REPORT

OF THE

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES NINETY-FIFTH CONGRESS

SECOND SESSION

TO ACCOMPANY SUBMISSION OF THE SELECT COMMITTEE'S PROPOSED 1978 BUDGET TO THE COMMITTEE ON HOUSE ADMINISTRATION



JANUARY 25, 1978

Printed for use of the Committee on Assassinations

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE WASHINGTON: 1978

21-598

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS

LOUIS STOKES, Ohio, Chairman

KIUHARDSON PREYER, North Carolina
WALTER E. FAUNTROY, District of Columbia
YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE, California
CHRISTOPHER J. DODD, Connecticut
HAROLD E. FORD, Tennessee HAROLD E. FORD, Tennessee FLOYD J. FITHIAN, Indiana ROBERT W. EDGAR, Pennsylvania

Subcommittee on the Assassination of Martin Luther King, Jr. WALTER E. FAUNTROY, Chairman HAROLD E. FORD FLOYD J. FITHIAN ROBERT W. EDGAR STEWART B. McKINNEY LOUIS STOKES, ex officio SAMUEL L. DEVINE, ex officio

Subcommittee on the Assassination of John F. Kennedy RICHARDSON PREYER, Chairman YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE CHRISTOPHER J. DODD CHARLES THONE HAROLD S. SAWYER LOUIS STOKES, ex officio SAMUEL L. DEVINE, ex officio

STAFF

G. ROBERT BLAKEY, Chief Counsel and Director I. CHARLES MATHEWS, Special Counsel

ROBERT J. LEHNER, Deputy Chief Counsel EDWARD M. EVANS, Chief Investigator

GARY CORNWELL, Deputy Chief Counsel CLIFFORD A. FENTON, Jr., Chief Investigator

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

U.S. House of Representatives, Select Committee on Assassinations, Washington, D.C., January 25, 1978.

Hon. Frank Thompson, Jr., Chairman, Committee on House Administration, U.S. Capitol, Room H326, Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman: On behalf of the House Select Committee on Assassinations, I am enclosing this report to supplement the select committee's funding request of January 20, 1978. This report is intended to enable your committee to have a ready reference guide concerning the select committee's organization and structure, personnel, relationships with the executive agencies and departments, and insofar as is possible to disclose at the current time, some general areas of investigation that the committee is pursuing. It also contains a comparative analysis of the actual expenditures of the select committee during 1977 with the 1977 budget that had been approved by your committee and the House of Representatives.

The select committee hopes this report will assist you in the evalua-

tion and consideration of its proposed budget for 1978.

Sincerely,

Louis Stokes, Chairman.

Enclosure: Report.

(III)

CONTENTS

	•
I. Legislative history and mandate	
II Organization of the staff	
TIT Conduct of committee husiness	
A. Relationships with executive agencies and departments	
B. Travel and interviews by the staff	
C. Hearing and meeting schedules	
D Security considerations	
E. Special projects and consultants	
1. Medical evidence	
2. Ballistics	
3. Wound ballistics	
4. Photographs and computers	
5. Acoustics	
6. Handwriting	
IV. Comparison of 1977 budget with estimated expenditures for 1977	
V. Conclusion	

(V)

I. LEGISLATIVE HISTORY AND MANDATE

The Select Committee on Assassinations (the committee) was first established in the second session of the 94th Congress by House Resolution 1540, 122 Cong. Rec. 141 at H10356 (September 17, 1976). The 95th Congress reconstituted the committee by House Resolution 222, 123 Cong. Rec. 19 at H800 (February 2, 1977) and extended its duration for the length of the 95th Congress by House Resolution 433, 123 Cong. Rec. 56 at H2739 (March 30, 1977). House Resolution 760, 123 Cong. Rec. 153 at H10254 (September 28, 1977) granted the committee authority to make applications to courts for grants of immunity and other limited purposes, and to bring and defend lawsuits in particular types of cases in order to obtain information relevant to the committee's inquiry.


The legislative mandate of the select committee is primarily defined in House Resolution 222. The select committee has identified four main issues to be analyzed to fulfill its legislative mandate. First, who was or were the assassin(s) of President John F. Kennedy and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.? Second, did the assassin(s) have any aid or assistance either before or after the assassination? Third, did the agencies and departments of the United States Government adequately perform their duties and functions in (a) collecting and sharing information prior to the assassinations, (b) protecting John F. Kennedy and Martin Luther King, Jr. and (c) conducting investigations into each assassination and coordinating the results of those investigations. Fourth, given the stidence the select committee has uncovered, is the amendment of existing legislation or the enactment of new legislation appropriate?

