/63 demands warn US Latin American. Open to  
various interpretation. Feb 1963 Stevens & Team Davis =  
Cuban defect / Castro says. Castro UC gave its conclusions.  
Change was made LHO but unjustify who visited Cuban Cons.  
Mandate based on photo un-2D min. Guards by house in  
R.D.T. Also alleged LHO on C.C. Party, Revolution and USSR  
HSC & stops justified form of various conservative and USSR  
factors on LHO. KGB may have most complete file on world on LHO.

Hornet front denied any meeting w/ HSC or conspiracy in JFK date.  
HSC posed query: (1) who man who invited cc meeting who? 78.  
(2) when did he visit (3) what discussions (4) did he have with JFK?  
(5) did he have companions? Silvia Duran, Schenectady & 1st witness.

But her responses caused not to change.

Connors (present Duran testimony to HSC step) b6/b7c interview held  
Connors (present Duran testimony (selected portions). Tape is played

W/C play tape of the interview (selected portions).  
Duran HSC came to cc 3 x last Sat 6/3, see on phone day. First x,  
he came along permission go to Cuban. Took him to Mexican restaurant  
from USSR & needed friends in Cuban to be Mexican when a  
long transit man. Not true HSC came on 3 days, per Random Digest.  
She is certain he came 3 x on phone day. Did not ask his identity, address.  
She is certain he came 3 x on phone day. Did not ask his identity, address.  
She types his application, which HSC signed (2 cc) in her presence.  
Who said he friend of Cuban民族? Blurred her various letters  
re Castro connections, USSR Cuban card, marriage document to Mexico,  
Mexican FCC, etc. Said he was member CPUSA. After 2nd visit,  
he went to try get Soviet visa. (No remained about 6 pm after previous  
visit) Who said he already had Mexican visa &  
wanted Cuban visa. She said, yes he had the right to Cuban.  
He was Cuban. She seemed Mexican name, who said who  
had organized a band been told women take 2 to 4 mos. HSC was  
angry! Duran had been in jail & Cuban revolution, had been  
visiting hours (unavailable) He said he already had Cuban visa. Deemed  
Asque took HSC home as Duran re visa, asked who came. Deemed  
desperate, she felt sorry for him. Did HSC know Spanish? He  
indicated he did. She is certain that after the 3 visits  
Trinidad? HSC did not return Saturday morning. There is  
no evidence? Who gave any other hints at what kill JFK? No.  
(Connors reads from Denise Johnson book p. 177) (Milder  
(Connors reads from Denise Johnson book p. 177) (Milder  
Custer statement re HSC threats us JFK). Any other, like that  
said by HSC to her, to Asque? No, she does not remember her  
any other like that. Does she think it comes from someone else, had it  
also forget it? No, she unless here remembered, had it  
taken plane. Did HSC seem pleased with or JFK for friends to  
see to Cuban? Who he going? To whom was his anger directed?  
He was angry w/ CC, that is why she called Asque, to  
blamed him and Duran. She told Asque of the suspicious  
of HSC documents. Asque was very polite w/ HSC, who stated,  
he was not really angry. Even see HSC again, a talk to him?  
No, never. If he phoned, she was not there and never got  
any message. Does not remember if anyone else present  
during 2nd visit. Did she ever overhear any conversations  
re killing JFK? No. Her associates seemed to care JFK.

She herself like and admires JFK. Most meetings liked him, even those who were pro-Castro. (Brazzine segment) Liked JFK policy → Latin American when she got news of death & said "no, don't feel too sorry?" you, and even now she does not feel like killing JFK. (Brandtler) NL.

Connors also spoke to Horst Duran, Alvaro's husband in 1963, and to Horst's brother, Rankin, and brother, Rankin's wife. He commented Duran testimony. (NB: No questions re HTO identity)

Blatner next witness is Asque, Cuban Consulate Miami, 1963.

Asque (to US Marshalls & spoke from diplomatic corps, who he knew, distinguished)

(Testimony same interpretation). (Statement to Cuban Convention = USA (HCO)

> Castro desire to get to Cuba no Duras (Connors, Connell). 67 yrs old,

Born Havana. When Castro came to power, he became CC. Was CC to

Was an architect. When Castro came to power, he became CC. Was CC to

11/18/63, this in Sept. 63 started to turn over affairs to Minister who was

to replace him. After replacement, went directly to Havana.

(Displaying exhibit = HTO application to Cuban visa). Yes, he recognizes

(Displaying exhibit = HTO application to Cuban visa). Yes, he recognizes

The document. 6 cc had 2 B completion, & photo & signature

Date 9/23/63. Does he remember this? This one was filed?

Yes. HTO came to CC to ask for visa 4 cards. J. Duran as was

usual handles his request. Did Asque say he gave HTO 1-2

days before the date of the application? He cannot say that

definite. Can we have an exact day, days before 1-2

several days before. However, does not see visa application

at all, unless the applicant requests his presence. HTO did

not request his presence. He was desirous to see Duran's

application and wanted Asque & his son a Cuban visa at once.

Asked him HTO & manager does. Asque told him HTO does

not know (does not know application HTO membership Cuban)

Told him had to get authorization from Havana, and

which & HTO files in the specific & wait out 2 cc &

obtain photos. He returns to the photos, perhaps on

some day, perhaps on following day. Asque said he was on 3

occasions. On second visit, what occurred? He was

not present when Duran rec'd the photos but HTO probably

wanted opinion regarding to getting visa. It comes later

then 15 to 20 days, response might have been negative.

Told HTO of his difficulty, but USSR visa, could give

him transit visa. Was response to Havana? What did

HTO do at termination of that investigation? Believes he

left probably to try get Soviet visa. Did Asque

have any contact = prior Cuban? Can't HTO repeat?

Yes, think day or next day, most likely next day (9/29/63)

The 8th phone call from Louis Lichnowy, tell him HTO does  
re his Soviet residence & Marriage, legal and correct but come

not issue visa and connection between Agnew would have to connect Havana. Then LHO informed of 3rd & last time, under impression all differences had been resolved & his visa issued to Havana. He was very discontent and urgent about visa, never had anyone do so adamant. Thus all LHO visits were during regular office hours (10 am - 2 pm). Final came on 9/27/63, perhaps 2 x, and 3rd X comes from 9/28/63. On 9/26/63 first visit and last visit on 9/27/63. But LHO → 9/27 and 9/28 (Friday & Sat).

LHO did conversation on last visit and ? He had great long 4

hours (10 am - 2 pm). Final came on 9/27/63, perhaps 2 x, and 3rd X comes from 9/28/63. On 9/26/63 first visit and last

visit on 9/27/63. But LHO → 9/27 and 9/28 (Friday & Sat).

Was did conversation on last visit and ? He had great long 4

hours (10 am - 2 pm). Final came on 9/27/63, perhaps 2 x, and 3rd X comes from 9/28/63. On 9/26/63 first visit and last

visit on 9/27/63. But LHO → 9/27 and 9/28 (Friday & Sat).

Was did conversation on last visit and ? He had great long 4 hours (10 am - 2 pm). Final came on 9/27/63, perhaps 2 x, and 3rd X comes from 9/28/63. On 9/26/63 first visit and last visit on 9/27/63. But LHO → 9/27 and 9/28 (Friday & Sat).

Was did conversation on last visit and ? He had great long 4

hours (10 am - 2 pm). Final came on 9/27/63, perhaps 2 x, and 3rd X comes from 9/28/63. On 9/26/63 first visit and last

visit on 9/27/63. But LHO → 9/27 and 9/28 (Friday & Sat).

Was did conversation on last visit and ? He had great long 4

hours (10 am - 2 pm). Final came on 9/27/63, perhaps 2 x, and 3rd X comes from 9/28/63. On 9/26/63 first visit and last

visit on 9/27/63. But LHO → 9/27 and 9/28 (Friday & Sat).

Was did conversation on last visit and ? He had great long 4

hours (10 am - 2 pm). Final came on 9/27/63, perhaps 2 x, and 3rd X comes from 9/28/63. On 9/26/63 first visit and last

visit on 9/27/63. But LHO → 9/27 and 9/28 (Friday & Sat).

Was did conversation on last visit and ? He had great long 4

hours (10 am - 2 pm). Final came on 9/27/63, perhaps 2 x, and 3rd X comes from 9/28/63. On 9/26/63 first visit and last

visit on 9/27/63. But LHO → 9/27 and 9/28 (Friday & Sat).

Was did conversation on last visit and ? He had great long 4

hours (10 am - 2 pm). Final came on 9/27/63, perhaps 2 x, and 3rd X comes from 9/28/63. On 9/26/63 first visit and last

visit on 9/27/63. But LHO → 9/27 and 9/28 (Friday & Sat).

[I am back 5 min]

Comment Duran describes of non-in HHO & Agnew more complete than Agnew's description, HHO had remarkable talking 2 unison Cuban, Soviet, and American officials. ~~Havana~~ No far no contradiction. Alleg HHO said anything & threaten JFK. Remarkable of Cuban diplomatic 2 testifying before US Congress, of HHO threats & JFK would not necessary admit it, not of self-interest. Castro could & HHO also matter of self-interest. Later today handwriting expert with testifying on HHO signature on visa application. Castro types will undermine deny HHO threats w/JFK. Interestingly that USSR not organizing at all, which strongly is true had little or no contact w/ HHO. ND did alleg at X there were problems on HHO surveillance in USSR archives (at least 2 think files). Vols reviews CIA type w/ HHO notes, new destroy, David Phillips says HHO case & David Johnson he offered information. Wonders (in UK Pictures Duran testimony verified by "reliable sources") of CIA had passed CC in meeting. Duran testimony has not changed over the years.

Intestine conflict Duran vs Asque re 3 men who are on or in possession  
dug. Asque has distinct No rings - wear heavy. No evidence TPK  
had any specific knowledge of plot visitors.

25

Asque (desig) Lek 407 = Carlton cc via opficiation (photo of patient)  
(Same was given to us in 1964). His cc and we have been  
made at one & by Duran. Notably the types 3 origins, look & are carbon.  
Believes 407 is Carlton of 408, tho is not an expert. The features of the  
officers are all the same. Dorothy carrying a person who visited him?  
Officer, this photo is one that he saw & first & in April 1978 earlier  
HSC off came to Cuba, and he was surprised because he believed it  
was not the same person. Cannot be absolutely certain, 16 yrs gone  
ago, but he sees Hto on TV in newspapers? Yes, but  
After 4/2/63 did he see Hto on TV in newspapers? Yes, but  
not in fair few days after assay. About mid-Dec. he saw few  
not in fair few days after assay. About mid-Dec. he saw few  
of his visitors. Hto and was not able to ID Hto as man who  
visited cc. At that time he had clear memory of the visitors.  
He did not recognize Hto as man who came to cc. That  
man was over 30 yrs old, very thin faced, but Hto in  
report photo but not same as man in cc. Desig  
Lek. 434 = passenger & photo of Hto. Is that the man who  
visited cc? No, many differences. Visitor had hard face,  
straight eyebrows, cold hard eyes, cheeks were thin, more  
was very straight and pointed. This man is heavier, fuller  
face, eyes at an angle, very never have recognized him as  
the man who came to cc. He remembers that man as  
well he carried pink his photo book of 100 photos. This is  
not the man! (Desig Lek. 194 = photo other by DP)  
Is that man who visited cc? He would never have  
recognized him, as he did not recognize him when  
shot by Rely. But can ID this photo as the man killed  
by Rely? Comment: Jim Duran has no doubt names  
who visited cc was Hto. Any reason she would be mistaken?  
Categorically he could not opinion it but the man to be  
more vicious than he. He remembers about to shoot  
on to and he did not recognize Hto. Did not have any  
prejudices or preconceptions. He had good vision then.  
The visitor had made a strong impression & he believes  
his version is correct.

Flener

3 Dura photos Hto in CC, Duran Aspasia & Mireles? Yes. Mireles was already designated consue. On 11/22/63 Aspasia no longer in Mexico? Yes, had dinner returning Cuba, and Mireles had been open as agreed. Returns Cuba 11/18/63. Bryant & do = Lanzacion = 11/6 or 7 com. JTK? In no way. Not relatives shall. Returns Cuba because all his family already there. Had been writing, telephone since June 1963.

His 2 sons already in Cuba 2 in June for permission return since June 1963. His 2 sons already in Cuba 2 in June for permission return since June 1963. His 2 sons already in Cuba 2 in June for permission return since June 1963. His 2 sons already in Cuba 2 in June for permission return since June 1963.

Frost had to leave Mireles and return him. Was met Macias but returned at his own wish? Yes, he had requested return in June.

Re Vice Aspasia (Exh 408), is printed date "Oct 10, 1963". What is that? An internal procedure, Hto not in Mexico that date. Aspasia (memo) Oct 1, Mexican member of CPUSA, being FPC, various forest cities. Mireles, Flennery, Davis Hto prepared by Duran Aspasia & Mireles, he has 4 post & April 1978. Who did not judge Cuban Govt decision on visa application. Re Aspasia procedure with Soviet Embassy, who initiated the contact? Concerning, he was under impression Soviet Embassy has called him. He was told they are fine. But is Prairie Duran placed case at Hto request, despite his impression all along Soviet Embassy had called him. He writes, at bottom of page, "by Duran, Aspasia believes, and she can pressure him to grant Hto the visa? No, poor woman, was in no position to pressure him. She might have made a personal recommendation because of Hto's Soviet residence and CPUSA membership. She did not exert pressure on any other thing. She shows any unusual interest in Hto case? No, no. Certainly not any reason of friendship. They never again spoke & Hto after he left the premises. Was there place nearby where Hto could have had photo prints, when Aspasia sent him during open 1st visit to get photo? Yes, about 1/4 to 1/2 blocks away, were photo studios. Duran and I have directed him. In the photo, Hto appears wear tie and sweater. How he dressed during visits & co? Always remained him as wearing a suit, cross-lined pattern, never vienagred him wearing a light-color sweater. So that clothing in photo not similar to dress after in co? He is Mireles' cousin of fact. Did he have leather or paper photo on visa application? When Hto returned? No, he did not receive the photo or witness the preparation of the application. He gave photo only in April 1978.

