

Mort Sahl Could I prevail upon you for a little summing up of what you said earlier?

Mark Lane Sure...I saw Garrison the first day I arrived. We were together for a couple of hours and we had a long talk...I met with members of his staff...I've seen them at work...We exchanged information. He said that he was grateful to me for having written the book, having raised the questions, and having kept the door open until his investigation began, which was about two months after the publication of *Rush to Judgment*.

We exchanged information back and forth. I gave him the little that I knew about the areas which he has a vast amount of information. The information which he has assembled strikes me as being amazing in the number of facts. First of all, his whole approach...who they are, what they were planning in advance, how often they met, to a whole series of conclusions which flow very naturally from that evidence. What I find...(discourse on the arguments in *Rush to Judgment*, especially on Lane's conclusion that the shots came from in front of JFK)...Garrison has reached exactly the same conclusion by examining the evidence regarding what it is that the conspirators were planning before the assassination took place. He concluded that the fatal shot came from behind the wooden fence. He has a rather good idea of how the two persons behind the fence were able to escape the scene.

He knows—and this of course was the most startling evidence that has been presented me—he presents it with great detail...so that it is impossible not to accept it...indicating that he is relying on various witnesses, not just one "key" witness...and not only witnesses but documentary evidence...which just cannot be denied...Garrison knows now, and has told me...and I think that aside from Garrison's staff, I am the only persons in America—in the world—who knows...what the evidence shows.

Garrison knows now who planned the assassination of President Kennedy, who fired the shots and did kill President Kennedy. Garrison of course is not talking about this. He cannot present the evidence which he has to the press and the public, because if he does do that, anything which he...will not stand up, as in the Shepard case...If I (talked) it would be violating a confidence, and it would mean the same thing, and I'm not going to do that. But the evidence he has in in very massive detail, and he knows that very powerful forces—I think I can say that—that no foreign power was involved, none at all, but a very powerful domestic force was involved...When Garrison walks into that courtroom in New Orleans and presents it, and when the American people learn for the first time who planned the assassination which culminated in the death of President Kennedy, they are going to be outraged, absolutely outraged and shocked. And then, I think there are going to be some very important and drastic changes...there will have to be.