II. ORGANIZATION OF THE STAFF

As the graph of the total number of staff for the year 1977 reflects, the size of the committee staff has reached the level of 115 contemplated by the 1977 budget. It is envisioned that the number of personnel will remain at approximately 115 for most of 1978 (see

The organization of the staff is designed to facilitate the implementation of the investigative plans that have been formulated for each case. The chief counsel, who was appointed on July 1, 1977, heads the entire staff. The substantive investigation is divided into two task forces, one to investigate the death of President Kennedy and another to investigate the death of Dr. King. Each task force is headed by a deputy chief counsel and then further subdivided to focus on particular issues that have been identified. The individual teams on each task force are composed of a combination of attorneys, investigators and researchers that work together on particular areas or issues. Chart 1 on page 3, a schematic diagram, depicts the fundamental organization of the staff.


NUMBER OF STAFF
FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1,1977 TO JANUARY 1,1978



THAT BUT HE ZONTAN PACKED A

So species of the control of the species of the species of the control of the street plan. It is not species of the species of

Hageria of the second of the s



III. CONDUCT OF COMMITTEE BUSINESS

A. RELATIONSHIPS WITH EXECUTIVE AGENCIES AND DEPARTMENTS

The committee has obtained access to sizable amounts of materials from the files of executive agencies, including the FBI, CIA, Drug Enforcement Administration, the Immigration and Naturalization Service, the Treasury, Secret Service, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, the Internal Revenue Service, the Department of Defense and the State Department. Much of this material has been sought not only to pursue investigative leads in the files of the Government but also to form a basis for evaluation of the performance of particular agencies both before and after the assassinations.

The committee has been in the process of reviewing the staggering number of agency files and documents available. For example, the National Archives, where most agencies that had generated information in 1963 concerning the Kennedy assassination deposited their files, currently possesses 357 cubic feet of files on that assassination. The FBI has made available to the committee files totaling 998 volumes and 47,304 serials. The CIA has given the committee access to approximately 2,800 files and 1,400 draft memorands. In addition, the Secret Service, which conducted approximately 1,462 interviews, and generated 4,386 pages of reports and correspondence in its investigation of the Kennedy assassination, has granted the committee full access to all of the materials in its possession.

In general the select committee has received cooperation from the agencies to whom it has directed requests for information. The Drug Enforcement Administration, the Immigration and Naturalization Service, and the Internal Revenue Service have fully and expeditiously complied with committee requests for information. The Justice Department has been particularly cooperative in facilitating the processing of committee requests in Rederal court for grants of immunity for committee witnesses and in making available employees or former employees for interviews with the committee's staff.

In the case of the CIA, the FBI, and the Secret Service and Defense Department, which have larger amounts of material bearing on the committee's inquiry as well as peculiar institutional concerns, agency cooperation has been adequate but occasionally beset with bureaucratic or technical delays. The committee is currently discussing with each of these agencies ways in which access to information may be expedited and is encouraged by the progress made in those discussions.

B. TRAVEL AND INTERVIEWS BY THE STAFF

Since the witnesses and potential witnesses concerning each assassination are numerous and located throughout the country, the staff of the committee has had to travel extensively to pursue its investigative theories and leads. As of December 31, 1977, the staff had conducted over 1,400 interviews.

¹ The committee has also received access to a large number of files from local agencies, particularly the Memphis and Dallas Police Departments.

As the following graphs demonstrate; the staff's travel schedule significantly increased as the committee became fully staffed and developed its particular investigative plans and theories (see graphs 2 & 3).


Since the committee now has its full complement of personnel and definitive investigative plans, it is anticipated that the travel schedules will remain at the higher rates reflected for the months July through December of 1977.

C. HEARING AND MEETING SCHEDULES

As of December 31, 1977, the select committee had held 42 days of hearings and meetings, and had issued 103 subpenas. It is anticipated that the committee will hold hearings in executive session approxi-


NUMBER OF MAN DAYS TRAVELED/MONTH

FOR THE YEAR 1977



NUMBER OF AREAS VISITED/MONTH

FOR THE YEAR 1977



mately three times a week until summer. In the late summer or fall, the committee will hold public hearings on its investigation into each assassination. It is estimated there will be up to 20 days of public hearings held on each assassination. At the conclusion of the public hearings, and prior to the expiration of the current Congress, the select committee will file its final report with the House of Representatives.

D. SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS

The security of information obtained during the investigation has been of paramount concern to the select committee. It is important that information be kept secure for at least four reasons. First, a breach in security could jeopardize leads the committee is pursuing by giving subjects of the investigation premature notice of the focus of the committee's inquiry and an opportunity to tailor testimony or destroy evidence. Second, the committee has a responsibility to isolate testimony obtained under a grant of immunity. The immunity grant

prevents only the use of a witness' immunized testimony and leads derived from that testimony from being used against that witness in a subsequent prosecution. A subsequent prosecution may still be brought against a witness provided the prosecutor does not present the immunized testimony or evidence derived from the immunized testimony of the witness before the committee. Complete isolation of immunized testimony is vital to enable the Government to meet its burden of demonstrating that evidence presented in a criminal case is not derived from immunized testimony. Guaranteeing the security of witness' testimony therefore preserves the Justice Department's option to prosecute committee witnesses upon whom the committee has conferred immunity. Third, security breaches could undermine the committee's ongoing relationship with executive agencies which furnish information to the committee only upon the condition that information be kept secure. Fourth, any leak of information not yet corroborated could unfairly defame or degrade innocent persons.

As a result, the committee has adopted stringent security procedures. All staff members on the committee have received or are in the process of receiving "top secret" security clearance. The Federal Bureau of Investigation, as an accommodation to the committee, conducts the background investigations for these security clearances. The Central Intelligence Agency then reviews the background investigations done by the FBI. After consultation with the FBI and CIA, the full committee makes the determination regarding an individual's security clearance.

The committee's offices have a police officer on duty 24 hours a day. All material is stored in secure safes or containers. Appropriate security protection devices surround the committee's offices.

E. SPECIAL PROJECTS

i samili di diminali shekiri. Markini di dinasa i dikari

In addition to reviewing documents, interviewing witnesses, and taking testimony at hearings, the committee has commenced a number of special projects to assist it in its analysis of several crucial issues in each investigation. Some of these projects have never before been undertaken to evaluate available evidence concerning the assassinations. In other cases, advances in scientific technology will allow issues to be analyzed in significantly greater depth and detail than was possible at the time of the assassinations.

The committee has employed many consultants to assist on these projects, particularly in those projects which apply scientific techniques. The following statistics reflect the expansion in the committee's use of expert consultants as the investigations have proceeded.

10 C	1	Total consultants un	der contract, 197	7
anuary to J uly	une			kandaran <u>Ingara</u>
ugust				
				<u> </u>
		,		
ovember				
ecember				

The following pages briefly describe some of the projects the committee currently has in progress.

1. Medical Evidence

The committee is analyzing the medical evidence in each assassination to assist it both in resolving basic issues concerning the assassination and in evaluating the conduct of various individuals

and institutions subsequent to the assassination.

In the Kennedy investigation, the committee has retained as consultants nine leading forensic pathologists to determine the nature and causes of the wounds inflicted upon President Kennedy and Governor Connally. The pathologists met in panels to review the available medical evidence, which includes autopsy photos, X-rays, clothing and bullet fragments. The pathologists also viewed relevant photographs of the assassination. In the course of their analyses, the pathologists recommended the following projects, which the select committee is currently undertaking: (1) soft X-ray and EDX testing to determine if the clothing Governor Connally and President Kennedy wore at the time of the assassination contains any metal tracings and, if so, what type of metal; (2) enhancement of the most important JFK and Connally X-rays to afford a more detailed examination of the X-rays; (3) the retention of a radiologist to provide expert analysis of the X-rays; (4) consultation with an expert in anatomy and physical anthropology to study the origin of particular bone fragments; and (5) interviews with the doctors who treated Governor Connally and performed the autopsy on President Kennedy.

In conjunction with the forensic pathologists' work, the committee will also examine the evidence and perform tests to insure that the photographs and X-rays being examined are authentic.

The committee is also completing an inventory of all items of physical evidence pertaining to the autopsy and medical evidence which existed in 1963. The committee will attempt to locate any materials which are not currently accounted for, in order to insure that the forensic pathologists have the maximum available evidentiary

basis on which to rest their conclusions.

The investigation into the assassination of Dr. King will also employ pathologists to analyze issues that may be resolved by an examination of the medical evidence. Special projects similar to those recommended by the pathologists and undertaken by the committee in conjunction with the Kennedy investigation will be performed, where appropriate, to assist the pathologists in their examination of the medical evidence relevant to Dr. King.

2. Ballistics

In both the Kennedy and King investigations, a careful analysis of all the ballistic evidence available is being conducted both to evaluate the investigations that were performed regarding each assassination, as well as enable the committee to reach an independent conclusion concerning the identity of the assassin(s). Ballistics tests serve to identify the rifles that fired bullets found at the scene of a shooting. The committee has assembled a panel of ballistics and firearms experts to perform such an analysis. Exhaustive microscopic, visual, chemical analyses, and test firings, where appropriate, have been or will be performed on each item of ballistic evidence. The firearms and balfistics panels will submit reports to the committee describing their findings and responding to a series of questions that the committee has propounded.