He never looked at the photo or compared man with Hto person? No, ever seen a photo of the man in CC claiming to Hto? Never. So, HSC stop showed him collection of many photos. Possible that among the photos (8 are persons in or near CC) ... not possible for anyone who came to accuse being photographed. Here he pointed to first in photo taken in 1960 in Jan to find man he remembered as Hto. Curious that there is no photo of Asque from those who as officials, spies or not there is no photo of Asque from those who as officials, spies or Cuban Consulate. He recognized none of the photos in the album as the Hto writer. Ever see that man again? No, never.

If signature on Asque is known to the Hto, would he like believe writer to be not Hto? In this case, would have to accept that he was being informant or was passing messages.

(Diary Book 437-)

[Passes to 2pm]

Commentary: Asque says "Hto" not real Hto and comes next find photo of man he sees in album of CIA photos, not any photo of real Hto. Asque in conflict w Duran, who FD's Hto as possibly same person. Wonders if not seeing another example of straw man set up in case, knocked down in form. Very finite. Asque indicates some, perhaps, has Hto photo and signature. Writer will be questioned, probably he too will say "Hto" was real Hto. No mention yet of Alvarez, Nicaraguan agent who penetrated Cuban command and claims he saw Hto paid \$6,000 in CC. No Cuban command nor a credible witness. In album shown to Asque, were photos of CIA-un-ID man. He argued that absence of Hto in CIA album, prove Hto not real. There, but CIA claims of CIA breakdown. Vicks refers to last 3d and answers "various" of Asque. Mr Naegi says ID pictures as Hto's. If not Hto's writer, there is no ID. But prospects HSC will knock down Asque's story w Duran and Naegi. Writing a lot of time on file before testimony is most credible (no signatures). HSC is showing it is leaving no stone unturned. Obviously Asque is defending himself and the Cuban front from Hto. RT, however, finds Asque a credible witness. Of mixed TDS Hto, writer hardly by a Cuban front line. Reasons are withholding cooperation w HSC + writerless & his file checked by N. And what other CIA file on Hto? HSC has had set away & no testimony on Nicaragua. Had want about CIA destruction plans type of Hto. Log does not take HSC has done enough to convince CIA + other agencies file on Hto. Interestingly to see if HSC elicited facts CIA on Hto, because w FBI, distinctions.

HSC. wife no doubt deal & friends CIA & PSL 2 cooperate. Only 14 spectators in Nov.

Aspue (Prayer) Est. 428 displayed. A newspaper report, "Helen Clark article in American press. Prayer reads from article: "Yes, I heard of Hto plan kill JFK!!! Never seen plan used to put it effect" ("Approved Castro remarks). Helen Aspue comment on other statement. "Mujeres, amigos, acordó total HSC that Hto did not see anyone other than himself, Mirabal, Duran, he never said any such thing." To any of them, must be done that goes by order of Hto to Castro. He would never have tolerated any such conversation — unless have been clear provocation — rebellion never dealt w/ terrorism, certainly not w/ death. JFK, revolution & work artists' claims? No, would not have tolerated it. Prayer: Duran & husband, did he socialist & Helen, attend their parties? Yes, moderately. Not frequently tho. They always invited him. Any parties in Sept. 1963? So possible but doubts they would have had parts, and friends invite him. Not party he attended not Duran was finance party & him, in Nov. Does he know Elena Garea do Ras? Yes, has seen her in home one of her brothers, Mexican & Mexican painter friend of Aspue. Octavio Pat? Yes. Elena friend of Durans, too? Yes. Relation to Duran's husband, known Elena fairly well? Not very well, met her 2 or 3 x at her sister's home. Not intimate friends. She revolutionary, still? Mexican leftist. She referred cultured person, a writer. A vivid imagination?

Hard to play on basis 2 or 3 encounters. Even hear Hto attended Duran party? No, never, and does not believe it, whatever the story. Duran "LHO" had no other purpose or activation, says never saw him again, does not believe he attended Duran party. Know Emilio Carballo? Yes, used known writer. Even discusses JFK & Carballo? No, was not his practice to discuss foreign policy & certain his own friends. Was Carballo at Duran parties? It's possible, does not recall him being at any specific party. About Elena's credentials: She told HSC she at Duran Party, and that Aspue & Hto both there. " " at another party she heard Aspue & Carballo discuss JFK and Aspue paid, no information but a bill pres JFK. Any comment? It is not conceivable in her mind to kill anyone, and certainly not Pres of US. Elena's statement is incredulous. Mother 2 or 3 x and much before "LHO" showed up at CO. Last saw Elena before Minibike arrived 7/2/63. Then previous other circumstances (an account of his trip to Duran) (cont'd July 19<sup>th</sup>) last & he saw Elena again around July 19<sup>th</sup>.

Dodd Was Conrad heavily early, January 1959 to Mar 1963. Also part in charge of housing 4 persons in Cuban, June 1959 to 1960, then back to Mexico as attache, soon after took charge of CO, until Nov. 63. April 1961 station. No, never saw photo or was official until 1978. Duran handled the application and attachment ran photo and got the deportation. Dodd: he interview held in Cuban, asked if any going on Hto hair. He said, "blond hair" but implied "was photo plan?" Not so. Was merely describing Puerto Rico was same Duran.

It varies significantly from Hto most photos? Yes, when he saw photo in April '70 was photograph by Dimiceli to Hto who was killed by Lolo. Was completed, differed from "Hto" in CC. About 35 yrs old, very thin face. This Hto much younger, much fatter face, he is absolutely certain, that is his truth.

Younger, much fatter face, he is absolutely certain, that is his truth. Doss: Then either a terrible mistake by Duran, or completely by someone at CC; what? Comment on that contradiction? Argue is pure face was the complaint. He and Duran see different truths. Another possibility is that Duran made did not check the photo against the person - an enormous error? A mistake that can often happen. Can argue he is mistaken? So possible, we are all capable of error, but is convincing to a right?

Jacques: Curious why Losque spent so many years in hiding away from Cuba? Helped in 1959 during Machado regime, wanted complete his studies in Mexico, never felt at home in Batista. Machado became his second homeland. John was protected until 1931-1959? As voluntary exile, no one forced him to leave Cuba.

Counsel (?) did he see film of Ray Blotz Hto in Cuba, and were he then concerned because it was not man who was a CC? Exactly! Concerned & never he clearly remembered. Did he recall did not correspond to man he clearly remembered. Did he recall that to Cuban Govt., if so, to whom & what personnel? He told some of his friends in the Ministry of Foreign Affairs but it was testimony of his own imagination, and film disturbed & pain. Testimony has been Mrs. Kristen, Referring his view (to Garrison (?)) might have been Mrs. Kristen's (or Garrison's) on Luis Hto's movements, that he came (or driving Garrison office) to Luis Hto's movements, that he came not have been in Mexico. At that time he made oral report but it may be reflected in written documents. like Garrison, he believed there were 2 Htos. To whom in Ministry did he say? Not Hto & CC? And when? Told his colleagues in M of FA, Mat'l Council & Culture, Diaz Lechuga and others, at & of Garrison statements, possible, end of 1969 or early 1970. Any action taken on his report? Does not know. Do journalists he was most relieved, and matter was not of immediate concern to Cuba. Within did Hto produce document showing CPUSA membership? Was that document valid? He did not pay much attention to the does and could not see if "young" authenticity. That is why they asked for the hand writing of "young" to see if the does were valid. Who keeps CC Complainant in possession of photo submitted? First one should have been Duran, he says also there have changed, but faithfully, he did not do so. Tritton asks Complainant where HCC got acrylic. Came from N. Orleans. Via acrylic? Has photo? Acrylic acrylic, was obtained from Cuban Govt. HCC is from Cuban Govt & we. To date "Oct 10", no testimony yet and resolves what issues. Tritton to Aspm: Hto ever mention his

Mario Serrano at 1121 No, provided no info, except re disappearance of one  
man's application. His way instant from 2nd an immediate visit. Then 83:  
Conversation was X brief. Every man centered on visit.  
Dated August Cuban Parliament to CC 1963, my record of file who came there?  
We were now, found like that, just took security measures of simple type  
and mechanisms like that, just took security measures of simple type  
and guard against outside aggression. On hearing HHO was charged  
to guard against outside aggression. On hearing HHO was charged  
assassin, was there an investigation by Cuban Govt to determine  
what had happened in CC March? Who visits? He communicated  
at once to Foreign Ministry (from as he heard Mario HHO was  
intervening), sent to Interior Ministry, Comandante Pisay, was  
immediate communication because Cubans feared attempt against  
march Communist because Cubans feared attempt against  
from in that duty, however - they effort 2 guarantee  
Joaquin with Pisay's report to Pisay. They effort 2 guarantee  
Duran or Minister? Duran has been arrested by Mexican Govt.  
Duran or Minister? Duran has been arrested by Mexican Govt.  
He could not be aware of any other investigation by Cuban Govt.  
oral testimony? No written record of any written reports, replied was  
all handed over, no written reports made.  
Asque (5 min) It is in greatest interest of us for this anti-American  
clarified to the utmost. Cuban has always communists and has held  
o March 1963 remain anti-American to HSC, Alamo Plaza & Cuban  
March 1963 (Asque's lawyer) under Cuban operation to  
make part 3 recent.  
Blecher became of president to HHO character, denied last HHO  
signature on visa application 2 signatures available, on application,  
obtained from Cuban Govt. Committed to HHO funding case. Now, a  
Passport Office June 1963. Application of panel with respect  
monetary limit, fund signatures were HHO. Now, since  
hearing witness, Asque dies.

Murillo (Golosonita) was General Secretary Sept 2, 63 for 11 mos.  
CC open 10-2 daily, closed half a day. Ever see HHO in CC?  
Twice, when he was processing his visa application. Only thing  
happened happen? Yes, on first occasion, under him and no one,  
came out general X to see what was happening. Asque told him,  
whether urgent visit but not authorized to come. Did HHO argue  
X on both visits? Yes, both X, arguments. To check a degree her  
he believed was a promotion, an attempt to create some kind of  
momentum or distract hence. Duration? Came with expert, about  
15-20 min. You pass HHO back X? Yes, but from his private  
office, not close up, at distance of about 5 meters when visits  
take place? Shortly after his arrival. Display Ed. 408 visa  
application. Date refresh his memory?

Yes, it is done to date no arrived CC. Please look at photo on file. Did it  
photo & same man who visited CC & visa? Really did not observe 84.  
him write any specific intent, but believe is same person.

Any discussion after 4/22/63 of Hto arrested = like at CC? Yes, on  
11/23/63 has very told him an engine at CC that JFK wanted, later,

she told him Hto = same person that came to CC. General: Name

of photo attached to Castro (Photo w/TK). Did Hto make much demands?

Photo attachment to Castro (Photo w/TK). Did Hto make much demands?

(NB: but he does not understand English.)

Dated (Re attachment of photo to application) - Having of photo was  
duty of Secy. Did not partie in any manner. Did not  
bring photo in CC, even wrote on it. So photo on file the  
same man who came to CC? As he said before, it was applied  
to apply but he at no X request of as same as application on  
person. (Hto Photo w/TK)

Saenger, he denied, could be understood or speak English at that x;  
No, but says a Duran worked here told him if Hto said  
any thing of your nature. He thinks them complete. At his info,  
came from Japan & Duran. He presented card of members in  
CIA but they denied he was a member. No card was past.

CIA, no membership cards in CP are even issued.  
However, no membership cards in CP are even issued.

Carb did have his name. Will be intantly to know how he  
got it. Forrest counsel said Hto had asked Drea to USSR

but went back about 4 mos.

Notes (Re questions on photo) Normal sign in presence of CC  
attained? Normal and always close that way. Not usual  
sign blank form. Never done unlike he was there. Not did  
forms ever leave CC, to his knowledge. Was against the  
rules.

Ford asks M to describe Hto demands. He did not pay  
special attention and 15 yrs have passed. Was rather  
small man, medium or less height, narrow shoulders,  
wearing coat, short hair, no mustache, serious, hard or  
tough, upset or unhappy. (NB: not asked about hair color)  
Munibar (5 min) his impression from first ans, it was a provocation.  
Insects or anyone. He was being persistent and not stop  
long in Mexico. Because of his demands, was argument &  
fear & Duran. He accused them all of being true  
revolutionaries. They former directors of Munibar.

Personnel, nothing appear before Hto, and now  
intended in process for audience, there have been effort  
to mislead Cuba, he says has been linked, but neither  
he nor Cuba has any role, respective soon per Castro.

Chinese who enter into record articles by Jean Daniel & in New Republic.

No statement, include that also.  
States interview w/ Castro on Tues. HSC made 2 trips to Cuba 30 March - April 24-29 August. Cuban attitude extremely helpful. Deeply appreciate.

Castro, 4 hrs interview first visit, to much clear than had o/ake do  
2 JFK assas.

