

27 July 1968

Dear Ken,

Thanks for your letter of the 24th. Yes, Playboy's response is disappointing. Boredom with shots and trajectories, disappointing as it is to us, is not unusual: I did a broadcast via telephone recently on a St. Petersburg station, and in replying to questions by the interviewer, spent quite a bit of time on the single-missile theory and the stretcher bullet, which seems to have made at least one listener very impatient. He found it a replay of the remote past and was apparently let down because I did not spend the hour arguing that the murders of JFK, MLK and RFK were part of a single master conspiracy, and the like.

I hope that you saw Epstein's article on Garrison in the New Yorker of 7/13/68. Enclosed is a copy of a letter I sent him after it was published, which is self-explanatory. I hear that many different people are furious with Epstein, each for his ~~thimself~~ own reasons, as a result of the article.

I am mailing three issues of TMO to Forman--two back numbers which include articles I wrote, and a recent number which does not. As they were extra copies, I did not have to ask Arnoni to send the magazine to Forman. I'd rather he did not know that Forman considered but rejected TMO, if that should turn out to be the case. For similar reasons, I decided not to write to Forman to persuade him to offer his article to TMO. I think it should be entirely his own decision, since publication in TMO would be unpaid and, in any case, I do not take it for granted that Arnoni would accept the article. I think he very probably would wish to publish it--certainly, I would recommend that--but he is a very independent editor, I have learned!

You are quite right about the air conditioner. I don't understand how I did without one in the past, especially when I was working so much harder than this summer.

I hope that you are comfortably settled in your new apartment and your new work. All the best,

Affectionately,

P.S. asking check re
WJL 1965 paper on LHO