3. Wound Ballistics

The field of wound ballistics coordinates an analysis of the effect of impact upon a bullet and its target. The committee is considering assembling a panel of consultants in this area to assist it in resolving questions concerning the number, direction and type of bullets which were involved in the assassination of President Kennedy. The committee also is considering securing expert evaluation of the wound ballistics testing conducted by the Warren Commission.

4. Photographs and Computers

The analysis work which is being done by the committee on available photographic evidence relates primarily to the Kennedy investigation. Over 1,000 photographs have been collected by the staff. Of this amount, the committee will select appropriate photographs for extensive analysis. The analysis will consist of four basic categories. The first category is authentication of photographs. The second category will involve the enhancement of particular pictures taken in Dealey Plaza on November 22, 1963. The third category of analysis employs specialized techniques to identify individuals not readily recognizable in a photograph. Finally, the fourth category of analysis will be a trajectory study, which will attempt to trace outward from the President the trajectory of the bullets which struck him. This approach to determine the trajectory of the bullets has not been attempted previously. Prior trajectory studies commenced with tracing from the accounted teaction of the accounted to tracing from the accounted to the accounted to train the accounted to t from the assumed location of the assassin as opposed to tracing from the location of the President's wounds.

Several of the categories of photographic analysis involve the use of computers to assist in the analysis. All of the photographic analysis will be done under the direction and supervision of a panel of expert consultants with backgrounds in photo enhancement, photo interpretation, computers, and photographic measurements. The panel will meet with the organizations responsible for doing the actual enhancement work, and will establish the guidelines and procedures under which this work will be done. Once the work has been completed, the panel of experts will be responsible for evaluating the results, making any necessary interpretations of the evidence, and submitting a report to

the committee.

5. Acoustics

The acoustical project is designed to utilize sound recordings made contemporaneously with the assassination of President Kennedy in Dealey Plaza on November 22, 1963. From original sound recordings, it is now possible to illustrate the audible sounds by means of a computer printout of decible frequencies. An expert analysis of these decible frequencies may enable the committee to determine the number, direction and timing sequence of the shots fired in Dealey Plaza.

An acoustical analysis of the Kennedy assassination has not been conducted by an official investigating body. The quality of the analysis is limited by the quality and quantity of the original or primary sound recordings. The committee is seeking to trace the location of all known original recordings. Suitable original recordings tion of all known original recordings. Suitable original recordings, if located, will significantly assist the committee in resolving issues con-

cerning the events in Dealey Plaza.

6. Handwriting

There are several issues in both the Kennedy and King investigations which may be resolved by the use of handwriting analysis. As an example, the authenticity of numerous documents may be checked to determine if they were written or signed by particular individuals. The committee will assemble a group of experts in handwriting analysis to perform the necessary examination and prepare a report for the committee.

IV. COMPARISON OF 1977 BUDGET WITH ESTIMATED EXPENDITURES FOR 1977

On April 28, 1977, the House passed House Resolution 465 which funded the committee for 1977 in the amount of \$2,514,400. Due to the delay in staffing, it is anticipated that the committee will return approximately \$425,000 to the House contingency fund. The following is a comparison of the 1977 budget with estimated expenditures:

	-supple st	- 9 1 (9 <u>14</u>	es incomo Mares accountable
estimated expenditures	1977 approved budget		
	40, 000 36, 500 50, 000 5, 600 25, 000 16, 000	bhics	I. Salaries II. Travel III. Consultants IV. Witnesses V. Stationery and supplies VI. Telephone and telegraph III. Equipment—Purchase III. Equipment—Lease IX. Reproductions, printing, and gray X. Books and periodicals XI. Miscellaneous
2, 089, 400	2, 514, 400		*

V. CONCLUSION

The committee is in the process of gathering evidence by field investigation and interviews, and the taking of testimony in executive session. Each investigation is following a carefully formulated and detailed plan designed to resolve significant issues concerning that assassination and to fulfill the committee's legislative mandate.

As the investigation proceeds, the committee is evaluating the credibility of witnesses who have appeared before it in executive session, and corroborating or disproving numerous allegations that have arisen concerning each assassination. Until such a process of evaluation and corroboration is complete, any conclusions on particular theories or investigative leads would be premature and inappropriate. The committee's proposed budget will enable the committee to implement its investigative plans, and ultimately to fulfill its legislative mandate.