[Re cens]

Commentary Sophie says, like not the man who came to CC, had his testimony,  
Confidential by Dunn - Minibus who thought it was HSC, a go  
Conducting aspect - was HSC signature, CIA photo, not HSC, no guarantee  
Reviews, were there 2 HSCs. To: No evidence to support Sophie. HSC will  
surely conclude Sophie is mistaken. #7: does not seem 2 be Cuban  
orchestration. There were two Cuban types. He will again deny any  
knowledge any intent by HSC to kill JFK, but stop with say, there is  
evidence in USA files that Castro did, have advanced knowledge.

[Tuesday 19 Sept 78] Commentary: JV: Taxis report like an MCB agent, was a PR/Media per  
HSC hit Cuba & assist 3 months 3 days HSC to Cuba, interviewee Gato 4 hrs. He denies  
any involvement, even, of HSC at CC, photo an apple, not the person Sophie saw. Also, did  
Castro always tell who went to CC was suspicious, felt it was an attempt to implicate  
Castro. Re Sophie, says man at CC, photo an apple, not the person Sophie saw. Also, did  
Castro tell to Sophie? Is it clear Castro's opinion, not mine who?

Castro has no opinion on that. Has no recollection of having met Sophie. This possible  
Castro always felt who went to CC was suspicious, felt it was an attempt to implicate  
Castro. Re Sophie, says man at CC, photo an apple, not the person Sophie saw. Also, did  
Castro tell to Sophie? Is it clear Castro's opinion, not mine who?

Castro has no opinion on that. Has no recollection of having met Sophie. This possible  
Castro always felt who went to CC was suspicious, felt it was an attempt to implicate  
Castro. Re Sophie, says man at CC, photo an apple, not the person Sophie saw. Also, did  
Castro tell to Sophie? Is it clear Castro's opinion, not mine who?

Castro has no opinion on that. Has no recollection of having met Sophie. This possible  
Castro always felt who went to CC was suspicious, felt it was an attempt to implicate  
Castro. Re Sophie, says man at CC, photo an apple, not the person Sophie saw. Also, did  
Castro tell to Sophie? Is it clear Castro's opinion, not mine who?

Castro has no opinion on that. Has no recollection of having met Sophie. This possible  
Castro always felt who went to CC was suspicious, felt it was an attempt to implicate  
Castro. Re Sophie, says man at CC, photo an apple, not the person Sophie saw. Also, did  
Castro tell to Sophie? Is it clear Castro's opinion, not mine who?

Castro has no opinion on that. Has no recollection of having met Sophie. This possible  
Castro always felt who went to CC was suspicious, felt it was an attempt to implicate  
Castro. Re Sophie, says man at CC, photo an apple, not the person Sophie saw. Also, did  
Castro tell to Sophie? Is it clear Castro's opinion, not mine who?

Meipien authorities will not allow a particular witness to cooperate with HSC.

See

Deacons deny Elvin story. This refutes his in service - continues - University, like Custer, by pro-Castro State Dept. him or wife. Deputies were US interests agent. Their intent like Custer but he disagreed. He was US from justifications they investigate HSC activities and associations.

In short HSC was justified their investigation HSC activities and associations in Mexico. Cuban Govt agrees, shown in photo of HSC in Mexico. In fact, Cuban people photo was photo personnel of CC in 1963. (They photographed the photograph!) HSC intentionally investigated like all the surveillance, true yet all relevant photos, but most of justification. No photos of HSC or any comments of his were located. Stating so unproductively

Cord by Castro & Cubans & HSC.

Baldy remains more performance of HSC which had large role in protecting JFK and investigating the assassin. He was subject to the most scattering criticism - and statements, slow response to first info. We found in criticism of re matriculation, serious response to first info. We found in criticism of arrangements as seriously disappointed. HSC tried to ignore us. HSC tried to ignore us. Arrangements date from Ad file on threat us JFK, to generate of protection. Generated date from Ad file on threat us JFK, to generate of protection measures, and determine of its party, Rep. or Asian. (Historical background of Ad, 1961 to date, when became Rep. & Rep. Protection.) JFK had to protect themselves very widely, worked at certain security measures. He again says "Boro in free speech. He had kept of communication during his trips. HSC is "memorizing" it, HSC tries constantly its processes & performances. Convenient, we have incidents of comparison, who be first hit. Gain & we.

Kelly (James Matthews) in 1963 came to prospective, charged with false investigation and misrepresentations. Investigation performance of agents. (Ad. 423 = org. clear SS) Yes, that was in force in 1963. Yes, he did review performance of WIT before. Datto, PRD performance. Was in House rule Committee on 11/2-1/3, ordered to due help Senate & coordinate indirectly by S & Asian. His Rep. was, find out what happened & how had PRD performed. First in an HSC interview, 4 interviews, they first out of to whom the accusation, of he had overreached. Too many people were present at interrogations, under less than ideal circumstances. Difficult to fully understand purpose interrogations. He deeply questioned HSC, asked him if he had killed JFK, if not, say so, if yes admit it. HSC said went back to Kelly later on. When HSC shot, Kelly lined up others & forced in police station. When arrived, to basement, heard another gunshots, but was not eliminated by a DR. DR also took part in gun, by Marin, arranging details to her, tried get HSC to demand from her. Was getting info also from FBI, DR had some info on him, fact that other military, etc. Did he look in DR file & file background a Cuban connection? DR had no info on HSC prior to arrival, sent memo of report & DR on HSC background. First he and field offices investigate possible connection = assassin? No, but was a consideration HSC investigation going on in DC. True. Claiming later office investigating file in FBI? Yes. DR agents drinking night before - were they in protection of DR nuclear? Not to degree warranting action against them - was a matter of judgment, they were released from Rep. in the case. In DR agents in Dallas, he felt more caused by changes & decline in of duty. DR self-criticism. Green belt and review his conduct. If Rep. in danger, government duty, is set him away. Green belt and review his conduct. If Rep. in danger, government former cause, but from his experiences.

Does not know of testing of agents. Did he review org. of agent in charge of WTC 87.

Details, who was damaged? He was not in Dallas. Concerned peris, personnel, passengers & Dallas trip were negotia. Staying Dallas to Miami - Dade, local reports from FBI field offices to various LHO numbers. Became aware LHO number of FBI, aware Marin Pro-Castro. Made no specific request of investigating, around Gangs were already under scrutiny, by FBI. He reported by FBI in Chicago, no known problems involved JTK case, was aware of it. Recalls any reports from Miami area?

Yes, previous, did very interested in Cuban activity, Florida prior case? became frequent trips to Miami. Self report as Murkado? Yes, one of a series became frequent trips to Miami, can conceive of circumstances occurring, reading of reports on pro & anti Castro Gangs Miami; can conceive of circumstances occurring, reading of reports on pro & anti Castro Gangs Miami. He himself reports on possible, according to himself act and dangerous Miami. He himself reports on possible prior case?

On behalf of Castro, FBI & CIA were investigating, possible certain Cuban, possible long other business of conspiracy? Were any coming to MIAMI of other groups? Went to FBI, about Agent George J. Borch, KKK. Did he try determine location to FBI, about Agent George J. Borch, KKK. Did he try determine why was conspiracy on 11/12/63? Interested and interested in getting info, but was case FBI in charge interests of case. Head claimants & J. Mueller FBI Correspondent learned about Moty very secret info to be given at MIAMI levels, learned of FBI contact with Marin & LHO in Miami. Even claim about Moty made? No, only in press in 1976 or so, learned about LHO/Burgess in MIAMI, present to Bonn. He did not find evidence of plot in JTK case. Re 9/11/63 Castro aspects, "worried" respond in Miami? Yes, he was aware of it but only after he went back to DC in Dec. Yes, he became familiar Miami & MIAMI, changed to bring MIAMI & Castro, familiar names and info, he made determination of what info, he used word from MIAMI, last few doc, an example, his did in Dallas, when he former. Yes, did discuss & MIAMI things and possible conspiracies / possibilities as recent reports. (FBI: No previous to Hitler!)

Series of questions re Motives, none? Yes, various all other leading were considered security risks. Aware of threat made by Torito Hitler? Yes, was aware of M. threat w/ JTK, specifies, what did? Because of threat to FBI, tried convince M. Threat so high keeps a high, low attempt

Made to check beds in Dallas? Low attempt was to make by advance name to FBI, does not know specifically what was done to do or where they did, the FBI and someone, inform MIAMI office of multiple threat, does not know what was known later in this specific instance. He looks 414-448, series of reports on Cuban plots to assassinate, assassination Cuban activities in Miami. Other interrogations & threats US FBI, come from one side, from Cuban, who letters authentic? Writer non-authentic by themselves felt the letters were construed to be intercepts and to intercept Castro. Murkado was involved in this. He did nothing connect this to other earlier "inquiries" in Miami. Denied any connection to LHO. Yes, but the info to MIAMI, we still were in Miami.

Asked Did he evaluate reaction of Dallas, MIAMI to arrest of Shultz? Yes, thought about it. Given C reason first shot as gunfire, 1st shot probably missed, He had 7 secs to prevent 313 shot, when shot & he have time between shots? Heard to Second-Guns them. Does not think he knew they were under fire until about JTK hardly wounded. He was looking at crowd, per instructions, thinks none of them realized 1st shot, were under fire. Only realized it when heard 2 shot.

They were trained interceptors since Return Nov. 1963. Only and any dangerous spec.

Agent changes techniques that before exam. Field offices did not care or

other agencies to writer. How come? Cases and did all as head

police but could not care in FBI for writer in physical protection.

He did not have all the resources needed to protect him, was short-handed,

lacked trained staff. Under Director no higher priorities info? Yes; but

FBI already knew when he arrived there manager not took to argue

else. 2 things important? No card? No, was working in FBI. Saw no

problem of degrees of effort in 1st few days Delta, much confusion there.

Any need to WC to focus on Congressmen that it was concerned about? Not

on anything specific, in what range of protection. Name of 4th

connection = Castro regime & Cubans in US? Yes, knew like big hierarchy,

involvement in Dresden in PFLC, but had no idea like LHO & organized militia

Cuban groups. Why did he not receive all files in FBI to give

specifics? Didn't do so, held a book from WC.

When FBI began focus on LHO, did he have any information FBI leads on

investigations conducted by AS Divs Office? No. G. Castro speech

last (6/63), went around giving his direct report us TFK? Think no

immediate by AS to pro-Castro sources, limited resources. If in FBI -

got periodic review. When FBI took over, did AS give all info in its

file to FBI? No, reviewed it and found FBI already had it (one

file - Cuban cables). Was not it RS investigating, concerned only in

direct threats. But he knew of Gustavo Machado before even as

associate of Cubans who made threats w/ TFK? Yes, sent WC a report on

G. Machado, write which he had to type up on that information. Gave not

final summary that file 415 went to WC, but WC had access to the

file & the info. WC did extensive investigation of Cubans, Miami area.

Yes, was aware WC was open to possibility of a plot. To file 415-418

these reports contained of no Cuban plot against TFK? Yes, knew some knew

reports to WC? Not those particular info, but knew WC info on the plots in

file 415-418, naming Machado and his pals was. You, knew who were described

as terrorist, but did give secret class to WC. In hind sight, was

relevant to WC review of LHO/pro-Castro groups, but WC did get info on

that from FBI & CIA a WC thought knew numerous more file files, the

not transmitted to WC. To PFLC, number of whom alleged 20 per

of plot 2 kill TFK, did he inform WC? Sent 2-pp note on Machado to

WC. Was he aware HHO in North PFLC? Yes, learned that info 11/22-

Stokes He conduct AS review of 4/21-22/63, paid but not broken rules,

but did it have impact on performance? No, didn't see any effect on

performance on 4/22. Besides 2 film? Yes. Reaction time

noted, was it consistent = top performance? Yes, had three review

to AS involved, Credit his differences, needing TFK car. Note you early reaction to first shot? Yes, no apparent action by defendant

and been apparently unaware. Doesn't you feel there was initial reaction to shot? Yes, when hit on forehead became apparent

after-hours club, only place where Lito could get food. They stayed very late, after midnight. 2 am? Yes. Leno's brother very common name, name unknown, high regards, went attention given by Lito to high regards? At security, high regards, went attention given by Lito to high regards?

Lito 2 degrees in Civil authority & very curious. His unusual go to

police so less not recognize, police most station man in County, Lito Police no less not recognize, police most station man in County, Lito or get word from acquaintants to screen strangers. But no review every person in high regards. Derris (Mutham, significant) ECPRain (dicto). (Please sign)

All answers follow, as how private patients, Holloman (dicto),

Edgar re Party/4/20, why not tagged? DP did not have telephone recorder, no one else had. Did not feel he had right to insist on recording,

was threat of DP. Was there breakdown in chain of command? That made him feel different? No, no lack of communication by

TSBD. Yes, he assumed at first tapes were done, found out later was not so. Lito told him, Marxist but not Communist. No, Lito did not indicate Hitler was part of link to him. Had no agents at

Party, he had never class conscious & Marxism, then Soprasse. He was no real peasant class conscious & Marxism, then not Communists. No, Lito

very frightened something would happen to him, US first would do something, or she used to avoid him. She did not get along w/ FBI.

Was there ever sit-down discussions among FBI/J/S/DPD & former? No, but had discussions w/ Macay & FBI.

Am I writing atomic plan? No, but had discussions w/ Macay & FBI.

FBI had been given false info to write of case. In effect, FBI took over from DP and J/S.

Answer re its specific contingencies plans? Only plan was set pros. out of ante of danger. J/S to personnel Macay, more can out uninvited. J/S 11/22/63, procedures and drills much in telephone. Re Lito reaction times in 2 film, yes, all now

receives formal training in regard of stat. Re: for C reaction vs non-reaction by J/S - Paradox - take look of reaction... (No reply)...

Re Grassy Knob, no one checked out of even statement a DP there, a perfect decoy situation, answered J/S not alert to that danger, and

have killed pros, with a hand gun from there! Hitler says, several such areas on Mc. Point. Now, comes give attention to pros areas.

Devine does he feel if &/or FBI failed in pre-war warning? No, does not. Several good agencies had info on Lito, J/S did not have any. If J/S had known about Lito and that he was to TSBD, J/S would have checked on him. He was a cover, better,

had potential problems. Could not have predicted his behavior.

Holloman (5 min) re Edgar question, re big movie their record of be. Section, very much fewer reports now from FBI, because its activities have been circumscribed.

(Please sign)

Commentary - response Kelen, Castro testimony. Blatley, proponent physician, Cuban.

Mangled by Blakely rep to "relate evidence" against him that threats vs JFK. Repressor being Gandy, who was only said, could not much for the wif.

Note HSC members now protected by US Marshals from reporters. CIA tags are most logical protection source. CIA brings every body, including Wisconsin.

Suppose CIA has tapes of HSC in CT/Sevier County. As does CIA informant

now know CIA 1967 memo, document UK citizen. Also int planed by CIA in other countries has come back to USA as legitimate. Castro funds USA this engineer a provocation → new invasion Cuban. Castro also presents HSC uses

lending 4 CIA. Supposing this is, HSC has no CIA contacts despite his years in USSR. (2pm) Report of the big lie this story, of Agent

lawyer, NT says same has been cast on J. Duran's credibility. Because

Glenn Garro's story, she was in another country, has refused to testify,

HSC has some informed officials on J. Duran intelligence link = CIA.

Has been some indication "relatives, confid" as far as Com to JSC/US/Threats JFK.

And what is Blakely's "relatives, confid" as far as Com to JSC/US/Threats JFK?

TV converts his statement of yesterday, CIA destroyed tape of "HSC" does not

destroy transcript, HSC has those. Be careful people "lets threats" per

"hat" Lawyer, "no evidence to support class except ~~be any statement~~"

information effects & its attorney discredit UK citizen. JV: just was

disinforming UK, J. Reviere, Curtis Thomas Jones claims HSC may have had access

handed back J. Reviere, Curtis Thomas Jones claims HSC may have had access

to mass of CBS tapes - Berlin Tapes, Kennedy - Blakely, per Garro, said

HSC never asked 4 CBS material, but Garro says surely "relatives" of CBS

which contains ~~is~~ belong to someone CBS material. JV: do access

out of what was known to HSC file, which include questions, class grand juries,

Reviere file report, still not declassifying the questions in W. Reviere, one

but ~~stranger~~, D P Ray was ~~yes~~ on knew. Never released. FBI no HSC, would have

interviewed HSC, if he was asked, but he was not asked. HSC not to speak

with him on 11/22. Report accepted by JSC, FBI & CIA not to speak

each other, & soon of reactivation. Questionable, on non-reactivation of

meets by HSC agents and carrying night before. Mangled on Garro, one

but ~~stranger~~, D P Ray was ~~yes~~ on knew. Never released. FBI not to speak

Garro says, & HSC 4 11/22. Point out CIA why no photos HSC. Not to speak

Soviet embassy? what happened tapes of HSC? Johnson's early tapes destroyed?

Captain answer? very CIA concern from we put us Curtis? CIA file on HSC

(in letter or memo) the he was defector, knew about U-2, why CIA not during HSC?

Dia is refer back to FBI? Name goes on Narkos - why Helms been Warren

Not rock release, forget about him? Garro said had my letter, rights to W.C.

Blakely X-Chief Bowley will be next witness. Pictures 1972.

Reviere (Counsel Matthew) Was in DC restaurant when JFK was killed. Retired but

Walton, asset to Treasury. Then went to FBI to Bowles office. Assigned Blakely

to Dallas, told him take charge of the investigation. Soon after, got report

of a Chicago informant of some Cuban gov. Lm. 419? Had to be Quantico Wichita

Does not think he received that report. Lm. 4122, thinks he did receive that

one. Rec'd reports re performance in Dallas? Bowles not fully performance

and Blakely under the circumstances - first response by Clint H.H. Lm. 4123, do

org. chart. Even politicians can have a nervous hand on Dallas aircraft?

D N R. Was meeting between HSC on intelligence aspects. Procedures &

hammers previous evidence? Reviere, HSC as an criminal fact.

It was very difficult, has a point, Blakely Dallas find out who did it.

Chief of intelligence handle add told HSC he was removed from his

and is used to consider his picture his mission.

Ford why he chose INS agent at Martinez funeral? Was Martinez prosecution.  
Any Plumbers or foreign assassin? No, in Meeting = JET, discusses  
lines of unint & science of intelligence? Was unint right get away.  
why he assign T. Kelly to Dell as? He was nearest to Dell & the  
in spectators. He was to enter into who and of a anyone else involved, write all  
that WC as corporation, "no such photo" & Gordon asked him, any foreign  
Power involved, he said, no. But WC knows it early 1963 convention  
Foreign plots. (Did he know that when he testify to WC? (Reply is  
not clear.) If he said no, that was the truth. Ex. 4. 415-417-418, do  
they have your initials? Yes. Why did you not tell WC about those  
reports? Was handled by HS - Kelly, assumes they handled those  
reports to WC. He and the other a gr. type the room.

Eugen, Re investigating plan, any and team formulates to cond work &  
hired Ronley have told, any and team formulates to cond work &  
James or two to Set type recorder, did not anticipate the complication.  
Eugen says names should be left open to Set together, FBI as de  
mine plan & investigation, name like statements, know his personality.  
His impression is 3 agencies confused about their jurisdiction and  
did not communicate & each other and no had clearly in charge. True?  
Capt. Jets was in charge. Winkfield all very well, but there no act of  
Capt. Jets was in charge. The argency, etc., Plans clearly map, at that time,  
the confusion, the argency, etc., Plans clearly map, at that time,  
DP were "hot", and had to be negotiated. FBI high opps him to Set  
Arrests to Set Curry to Send Wife to DC, which he did.

Directed Washington, Ohio. Ronley in 1976 to Washington Schwartz Com.  
Ford Does he give instructions of foreign plot Mem fails unintelligible  
try it? No, Ronley (now, in current procedures). Re how near him  
by it on 2 film, obvious they were not near. Ronley, plot to unint, action  
by certain Ad, not visible on 2 film. Stokes will differently evaluate.  
James green & yellowish clip o white 3rd shot, did not want to set shot.  
James was an army car, very heavy. Not yellow, green mine. Ad  
James promotions occurrences, Not the benefits from shot. But  
Agent reaction unint!  
Gov-C reaction unint!

Blacks driving Sen, 126, photo taken at end plot, (Beaming photos)  
Suggests HS Reaction in 1.6 secs. Reaction by green - yellowish,  
three replies at 1.6 secs, Ronley cites Ad his feet.  
Eugen, body back at air, provides to WC, wears any key watches by  
Ad? No, had no reason unintended any plan?  
Markham Exh 414-418 now sent but being declassified

bowling (5 min) Time has erased his memory but feels like newest most  
helpful to H. Brooks executive session 5 pm.

Rooms to 9 am Wednesday Community; Park Bros, Brooks' is to discuss

FBI & CIA sessions, what they is discussing or planning, etc further plans

HQ in security, air, etc.

[Wed, 4/20/72]

NT/IV:

Todays' FBI began after JFK case. Hosty was not a witness.

He declaimed HQ note on orders from Seven, has said he has hours to drop. Has  
testified in peace, less in and because his. To an informant. Note, no present  
FBI or its officials have been called. Also not cases, John Franklin, and Wm.  
Julian met under date in "hasty comment". Johnson apparently told  
people in fact that Hosty was ordered destroy HQ note. FBI never told, FBI doc, JETH  
note, FBI also failed noting it re HQ. body counter, FBI doc, failure place HQ on register.  
Concerned with much criticism today of FBI. JFK investigation still  
in progress will hear much criticism today of FBI. Ditts, Cuban Friends. Diagram  
he points will hear much crime, but did not. Ditts, Cuban Friends. Diagram  
have reached into org. crime, but did not. Ditts, Cuban Friends. Diagram  
interrogation division handles JFK investigation. Much info witness from UC.

Brooks first presented a monumental task, complicated by Hosty & HQ and  
recode of UC. Was expansion at outset of FBI race in investigation.  
FBI opened FBI eastern case on behalf of Party Security, Note, Johnson held  
of FBI from 1968. JETH became director 1974. Was at odds = JETH admin  
of FBI vs hostile to JFK since an org. crime. FBI lowest case of  
no civil rights vs hostile to JFK since an org. crime. FBI lowest case of  
unprecedented magnitude - to agents, 25 years, 25 years, 25 years, etc. but greatly  
improved, continued, at first and to UC agents, later by Congress and others.  
So current, continuing HQ has assassin, hamper UC interests?  
Did FBI early continue FBI offices in DC compromised offices of files personnel?  
Did senior FBI offices in DC compromised offices of files personnel?  
JETH on 11/24/73 tel HQ his concern was someone who has been assassin.  
Was FBI uninvited listener, minimized? so there were security  
concerns? Did FBI uninvited release the decision handling org. crime  
and UC? Today, X-protection - auto to New House, basin = UC.

Mulley (Counsel for Dowd) on 11/24/73 when Belmont contacted him, FBI had no  
jurisdiction. Had been in touch w/ Franklin, Atlanta 3pm Belmont phoned FBI  
gen. counsel. Dowd, wanted to know if JETH had day. Was much confusion.  
Dowd - no - clear basis. Did not meet w/ JETH that day. Was much confusion.  
Confusion kept HQ short, he was told go Dallas at once. Once there (about  
8 pm) Belmont told HQ who would be exposed by statement being  
made by Wade, Clegg & Decker, asked him contact someone & ask him stop  
talking about the arms he placed each one (Wade was on TV). Cannot  
decide status of witness on his arrival Dallas, much confusion. Was  
told prepare witness rounds on JFK & HQ murders by 10am, am. Had to  
turn up all data collected prior to Sunday night. He was to oversee whole operation,  
protect interests of FBI & Mulley's court facts. Relation of Clegg, and his  
law corporation, told him part "no comment" Decker, ditts. Wade,  
was a different story, he felt he could decide what statements to make  
or not make. When he arrived Dallas FBI office, had so many  
things to do, turned over witness status of the witness. He had no  
preconceptions about JFK & HQ murders. (Not) Needs more on  
JETH/BST phoneline, concerning public who is real assassin.) Not  
in position to say what in JETH's mind, probably wanted to calm  
the public down. (Dowd 11/24/73 seems to believe, says, no one but  
level buyout any honest like us can JFK, = JETH/Margolin note

"estimated how long it would take, taxes or was it about 4 to 6 agents.

Quesada & wanted take more than a week, learned any what thinking was it Dr,

(Memo 11/29/63 TET no planned & LS, know of any up today...) TET clearly

did not mean every back fully substantiation, just something fast.

Any action caused by possible conspiracy? From the first moment. In

Dallas FBI Office? It was 15 yrs ago, hard to confirm. If TET felt

Desire of classify nothing to TET under a longer conspiracy? Was

Interest exclusively over; used that effect faced country? He never

got much comments from Belmont or anyone, so had no effect in Dallas.

Kidney/kids murder. (Designate FBI org. chart, Kidney previous L.)

Did Kelly murder & his effect relation to DP? Kidney was Justin-

Dallas FBI Office? It was 15 yrs ago, hard to confirm. If TET felt

Desire of classify nothing to TET under a longer conspiracy? Was

Interest exclusively over; used that effect faced country? He never

got much comments from Belmont or anyone, so had no effect in Dallas.

Did Kelly murder & his effect relation to DP? Kidney was Justin-

Dallas FBI Office? It was 15 yrs ago, hard to confirm. If TET felt

Desire of classify nothing to TET under a longer conspiracy? Was

Interest exclusively over; used that effect faced country? He never

got much comments from Belmont or anyone, so had no effect in Dallas.

Did Kelly murder & his effect relation to DP? Kidney was Justin-

Dallas FBI Office? It was 15 yrs ago, hard to confirm. If TET felt

Desire of classify nothing to TET under a longer conspiracy? Was

Interest exclusively over; used that effect faced country? He never

got much comments from Belmont or anyone, so had no effect in Dallas.

Did Kelly murder & his effect relation to DP? Kidney was Justin-

Dallas FBI Office? It was 15 yrs ago, hard to confirm. If TET felt

Desire of classify nothing to TET under a longer conspiracy? Was

Interest exclusively over; used that effect faced country? He never

got much comments from Belmont or anyone, so had no effect in Dallas.

Did Kelly murder & his effect relation to DP? Kidney was Justin-

Dallas FBI Office? It was 15 yrs ago, hard to confirm. If TET felt

Desire of classify nothing to TET under a longer conspiracy? Was

Interest exclusively over; used that effect faced country? He never

got much comments from Belmont or anyone, so had no effect in Dallas.

Did Kelly murder & his effect relation to DP? Kidney was Justin-

Dallas FBI Office? It was 15 yrs ago, hard to confirm. If TET felt

Desire of classify nothing to TET under a longer conspiracy? Was

Interest exclusively over; used that effect faced country? He never

got much comments from Belmont or anyone, so had no effect in Dallas.

Did Kelly murder & his effect relation to DP? Kidney was Justin-

Dallas FBI Office? It was 15 yrs ago, hard to confirm. If TET felt

Desire of classify nothing to TET under a longer conspiracy? Was

Interest exclusively over; used that effect faced country? He never

got much comments from Belmont or anyone, so had no effect in Dallas.

Quesada memo (present) TET was autopsy. Did TET tell him

give everything to WC. Was complete comparison in FBI between

complete intelligence (Bellini) and Jan, present. Doctor not to

Bellini but to his ant, Benjamin. DT performed examination

all possibility of conspiracy, also his background. Yet, he did

see every report that went to WC, from DT or others, and

hundreds of telegrams; all of which were copied to DT. As Culen

says FBI, he took Church Committee No FBI University of Culen

involvement, no knowledge of CIA plot us Castro. Any comment?

His memory may be faulty. DT responsible keep own copy informed.

If not done, someone was remiss. There was University of Florida

Culon involvement, by DT. He specific University of Florida, had

no specific assignment, Culon, Evans, Hunter, liaison = NSC,

law rep, of org. crime. Did ST give consideration open public

show file to org crime involvement? Probably in one hour

FBI had some plenty background, started civil rights invest,

Commit any what ever crime in DC & nothing as crime! but because was charged & looking at all angles. He'd say, Evans testifies no one come to him, he had no part in the University. Dan. Stanley, Evans' asst., also said, never brought up the University. Jack Donahue, in charge NY office & Captain on crime, also said was never contacted on play or any other aspect. Also At Stamps in org Crime Section, said same thing. Can he explain how JT didn't know any. Crime experts? If any name placed up, he is sure, of any Crimino figure, would have been discussed & org. Crime unit. Was this CR section run in frequent touch w/ DC Section. R. WC, FBI relationship was strictly business, no friends, no animosity. WC did not attempt to record "Guns FBI investig", which process as com after WC set up. WC did not give directions to FBI. Did he know TEC checked apt of Warren Gandy? DNR. Did TEC have closers on members & steps of WC? DNR he was ever told, but would be a normal procedure. Looking back, was over all uninsti honored property? Based on WC, Church Com & FBI file, Agents HSC tell him what FBI did wrong. Mead - nothing to answer you.

Stokes did he say WC did their work and FBI did it own? Yes, exactly, operated under authority of FBI own investing & instructions from TEC. Since WC every very it wanted, their requests were minimal. FBI was no investing arm when they needed it. His relationship to Sullivan? Few direct contacts, worked & talked in his division. Other news from interview 1975 = Sullivan by a House committee, he said, TEC did not take yes WC set up, tried to control its page and meetings w/ it, was not pleased & created WC, did not work see it concerned nothing this interview. Does he concern to Sullivan? No, has no knowledge along that line. Supreme interview, Sullivan said in another interview wrong. No, may be sometime on that (WHO relationship) = CIA "ings a hell in his mind. Does Mead have any comment? Church Com, asked him re CIA material → FBI but not given to WC. Saw letter of 11/23/63 re Mexico, WHO, Soviet Embassy, just have come from CIA. Cannot speak to Sullivan. In 1975 Sullivan also testified re info in FBI investig, he said, never developed conclusion evidence re any foreign nation, but there are info that informed him, DI said a Dallas FBI. No firm w/ in WHO in USSR. Did Mead find similar info? Another agency fed WC info on WHO in USSR. With re to FBI. Insists there was close coord, regardless of Sullivan testimony.

No one discussed going with him. Sullivan also said JETH found WC used diverse evidence not found by FBI, "specifications," could have been destruction of HHO note, but felt it went beyond that, to failure designee Belmonts HHO & Culvers. His reaction? JETH told Belmont & Messy to pursue every lead at his disposal. That does not sound like JETH trying to cover his tracks! The investigator cannot prove if Sullivan or where he got his info.

JETH recollects disclaiming 17 for defalcations in pre-access HHO investigation, which met HHH until 1976. Quotes Sullivan, Arbitrarily but calculation, JETH protesting knowing us any indictment of culprality in JKH case. Was that true?

He was not aware of the censorious actions. He was aware of changing his position vs Hasty & loose veracity statement on 11/22/65. Re HHO note, heard of it only when in posse.

Dated re "business relations w/ = WC, was creation of WC not a source of information to FBI? In upper shelves, contained a book esp to FBI? No one ever discussed them, feelings about WC creation. He did not feel that way. "Yolens WC asked to some kind specification, FBI did not volunteer? No, absolutely, not. Gave WC everything - why not give WC the HHO Hasty note? He did not know about it, cannot speak to those who withheld it. Was a mistake in judgment. Dated - Not a "mistake"!!

Everyone in FBI have any specific relationship = any member WC, did anyone look for, does? Not that he knows."

Meltingly pressure from FBI to wind up the investigation? Is a matter of interpretation. Was never given any deadline. Did not feel under any pressure to short-cut or rush. Did he begin to feel pressure (on WC to wind up pronto)? Had no effect on FBI investing. Did he feel WC wound up 2 soon, short time concerned longer? Report sent to WC from mid-Sept. of summer 1964 were innocuous allegations. feels WC explanation fundamental aspects in it having.

WC found no connection's Riley & co. crime. His view? Did that appear to FBI in - pub? Every effort make check. Barber, though, cannot say he agrees on decisions & WC conclusions on Riley. [Gummally, every thing]

FBI has turned over witness to FBI early Dec. 9, to what extent did FBI contain FBI witness then open? Also checks and everything, never changed its position just because FBI was dropping out.

Was there influences by TEH attitude  $\rightarrow$  JFK's? No, told him full steam ahead. Fitzsimons re claim of command in Dresen, Meany  
11 T more wanted to Nuclear. (if a man)

9

Was there influence by TEH scenario → TEK's? No, told him full steam ahead. Fukushima re claim of command in Dresden, Meiningen change? Yes, Hosty never reported to Neudecker. Who made decisions? Neudecker, in last analysis, in consultation w/ Frankenstein, his wife, because he Hofjäger, in seemingly strict忠實 to

Paby apt? Comed never find sufficient induction of the Hto/Paby.  
Was he aware of memo to Belmont re alleged Hto & Paby  
knew each other, were members of a conspiracy? No way  
he can pin it when he becomes aware of recent LHO → Paby,  
was probably before 12/1/2/63. He notes same LHO meto Healy?  
No, want start kind of info. That would have been discussed  
between Shadwell & McCarran before destruction? Would he have  
known about it, was in no position to take action on it.  
Was he aware of extent of LHO contacts w/ FBI? He knows that  
on 11/22/63 but did not see LHO file until later. Kelly  
believe HHO from kind of nut. Does he agree any concern  
of a "secret" used here 2 B meetings disturbed? Cannot  
give clear reply. Must have been Marshal. Does not  
know how to answer. Wld FBI a DS been able to look up a  
politics ass association? Or always assume marshal, unperf?  
Was FBI mind set such as to give less on no attention to  
provide political plot? Impossible 4 Jnt to keep this on  
everyone who is potentially violent.

Edgar who in charge JFK case on 11/25/63? Belmont, under TEL 4  
Superintendent, worked under Belmont = Form. He even  
posted in a meeting to develop an investigation plan? Belmont  
say that, he had many conferences w/ Belmont & others he knew  
opposite. Never saw any written plan. How did FBI  
coord int w/ CIA? Took care of any info. rec'd from them  
why he did not suggest meeting w/ CIA & DS to exchange all  
inf. on HHO & Paby? Was in contact with = Kelly; CIA  
televis would have been handled by Sullivan. He did  
check on info in Chicago, Cincinnati FBI already there,  
Klein's best prof decisions, accurate? Has not the  
brightest idea. Was WC purpose 2 climb - check FBI  
investig? He assumes it was something like that.  
Seems to Edgar FBI paranoid about WC, what history of  
concerns FBI had about things WC might find out.

Dennis (Kenne Meeks 35 yrs, bkt in FBI NY office) so was Belmont who died (or ago) WCO director un popular & FBI personnel?

He was not an easy man to get along with. Hung up on him 3x

out of every 5 calls. He left FBI before Sullivan did, knew

memos of "differences," Dennis FBI had preconception re

JFK case that lead to circumstantial investigation,

Stokes re FBI alert on civil rights & counterprogram "disagreed"? Known only what he heard in papers. Stole material FBI has good record in Civil Rights? (On every repeat, was interested in hundred) in a minute. Re Sullivan, self goes in, was he a butcher

man? The He did not know him personally. On Office Level, did not always believe what he tried to convince him of, believed he disbelieved him under oath? (long pause) Right, not even he aware he was fabricating. He would not trust Sullivan. Does not think his credibility was high, WCA not necessarily believe Sullivan under oath. (long pause) Joe, JEH was powerful. But does not agree JEH undermine man in FBI, he felt free leave FBI if he disagreed. Didn't feel Aggressives often cover up mistakes to avoid JEH wrath. Cannot say, not to his knowledge.

FBI Sullivan re liaison = WC. Why be and careful, everything that went to WC? To donee donee on follow-thru, see if + interests needed. But had no authority to ~~keep~~ keep back info from WC, the most held up & + info.

Did not keep any files back to avoid embarrassment & FBI.

Was not a "Carson". Never saw Hasty letter? Said

4 times never knew any Hasty about it until a yr ago.

Fisher anyone else do liaison = WC? No, unless he was ill or took day off. Re HCO answers back, did he see it before it went to WC? Can't recall if he saw it as much, did see it in report form. Did he satisfy self it was authentic and complete? Had to rely on his staff, did not compare them by item. So harder not read everything, promises to WC? He read every letter & repeat. Was he aware (pass not part, on which Hasty no?) (has no recollection, was told by HCO etc it occurred up by D.P. After claim, a person managing my

FBI? (Yes) So any alteration of contents must have been done  
inside FBI? Contents of the transcription? Has no way of knowing.  
Did he ascertain who prepared the transcription and that it was  
done here? Was no clear chain of transmitters. He did not  
know! (Post omitted). Fithian says, "was even not even  
missing but was retyped so would appear to be complete".  
What disciplinary action then? Fithian says, "HCO note Hosty  
destroyed, page notes were suppressed, to protect Bureau".

Can we refute that? Be one man of Hosty name, know of no  
good reason for trying eliminate his name. Draw your own  
conclusions. Edgar re Sullivan & TETT, besides TETT  
personnel, what was he like to work for? Very  
dominating person, demanded excellence. Did not see  
changes in TETT personality over the years. Was he not  
concerned FBI not regarded favorably by WC? Does  
the evidence of that? Reads TETT communications on  
discrepancy ("complaints are smoky") and on urges of discipline  
action. He even told WC no experiments? Never saw the  
memo, did not know about it. Was not Sullivan the memo  
so called most tell WC. Edgar: TETT among Bureau  
agents did not put HCO on security wires? Cannot  
say what TETT thought. Lawyer he knows Hosty supposed?  
Does not know when he found out. Knows only Hosty  
was suspended? Does not know. But just hypothesis  
that Hosty page removed from HCO notebook? Does not  
know why.

Mullen (5 min) Has no comment or desire to make there.

Blakley FBI security case on HCO opened Oct 1957 after his  
defection. Was closed = no onward information, most of yrs.  
Next witness is James H. Gale, who conducted inspection of  
FBI handling of HCO case before 11/21/63. HCO has informed Hosty  
and his first wherein his testimony before other communists, he has  
told the new info to Sime HCO, plus agents not withstanding  
Z (Counsel Government) on 1963 was assistant director in charge of  
Inspection Division, in charge of inspection 1X yr DC = 56  
field offices. Retired Oct. 1971. Even inspects FBI internal  
security case on HCO? Yes. Never let anyone about it before.  
(Inter. Lsh. 460 = Gale memo of 12/10/63 to Tolson on  
HCO with Sec. Bureau) On p. 3 recent update notifications by  
TETT, on 11/23/63 TETT told him a WC used to say  
& wanted Gale much more accurate, as HCO materials

to see 4 cl investigating negs - papers, schedules, & analysis

99.

of any deficiencies & res 4 changes in procedure. He pulled all the files, made three reviews, interviewed personnel in Internal Security Div, Contracted firms, other uninvolved & explained. Did not want files open, steps he took were adequate, what conclusions? That there were investigating and reporting delinquencies justifying action. Action US three responsibilities. Conclusion LHO does have been on security duty = consisting of LHO does have been interviewed not abated. TETH position "assumes secure" for holding 60 days. TETH also not understand "such

policietude" → Marine. What is security under? Best names of opps in Pulverizing & go, anarchist or saboteur keeps, might be danger to public safety, HHO blenders on wires because his FCC activities, also because defection, offer of to USSR, was Marxist, Paris USA "independence" case arrogant refused tell lie -

detention test, got Worker, etc. etc. Any indication of TETH position? Unrate "certainty, likelihood", LHO. But FBI free answerings a agents did not think he should be an investing under, they said. If he had been on the under, however, turned down based no materials object on the issue. He rec'd orders action US agents & investing and reporting, delays, 17 people, some consumed some also put in prison. (Knew para, planning FBI and not want WC know of the disciplinary actions taken, he did not write delay action, neither did TETH, on grounds WC unlikely publique agents involved.) Later Sec 466, which is file memo Taken 9/30/64 on Shortcomings in LHO written by FBI permanent. TETH felt WC told FBI to print.

Some says, appose this time to consider + action. Against those who over review, publicize embarrassing FBI. Memo says, no step on LHO program as not acting under foreign Govt etc (es public position vs private page). Was not a black & white case, was subject to interpretation.

"Did not know if LHO has any intelligence role at that time" does not imply did know of "at other time". Yes, + discriminating action was taken to prevent his report. Disciplining actions not unusual in FBI.

No; he never witnessed performance of FBI after 11/22/63.  
Atokes What does he mean by "agents flambantly"? The terms  
 in which they discuss and criticism at DP (like Grand Central).  
 Why did these deficiencies occur? They said because of pressure  
 of other workers. Because of disregard of men's reputation?

Yes, was engaged 30-day & 45-day deadlines, also James  
 Gees' screws up action. Was discipline taken known  
 to the FBI? Don't know. Yes, sometimes no. Who TEF  
 through the FBI? Don't know. Yes, sometimes yes, sometimes no. Who

feared? No, was respected and revered in field. Reputation &  
 wrote? Was good/bad, got excellence. Was firm but  
 fair. Agrees failure put HHO in mind was one of his  
 important findings. The mind not have changed until Dallas.

Important findings, HHO was FBI informant? Absolutly not.  
 Did he find evidence HHO was Hoot? Only after 1971, was interrogated  
 Did he discuss HHO met Hoot? If he had known of HHO met  
 about it. Was Hoot need to discuss? Yes, likely? DNR optional.

Hoot was Hoot's reporter, failure put HHO on mind, for  
 failure of Hoot's reporting in any way after HHO → Brazil → Mexico,  
 closing investigation in any way. If he had known of HHO met  
 had no personal contact w/ Hoot. If he had known of HHO met  
 Hoot destroyed, would have protect any information & who  
 responsible, but would have talked to Hoot, never dealt  
 with agent, only via supervisor or agent in charge field office.

Fitzsimons In Exhibit 1, memo Sac to Tolson 12/10/63, p. II, says "it is  
 important to note . . ." (Everyone felt HHO did not meet criteria  
 for index) Did he rec'd change in criteria of index? He  
 felt assigning should go forward. What was purpose of collective  
 file? Everyone under my jurisdiction can in  
 does anyone of everyone under my jurisdiction can in  
 file originate of current and stick.

Who can? Current and stick.  
Devine Well, Source what happens if person placed in index? If not?  
 Emergency, they are detained. Longest check index in relation to  
 Presidential traps? Does not know. Index comes into play only if is  
 most emergency. Normally, if in index, is confirming check on  
 circumstances. He is not expert on index. (Devine guesses)  
 How often checked? Does not know. Does not recall if whereabouts  
 were checked periodically. Does not know if all his cases to index  
 were checked periodically. Does not know if all his cases to index  
 were checked periodically.

D of T did have access, he knew no.

Fitzsimons What was objective of rec'd air discipline vs 17? To  
 achieve higher standard of performance? Was it to put  
 FBI in better light in post-arm performance? No, not to his  
 knowledge. If he had known about concealing of evidence,  
 what would he have rec'd? Does not recall any case he found  
 in which evidence concealed or destroyed. Retired evidence?

Does not recall ever finding that.

Wanted to have been considered serious? Yes. Should do copies in order? Yes. No, not familiar w/ sea. Under. Handled FBI wire played under = AS when TFK → Dallas? Tele Shown he gave ex charge of int. Stokes had coordinates that of LHO knew under FBI scrutiny, knew how delivered him 11/2-16/3? Stokes' presentation, anything so conclusive, person whom does not know he is under surveillance. If FBI has done job properly, Morty he is under investigation. AS HOs in TSD on Motorcade Route? Cannot find home taken AS HO in TSD on Motorcade Route? Cannot say what Hosts used have done. Wasn't the investigation by Gale to determine what does investigation, if done properly might have changed course & events? No, he concluded that even if the deficiencies like those found, Plaintiff in Dallas would still have been the winner. But it conceivable that would have been the winner, might have found out about Gale. Didn't know anything is conclusive.

Fitzsimons yes, Sheds have been a "stop" on the road part, the more so of LHO felt he tried to poison or ruin, what effect if LHO connected US intelligence services? Tongler never crossed his mind. So he supposed were FBI handlers of TFK case? Hand O to do w/ whatever, Was Bradley's job, was never asked to evaluate it. Has not given any particular thought to it. Was not prior to Officio memo, before he classification was not given to Officio memo? For reasons given in TFK's performance in Dallas? For reasons given in his report, has no reason to give now, 15 yrs later.

Sawyer is puzzled as deficiencies not report on Dallas, and question of delaying action during WC. Why concerned re we finding out? He not concerned, others did not want the matter to be made public. He wanted claim full before they may. Then why may WC used publicly not publicize? Because was trying refute news of those spread to informed, discreditable, WSC found some deficiencies Gale found, WSC too felt the deficiencies had no impact on Dallas.

Gale HO to evaluate performance of FBI. Hand under Gale rate on scale 1-10? Cannot speak to post-assess period. On the period pre-Dallas, on handling of HO, obviously, there were certain deficiencies, which not say 10, maybe 6 or 7. NT/JV: heard nothing underneath from Maxine that didn't never was damaged. Did hear her, but was not drunk. Bradley testimony, not kind to make HSC angry. He was not pleased. This was the most

hostile clay - witnesses showed strong aversion for HSC, almost total silence where they came so; very apologetic 4 JEH, flattered.  
Tone of Supt hearing deliberations, got into silent, meek  
with JEH. Clearly HSC does not feel these witnesses  
were leveling w/ them, believe to truly show clearcut JEH

animus → WC. Having consulted in character as of telluric  
waters with dispute between JEH & Jackson, Jackson did  
very big fissile protest JEH's views. Cont'd that explain  
why Cuban and no Cuban experts met brought in - they conclude  
Jackson, Belmont dead - Franklin met here today - Hartley met  
here either, the Hartley Lawyer is here and agrees that he has

nothing to add, Dallas newspaper report no bonds "enormous".  
Stokes showed outline re Justice testimony on FBI's civil  
rights record. Espey opns what FBI did to MLK - and  
JEH resistance to sending agents Somers. Clearly FBI  
did everything to cover up WC's accusations & anomalies.

We know FBI had source within WC as General Ford,  
The Press disclosed all Monday 4 it. Know also FBI  
blocked sort of Warren O'Leary, Lt. General Frankin  
was FBI most investigations of his own. (Silence; Langley)

Security issue is his claim here. Yet Gale insists would  
not have presented the case. Espey, G. Ford will  
testify Friday - only Ford, Cooper & McClellan survive.  
FBI pure FBI not involved in the assassination but we learned  
if anything today was FBI worried about its wings, discipline

in secret.

(Thursday 21 Sept 1978) MFTV: Wkng of Ford, Sherman, McCoy, Rankin,

and Langford,  
Blackay (Siemers only), full (got this in record) but not up WC & Wm at hand.  
Blackay (Siemers only), full (got this in record) but not up WC & Wm at hand.  
HSC clearly concerned on 12/14/63. HSC clearly & concerned of personnel  
problem become concerned on 12/14/63. 3 divisions have agreed 2 JEH's  
agencies and 3 under JEH. 3 divisions have agreed 2 JEH's

G. Ford (Langford, D. Belbin!) - (cancel comment) Full news item in statement:  
Proposed 2 personnel to protest. Hopes HSC understands his position, may. The  
position, with initials spec, planning for period of his presidency, May. The  
position, was not easy or pleasant pose on WC, did this  
to Jan. 76. Was not easy or pleasant pose on WC, did this  
objection came into investigation, was unanimous in conclusion.  
Despite past record 14 yrs, believe WR through and valid.  
Despite past record 14 yrs, who is best qualified.

HSC has submitted list of pros, who is best qualified.  
Comments: also Ford comment on effectiveness of 25% WC and effectiveness  
of its investigation. Ford agrees, not admires record on  
investigation starts, decided on 14 protest wins lawyers, worked &  
feel objectives. The WC's staff used feds, always used them  
as a base. C: Don't com. concluded protest well without

from W.C., W.C. personnel were close work proximity most of W.C. and came from FBI, & almost addressed no relationship. He agrees? F: has seen Church Com. report, will read his reply: Gorbachev & Chrushev Com. June enclosures p. 6, 8 & 9 & further conclusion any company. He categorically denies W.C. defendant. FBI may have pushed its work but was too late at time. Chrushev Com. was in early main investigation done by FBI, was done by W.C. and staff. Never accepted by W.C. FBI & Jews at same nuclear, drew similar conclusions.

C: re anti-Soviet pressure on W.C., Both 455 and 443 = memo by W.T. Jennings

11/24/63 & memo of 11/25/63. Both F. respond to statement, JET, KGB and memo of the assassin - never expect would complete

communist police W.C. was the assassin - never expect would die & cover foreign relations, Evans writes, has paid his memo often die & JET, cut off association to W.C. motivation, and our other President No Communist or right-wing co-operation. Returns to W.C. President Mexico Station, Amb. pushing case & hand, etc. W.C. CIA Mexico Station, Amb. pushing case at no time?

FBI aware these pressures at no time, Ford had pressure from Tschirn on

Ford his last reconstruction is was no pressure from Tschirn at the Katynwood! Understanding concern & early resolution at the time & why D.T. and other jobs wanted early, obtained by W.C. But no one pressed for hearing report by W.C. Results from proposed statement in Helms/Kennedy. We are aware

W.C. felt Castro involved & X forced review. W.C. Main felt Castro involved W.C. And, Evans & 3 Fed Dept. did investigating decision memo of April, Evans & 3 Fed Dept.

C: W.C. has received evidence FBI (W.C. Koty notes) CIA

relating to W.C. and W.C. was W.C. answer?

Ford met Johnson with alleged destruction W.C. note Koty. If had known, does not think course of action would have been changed by note threat or destruction of note.

No first consideration using W.C. as source of info does not reflect of W.C. Note, would not significantly have changed course or conclusions of W.C. R. TET-1959 W.C. course to impression - was not aware of fact, again used many to impression - does not believe of W.C. was any better between June 1959 & condemned, still no change. Best of W.C. knew of plot us Castro, may not have understood its significance. In and of themselves, sources not know changed his conclusions.

C: Est 464 & 467, re CIA considered using W.C. as source of info, & JET on W.C. unproven. Was W.C. true? Ford: not & his recollection.

Indications? Ind No.

Dennis Ford attended more meetings & interviewed more witnesses than Long action members, Exh. 441-442, names Dec 12, Dec 17, 63, b6  
Defense of FBI. Are they accurate costs his conversations =

Ford again, needs prepared statement! Long had excellent relationship

to FBI. Had Great respect for JETT. Knew how Nichols, ex-D.A. to  
Mar 2 Do h with Nichols, and contract Do h on various matters

pre-W.C. After W.C., was disturbed that Warren Revenues 2 B  
moving in direction of a one-man W.C. no selection of gen. counsel.

Told Do h & Black against 2 in W.C. Told him of visit from

McNamee of CIA. Again met w/ Do h, per Do h memo.

Has no memory giving any contacts to Do h after any meeting or hearing  
2 contracts to Do h during org. of W.C., before any hearing did not contract during

Do h memo account her relations did not continue during

investigation phase of W.C.

Dennis interview held w/ Riley in Do h (?) 1964 & May '65 and one to

Wishkaron, went to tell truth & can't tell it here, but Riley

not nice being Riley & Wash. Riley did not w/ pols just,

not nice being Riley

and it was similar.

Not taken to Wash?

Ford Warren, he knowing & stop thereby questioning fully their  
affiliation in Dallas, fully disclosed all info he had conceivable,  
He & Warren kept a book & written pamphlet W.C. any name,  
not nice being Riley & Wash. Riley did not w/ pols just,

not nice being Riley

and it was similar.

Dennis Why did Warren agree to hand W.C.?

Ford assumed he felt it duty, like other W.C. members, all

were returning him did it as public service.

Dennis What role Dallas on W.C.?

Ford Same as all other members, the he was unique

because of his services to D. CIA, and came down on

his to Dennis & express his own foreign policy views.

Dennis What his informant Relationship to CIA then?

Ford had no unusual relationship to CIA except as ex-Director.

Dennis Does not feel it hindrance we any way?

Ford Not in any way.

Dennis Why public's a softcover W.C. so low over years?

Ford (written statement) because critics deliberately or

Moscow openly mislead press, general public cynicism,

overwhelming majority have not read entire W.C.,

For record, the covering of the underlying facts of Tigris  
murder has been statement of critics. Quoted from a  
post-Murder Critics' book. No warranty of TIGRIS case  
can be complete until claim of Tigris murder. (from Berlin)  
(Replies, Tigris never → Martin → clear DP → printer + info etc.)  
This point is, in 2 many cases critics have persisted in omitted  
facts + misled the public.

Doris HSC must make res. Ford self was target of attempted soon  
of Jennings again, used so how come attorney at Bethesda? +  
DC Motions, plus how formulated "Spec Anticipate Proceedings" +  
Witnesses, etc. Does Ford have any res?

Ford Genl plans made to engineers anticipatory proceedings in case of  
another assn. Please HSC feels authority at Bethesda not  
convenient. Public interest not to anticipate + investigation by  
fed. Engineers alone, used recall critics WC if he goes  
again. Even if some indiscretions in Bethesda anticipatory,  
heaces that panel of HSC agrees + its conclusions.

States to what degree WC struggle + LHD motives?  
Ford were not able precisely pin down a motive. No way of  
being definite. Cases such speculate.  
States did WC know CIA had莫斯科, but was no certainty of his  
Ford yes, knew about莫斯科, but was no certainty of his  
credibility + been biased.

States yesterday X-FBI said we did its own investigation,  
FBI did its own. Saw WC who known it asked for this?

Ford FBI did very extensive investigation, WC = 14 hearings then  
drew upon FBI information + indicated FBI gave WC everything, if they  
it had. Assumes FBI didn't publish everything, if they  
did not, failure by FBI, not WC.

States aware. 17 agents disengaged?

Ford No, he was not aware.

Prager one problem is WC had no opportunity to answer its critics.  
Said he has opportunity to say what he has to make res on how  
close + open in future. Does he feel a criticism come or should  
warning of further action? Said a WC can even consider steps?  
Ford strongly rec'ds WC type body per LHD. Fed. Engineers  
cannot assume suspicion + suspicion. His first judgment  
we followed best procedure no use of fed. Engineers  
+ prof use own investigation staff. We followed right  
course - was not capture of fed. Engineers part utilized  
this work.

Mckinney Hatsukami test AHC felt Dulles app'd since Sino U.S. W.C.  
accus to anyone CIA had. None demanded CIA did not  
give U.S. certain fees + info. How deal w/ that if bypass again?

Ford He felt same in 1964 U.S. was getting everything, obviously, U.S.  
did not receive info we know about.

Ford Not receiving info we know about  
full disclosure.

McK Kotzenbach was astounded FBI had withheld info but felt  
FBI would do anything to cover up any failure or deficiency  
in its part. Very wary to expose FBI full disclosure, by giving  
appropriate States power to a future U.S.C.?

Ford Does the need of legal charter for a U.S.C. Did house  
subversive power. That is my  
Dodd Re 2 memo Est 441-442, Debrahn. Re 12/10/63 memo  
(had long talk w/ Ford, asked me up, strictest confidence). Ford didn't  
want keep me there, informed no U.S.C., used coal train & 24,  
confidentially); U.S.C. 1215 and 1216 meetings, spec. decisions  
closed to press?

Ford CCW U.S.C. meetings were exec. Do I wrong in his  
Dodd of Government contracts?

Memo re future contracts to indicate a continuing relationship = Do I?  
Ford No memory to indicate a continuing basis. What was Ford's  
Dodd Do I? Same, unless he on a conflict basis. What was Ford's  
relationship for such conflict relationship = Do I? P.  
Ford Was it a project at JET + FBI (represents his station).  
Then established a relationship + Do I before exec. During org. phase  
U.S.C. had problems, Warren wanted 1-man U.S.C. Was  
action org. members on which he wanted benefit Do I, who also  
members, the like CIA come to see Ford, also other members U.S.C.  
Was their judgment? Was Reps, project + see him. What he  
did (Do I) was perfectly proper.

Dodd Wentz? Contracts 2B Confid. Did he expect Do I to tell his, Do I,  
in FBI? Ford did not occur to him. Dodd as McNamee,  
did Ford speak with anyone else, apart from Do I? Ford No,  
but any of other members of U.S.C. were communication by any  
feel, opinions. Ford Were other U.S. members aware  
of his meetings w/ Do I? Ford No, did not tell them.  
Does not recall that he conveyed back to U.S.C. any  
opinions & by Do I.

Ford (Tennessee) feel that WC would feel + honest w/ him  
FBI 2 C.I.A re LHO + freedom Grants?

Gifford thinks WC got all the info they had.

Gifford thinks WC want HHO?

Ford went away from Brennan + several agents, WC stop  
Gifford had testimony from Brennan + several agents + performance  
Hire those who's interested in his career + performance

Sanger re Tippit, interested by why T stopped LHO. Was only

wrecking st., for James DeLoach, please.

Ford

Assumes Tippit Good officer, alert, very alert officer

LHO pursue any new possibilities. His Conclusion is

Conclusive Tippit. Why he stopped LHO, he doesn't know.

Sanger conclusive get some nothing it comes to fact their

Further evidence? Ford She's stuck you.

Fitzsimons re fragments in car, FBI spectra + NTA could  
not determine origin. (Rocks from UK) - (some based on 2  
different sources) Any other tests on fragments?

Ford DNR often (tests, if any)

LHO lone assassin by reports of pressure + early conclusion  
meeting "Ford", near uproot, anticipate press-type

pressure WC said LHO lone assassin

Ford has no recollection 6/4/64 Meeting; or any pressure

Was no pressure, WC was unanimous on all findings.

Was no pressure FBI came to conclusion LHO was the assassin.

One point conclusion did not determine WC conclusion.

But plain conclusion was same difficulty in

Fitzsimons has been reported. There was some difficulty in

Section unanimity → conspiracy disputed longer, Committee

on drafting?

Ford Was a step no. LHO = assassin. No conspiracy, Johnson

or someone. WC decided quickly their conclusions

by Dobson, "I found no evidence of conspiracy" which

is different from "was no conspiracy".

These (Nelson etc) Clear FBI had int. LHO was recent, problem

a one agent known to be at TSBD but not communicate

to AF, being current.

Ford Can't recall in detail. WC included needed follow

mission FBI + AS + other agencies.

Eduard Was there a FBI - C.I.A. meeting, WC, FBI, C.I.A., SS to formulates an investigation plan?

Ford No meeting to fall WC. Not sure was necessary or essential. Did草 a staff and lay out procedures for investigation. Warren & Rankin had the relevant investigation FBI & C.I.A.

Eduard Did WC itself have investigation plan?

Ford Yes, and it was brief.

Eduard No communication or sharing of info FBI & C.I.A. before no communing after. When if WC have acted as

as one and perhaps ensure planning of info - cover family by H.C. Yes, was unimportant because

Ford See Wk 8, 24 H.C. Not nec. after the exam.

Eduard Before exam. Not nec. after the exam?

Ford In 17 FBI agents disciplinary, when he first came?

Ford Not until on WC.

Eduard Unusual that info known been useful to WC?

Ford Unusual that info known been useful to WC?

Eduard He Tsigaroff, also Ford apparently, where H.C. going at 4<sup>th</sup> interview of T?

Ford H.C. was immediate recollection upon he was going?

Eduard Did WC retrieve H.C. steps, nothing known to Tsigaroff?

Ford Yes, he = Warren retrieved H.C. movements

Eduard Also go to play apt? Ford D.A.R.

Ford WC not aware of H.C. later H.C. - also H.C. FBI Informer, Tsigaroff, plot vs Carter, 17 district - all not known to WC - aside from conclusions, were he aware H.C. investigator would have changed had it agree WC investigation

known these matters? Ford To a degree but not significant change in process or methods - the conclusions

Devine 84th. 441-442 do b, no party record, ok.

Ford (5 min) Thanks a lot. Please be here. Cooper & Muller will be helpful to H.C.

Blehey Cooper & McElroy will be called as a panel.

C/M (Colonel Commissary) - (Belin action 4/1/47) - (and Cooper et al.)

On Cooper said no one was disappointed any WC number,  
experience or angle - field theory.

A has shot, statement) expresses first view question raised  
Answer by disclaimers. Agrees, does, to this in general? P  
at times, did have disagreements  
WC won't, not pressured in any way. Did have disagreements  
at times, chiefly on mod of shots & SB theory. FB1 SS CIA =  
3 shots, while did not impress WC at all. Thinks him  
a lot. Gov. C. too many, Did hit by different bullet.

Hand grenades reported. Some contained shot projectile  
fire 3 shots in time - open 2 film, orders said, keep time,  
fire around rifle, entirely possible 3 shots in 5-8 sec.

Part very he felt were 3 shots & Gov C hit by separate shot,  
during WC term. WR thinks opinions varies & majority  
believed in SB theory, but often very little true opinion WC had

Q who McElroy re his interview = HSC stop, & opinion WC had  
long time but disturbed by much in writing the WR.

Mac (~~McElroy~~) R/T by WC was in his mind, had no  
R/T, was very question of stops in writer, WR, no goes about  
substances. Test was reached last few days in getting into point.  
LBT personnel asked him several on WC. Field theory  
impression after 1st meeting, no one had any prior  
concerns. Warren said, truth is very gene. WR does  
not want defendant conclusions here  
released. Does not want defendant conclusions here  
but pls and 4 letter perspective contributions made  
to presentation integrity of contributions to WR. DP was  
much concerned. Not every credit given to WC as its  
stop. Remarkable, very DP performed, field set  
Credit 4 prints to police work & early apprehension  
of the assassin. Presently impressed by very DP  
handled well, despite type of security → 440 shot  
(Pontefice, Styrud) FBI made some mistakes,  
failed from surveillance. LTO, but work done by  
high order, hits Ford, no impact on finds  
Conclusions, WC self had great experience of  
investigative procedures. (Notes omitted on basis  
of self-servive statements) Yes, had some questions re  
no. of shots & SB theory, this personal experience of  
cleared question. Gov. C. neither re present state

Sanger Most program & consulting conclusion & WC was SIS theory  
but after scientific analysis done & HSC to date connect to SB,  
but often it requires a reasonable analysis.  
None proves it beyond a reasonable doubt.

Includes WC but in A & P, Warren was at fault.

Sanger have had some previous planning 1st belief mining,

Gold believe hit JFK and got it.

Dodd asked Ford no DeSoto memo, said, not uncommon have a  
Contract in FBI. Also Sanger if as WC author did he have any  
prior notice? FBI or CIA to report in K sessions? Never  
Copper never instructed or responded to such instructions. Never  
had discussions during WC & FBI CIA in AS. FBI 1963-64  
had completely different reputation than today, also Col.  
Jaworski per in, Lewis Powell per in. No evidence of  
any kind except HHO = lone assassin. True WC did not  
know CIA plots Castro, HHO met Hasty, was no foul disclosure  
by agencies, but HHO did it alone, (Ramsey, Davis,  
Widner) few people read WR, much like 26 weapons.

Based (to Jucrons) same you no any Det contract  
Meeting No, initiation [no contracts unknown]

[return to 1pm] (at 11:50)

NT/JV: Report J. Ford Boston, Roger, on DeSoto. Copper = Ford  
clerk Concrete firm public does not believe WR. Ford  
claims Curtis 4 miles from public. Lets Trump as per  
HDO occurs in. Davis WC was cognitive of FBI the same to  
same conclusions. Penkin is heterogeneous to foams, (1pm) Ford  
admitted CIA & FBI keeping him WC (HO met Hasty, CIA puts letters to Del  
CIA/Murtha, 17 FBI documents). Ford admitted contacts to Del  
but met after Dec, 63. July, no → Wash, not necessary for Ford  
2X but met after Dec, 63. July, no → Wash, not necessary for Ford  
but meeting there, Belin with Ford, WC could also drop annual  
bus insurance plan, Curtis have changed place were Estate, before -  
protection com., Curtis have changed place were Estate, before -  
thinking it unwise to send majority of FBI, CIA, etc. Thus out  
from Seng FBI & CIA both kept back info, what do Ford, Roger &  
McGinn say? Local how make no difference!! Listen to JV Curtis are  
correct re ad-hoc network. NT report hear about Patterson  
definition, he need Dallas to an WC to answer to answer from WC  
info from CDT, JV! WC Rangers want to have first areas  
(Colombia, Jensen) WC had to rely on FBI, which was always part of  
the case. That has been fact so we need specific persons.  
Ford says, we still do if the same way. HSC has taken 2 yrs to  
the investigation, I own investigation, spent a lot more &, will  
further our dicta conclusions. No one has any bad ideas  
other than we we take half of happens again. No legal  
reason & we must hold open hearings.

Bikberg visited T. H. Rankin, now on private practice, NY.  
Rankin (James Klein) his statistician, WR, had over, rep'd. his info. was in  
 Change day 2 day operations of State. Warren asked him to serve.  
 Discrepancy about goals of WC? Trust to find out who assassin was  
 and if anyone else involved. (See, obs. about WC) Few was  
 preventing organization? He proposed 5 parts, exception to WC, no  
 liaison or chart, demand 2 him a logical division of responsibilities.  
 Shown on chart, 2 factors ① friendly info (P. Congressmen,  
 Press were to facts & intentions ② private - classified &  
 (E) charter of LHO, 2 Rangers to send him, private - classified. He  
 followed up on agency of not party & info provided. He  
 followed up on agency of not party & info provided. He  
 rec'd. under outside investigation but WC decided was FBI etc.  
 because of time constraints. Hand FBI personnel, gave good  
 coop to WC, assumed FBI was giving every day to WC.  
 Specified looking? Disagreements. Had close & good relationship  
 w/ FBI & never expected FBI would & had info from WC.  
 "How he learned FBI failed report CIA about photo, who  
 first did not tell WC, CIA did not and during WC last  
 No knowing photo vs Castro. Country was anxious to know about  
 pressures exerted? Country was anxious to know about  
 happened. Warren told him early 2 or 3 months previous  
 told staff: only chart was the truth, and WC a stiff peace  
 departed from our standards. Any pressure to whom WC  
 before election? Not pressure, but feeling problem smaller  
 he remained & not injected into the election. But no  
 pressure to short-cut. Any pressure not to find foreign  
 conspiracy? Not at all. Was there any attempt to  
 conspiracy? Did an adequate job. The problem of  
 getting info from USSR was apparent because clearly marking  
 Soviet Society. Falseness every lead → domestic or  
 Cuban movement. WC civilians became evidence  
 not strong enough to support conclusions, even from WC staff  
 they kept, comment? Not a real criticism, WC  
 Christian Fly understates, not overstates. WC members  
 examined every thing. Klein never from Hansen organization  
 (thinks Warren, adamant doesn't think...) Rankin does  
 not agree & believes that Warren received pressure to  
 R to J, never did, tell it as it was. Still believe WC  
 correct? Yes, belief of anything should have been done  
 differently? If he had the info from FBI - 2014,  
 would have followed the leads to check for conspiracy.  
 I want to & as per stated to spend as much as  
 I can, can't go on indefinitely, not - problem  
 a lot & little time.

Sawyer, does poor cooperation of WC give him second thoughts? Not really. Still positive on Lincoln. Partly the course. Make any effort determinants where who going when interrogated by Tippit? Speculates, agrees he was in course of escape. Above headed → ~~the~~ no escape minutes? He was hard-pressed, first numb? Knew Ruby at 2-3 blocks away? Plus an informant 4635 who had not been detained Texas CP? Doesn't think he was aware of that. Knows outturn of SB theory WC decided no access antigay photos. See that it was point decision? Yes, Kennedy family did not want him to X-ray (or photos) to be very involved since remember JFK. Had good evidence from the doctors & if WC looked at K&P unless have had to publish, Sawyer HSC made it all available to panel but feel no need publish the photos. Did not violate Stand tests. Roskin Ant HSC did not promise publish everything. Does not agree there was any error on this pt. Sawyer you didn't look at it, let Hart promise forever your integrity? Roskin this was merely advice, had evidence of doctors of high credibility. Sawyer but they made no error in head wound, horrendous! Roskin hard to believe antigay Sawyer can make such a mistake. Even so, would not have used A&P if use present publications. Would he also have concealed other material on assassination looking at other photographs if at recent publication? That did not happen. Would he still use FBI open recent disclosures? He used all agencies & closed clashes on them. WC if unions unresting unrest have needed State of Money 1960's & No negotiate 4 yes. Do one in 1964 thing in FBI copy the of that kind of Order (as destructive in evidence). Know about 17 disclosures? No, very shocking too, WC was asked to that up & to full disclosure by JTH. And CIA non-disclosure plots CIA? Yes, some feelings.

Re Noriega, willing 2 testify on LHO in Boston, why not  
see him? CIA told WC he was a "plant," a plant, a  
disinformation agent. Did not have experience to judge if  
Noriega was fake or a plant & relied on CIA advice.

Didn't at least one HSC interview No? No, had no one  
with necessary expertise to evaluate No. Had to  
rely on CIA advice. CIA said he was not a real agent.  
Aware CIA never received交代? Yes, but shocked at way they  
dealt w/ N. Few statements abt WC hearing closed? Had  
one open hearing (HSC) (which did not help HSC) hence did  
4 legal permission what Boston Lawyer did 4 close 2 close  
plea-bargain. Impressed by HSC open hearings.

No public testimony, came out twice without truth in Boston,  
any follow up ever done? No, were all concerned  
party just wanted trip to DC, he even wanted see  
President.

Any check ever made on request by WC on possible  
org crime involvement? For WC, did not join  
enough to go beyond what WR says. When he later heard  
about CIA/Mafia/Castro, did distract him some.  
Assumes HSC staff are following that up.

Mehler told HSC didn't involve FBI on crime  
accusation. Correct? Yes.

L Stokes appears him WC failed establish LHO motive,  
failed estab of his conspiracy or not? WC felt  
no motive beyond LHO desire for protection & status.  
possible his experience in USSR had bearing on motive?  
Not beyond what WC presented. On basis available  
info, was no evidence of conspiracy.  
Wasn't N right in that area? CIA did not get any  
info from N about his knowledge of LHO.  
What did CIA report? They were polymaths  
not a KGB officer, was a plant, not  
credible re LHO at all.

CIA did not go into detail on what N said to them.  
Does not recall names of CIA personnel, thinks it was  
CIA specialists on Soviet affairs.

Any connection w/ Helms or N? His recollection is  
that Helms supported that position.

Ever heard N said 2 prostitutes does on LHO flown up  
from Mexico after assn? DR Rangers like that.

Please no problems created by use of FBI as invest; even -  
access January 1964 LHO perhaps FBI informer - what they do?  
WC shocked, tried determine last approach to  
find out facts. LHO might have been a number,  
name not revealed / a concealed informer? Tried  
check every FBI no. to determine identity, but FBI  
very disturbed, did not want to blow cover of their  
many informers, so WC decided contact JETH  
personnel assurance.

Asked FBI investing & claim staff? This is were different  
in 1964. FBI concluded 17 days after assn LHO =  
lone assassin. Didn't think clamor willingness of FBI  
agents to uncover conspiracy evidence? Yes, but  
WC aware of that & took it into account. Treated  
FBI first kept as just a document.

Told us he did not know of destroying LHO note, to  
had uniles investg., had been learning of it?  
Always promise, he purposes. The unles not have  
changed decision use FBI section fed agents.

Devine re Ruby testimony, DeLoach, participants in questioning?  
Had to recall most. Did he agree not his, Ruby & De?  
Yes, still thinks was right decision. Did WC ever  
come to conclusion on Ruby motive? Does not know  
WC ever stated what Ruby motives was.  
Know Ruby was a police spy? Yes.  
Motive to become milit' hero? Has some logic but not  
pleasible.

115  
122.

Re 17 FBI conclusions, aware of that? No. His relations =  
JET determined after W.C. out. Surprised in light  
JET adverse criticism of Rankin & W.C. What he took  
such action. If had known, any intent? Was not  
have changed results or conclusions, but would  
have examined case by case, less main effort to  
believe everyone would obey President's order & arguments  
to W.C. JET had an obligation tell him W.C. no 17.

In light of what we now know, new technology, Reckless  
2. Chimed, etc., based W.C. have reached a different  
conclusion? No,

Dodd, Fitzsimon did he and W.C. review a gunnos to 5 M-1 rifle?  
Yes, Was speed part of test? Yes. What the results?  
That 3 shots provide = in & limits based 2 film.  
The 2,3 sec min, fit in? Yes. They use 10 sec? Yes.  
Even make tests not using 10 sec? No. Did not occur  
anyone used shot with that accuracy without page.  
Did Govt & testing distract them? No, he was misinformed.  
If W.C. knew 1.65 sec firing speed, would not have  
changed its conclusions. Groovy knee? No credible  
evidence shots from tree, not consistent = wounds.  
Feltin finds it curious W.C. did not try substitute  
faster firing time of M-1 rifle. Rankin says, was  
also question of tree, fracture in way, also had to be  
fitter in tree. Max speed is not the only problem.

Edgar didn't W.C. say early on W.C. was the assassin?  
DNR plan, when first went public? DNR. Did W.C.  
have interview before plan? Yes, 5 hours etc. Did he ask  
FBI or CIA help in planning? No, Did they ask in  
FBI CIA SS to come up credible & plan. No, did  
not. At 1, planned relatives between FBI & ATF.  
Does not Rankin speak a meeting, would have been  
helpful, but none seemed were placing the other  
agencies under FBI, which had already concluded  
W.C. was the assassin. Had separate discussions  
with heads, and have made any suggestions  
they had. Re 17 FBI discontinued W.C. - 21

Mckenna True that Hart & Griffis assigned Rely? Yes. 116.

Why they did not go DeLoe interview Rely? That it was  
discovered by himself & Warren & Ford, disclosed to major

that Rankin should contact the Washington. He knew such  
area in detail. Does not feel criticism relates to who

promised Rely, relates to following all leads.

When he learn of JEH University → WC? (Bennett & Tolson memo)

When FBI turned down Warren request & liaison at K meeting?  
Tried to avoid an open clash. Was doing nothing

unreasonable demands on FBI. Made 4 very  
different recommendations. But never refused any WC request.

When wasn't up to standards, don't it have to + FBI work.

But is clear indication in FBI memo that FBI would not  
cooperate? No one ever did that to JEH. (Sure he had  
something on every one of us) Tried to emphasize, Warren  
accepted such carries off from FBI. But in

practice, FBI never did refuse any task.

Atkins Show Ltr. 449 to Rankin, do a memo Collier / Stevens on  
November, what FBI has learned from it no KIO. FBI

Oblivious. Shows on N to CIA, would put the questions  
and sent reply to FBI. Did WC rely on that memo?

Did not rely on it because CIA said N not credible.

Edgar did he person can meet = JEH? Yes.  
What his idea → Rankin? Cold, non-communicative.

Only 2 personal encounters & JEH, at most. Before WC  
had worn conduct encounters & JEH on JET business.

Letter he wrote as of WC hostile to him and FBI, the  
burden placed on FBI by WC, made it plain

he was not pleased. Every FBI agent seems to  
double-check on them by WC. How feel now

in retrospect about FBI concealing info from WC?

Assumed then they were professionals and would  
give full coop, if they liked Rankin or not.

Never crossed his mind FBI would be held  
hostile in a room.

Never believe Telt unless deliberately lied to us.  
Where he took his going when met Tripp? Can one specimen, he was first trying to get away.  
Ever heard Raby get down? No.

Starkes asks Rankin to answer remaining questions in writing, as  
145C has this problem & next witness,

Rankin (5 min)

Hatzenbach See Vol. 2 those notes.

- Accountics 21-31  
 Alman, Jose 181-184, 192  
 Altgens photo 10, 151ff  
 Anash 140 ff  
 Ammunition clip 19  
 Angleton, J.J. 67, 127  
 Asque 76-83, 85  
 Autopsy 8, 13-18, 105  
 Autopsy photos and X-rays 11, 13-17, 112  
 Baden, Michael 14, 17-18  
 Back wound 15, 17, 55  
 Ballistics 18-19, 32  
 Berger, J.B. 22-31, 63  
 BBC expert 59, 62  
 Bishop, Morris 188  
 Brain (JFK) 8, 13-14, 17  
 Calderon, Luisa 142  
 Canning 33-35  
 Castro, Fidel 38, 41-51, 74, 85-86, 90, 110  
 CIA 126 ff  
 CIA photo 85  
 CIA plots (Castro) 137 ff., 180  
 CIA use Oswald (informer) 142-143  
 Connally, J and N 3-8, 11, 16, 18, 33, 51, 115  
 Conspiracy theories 164, 198  
 Cooper, John Sherman 109-110  
 Crissman, Fred 10  
 Critics of WR 8, 54, 63, 66, 74, 104, 110, 186  
 Croft photo 33  
 Cubela 85, 140 ff  
 Dallas Police 109, 177  
 Dean, Patrick 176  
 De Leach, C, memo 104, 106, 110, 121  
 De Mohrenchildt, G. 39, 41, 42, 56-58, 163  
 Dillard photo 9, 12, 154-156  
 Dulles, Allen 104, 106, 120, 125, 128, 138  
 Duran, Silvia 75-78  
 Epstein, E.J. 13, 67, 68, 125, 129  
 Ford, Gerald 102-108  
 Gale (FBI) 98-102  
 Garrison 164  
 Giacomo, Sam 138, 162, 180, 189  
 Griffin, Burt 116, 127, 197-204  
 Green 31-32  
 Groden, Robert 8-12  
 Guinn, Vincent 19-20  
 Handwriting testimony 64, 77, 149 ff  
 Hart, J. 68-74, 123, 125, 130, 134  
 Hartman, W. 21-22  
 Harvey, W. 138  
 Head wound 15-17  
 Helms, Richard 102, 114, 123, 125-148  
 Hidell 44, 50  
 Hooch, Paul 8, 13  
 Hosty, J. 46, 47, 56, 92, 98, 108, 110, 178-179  
 Hughes film 9, 10, 154, 156  
 Humes, J.J. 13, 16, 17, 18  
 Hunt, E.H. 10, 151  
 Hunt, Dr. R. 154 ff
- Itak photo analysis 157  
 Johnson, Lyndon 3, 4, 7, 92, 118, 119, 123, 140, 195  
 Katzenbach, N. 106, 118-125, 129, 135  
 Kelleman 6  
 Kelley, T. 86-89  
 Kennedy, Robert 119, 121, 127, 139, 185, 190  
 Kirk, Cecil 64, 151  
 Lane, Mark 13, 21, 59, 65, 109, 113, 151  
 Larimer, Georges 146  
 Levine 14  
 Lifton 17, 19  
 Lovelady, B. 10, 151ff  
 Marin/CIA plots 74, 113, 120, 126ff, 137ff  
 Malley (FBI) 92-98, 102  
 Mann, Amb. 103  
 Marcello, Carlos 164, 185, 189  
 McCaghern 31  
 McCann 14, 32, 64  
 McCoy, J. 109-110  
 McCone, J. 127, 128, 139, 142  
 McNally, J. 64, 80, 149  
 McWille, T. 163, 180, 182 ff  
 Meagher, S. 59, 149, 151, 161, 162  
 Mexico City 45, 46, 48, 50, 74-86, 90, 127  
 Milteer, J. 10, 13, 152-153  
 Mirabel 83-84  
 Moorman photo 12, 155  
 Motorcycle route 7, 48  
 Mysterious deaths 161-163  
 NAA tests 19-20  
 Neck wound 15, 16, 17  
 Nix film 10, 155  
 Nixon, R.M. 42, 50  
 Nosek, Yuri 39, 53, 66-74, 105, 113, 114,  
 116, 123, 125, 128-148  
 Odo, Sylvia 46, 163, 188, 194  
 O'Donnell, K. 37  
 O'Leary, Jerry 8, 13, 17  
 Organized crime 113, 124, 163, 185 ff, 193-194  
 Oswald, Marina 36-58  
 Paine, Ruth 45, 47, 48, 50, 54, 55  
 Perry, Dr. 13  
 Petty, Cr. C. 18  
 Photo analysis testimony 151 ff  
 Photo 130 rifle 10, 11, 36, 41, 42, 44, 47, 50, 53,  
 54, 56, 57-61, 64-67  
 Powelli photo 9, 154, 156  
 Rankin, J. 102, 111 ff.  
 Revill, J. 173-179  
 Rifle 11, 18-19, 39, 40, 43-48, 52, 55, 56, 61, 115  
 Roselli, J. 128, 162, 180, 189  
 Rowley 90-92  
 Ruby, Earl 164-171  
 Ruby, Jack 49, 51, 104, 114, 116, 124, 163-179, 182,  
 183, 191-----entry basement 175-179  
 ---phonecalls 171-173, 179