AN INQUEST INTO A BOOK THAT FAILED-LEDEND BY E. J. FISTEIN

Brad Sparks 31 March 1970 (typed 13 May 1978)

(Mandom Commenta)

Ingend is a book that held great promine, but fixiled for Jack of days!" in that he accepted norman to have maded a "Monsy with the offered him in (tacil) exchange for adopting RD's viewpill as to where the inventigation would lead. He could have bucked RH mid viewpill as to where the inventigation would lead. He could have bucked RH and reported exactly what he found, but the fact that his publisher and promoter was RD Frens had a chilling effect on him. He might have land the Mational Enquiror-style TV commercials announcing the RH couldmantion of his took. Epstein actiled for burying his doubts in an appendix (the one listing questions he had put to the intelligence agencies in 1977). ((1) May 78 Mote: The RRM interview of Epstein on 5 Apr 78 makes it clear that he is fully sware of the obfuscative role of U.S. intelligence in the oracripte, it seems to me that Epstein on 5 Apr 78 makes it clear that he carpite, it sales clear that he must be been as if it is also clear that Epstein said virtually nothing about this in Legend. From reading the interview oxcerpte, it seems to me that Epstein is dying to tall all he known, but feels he must be that form and the maniformed there. In the RSAM interview fact, Epstein a tripping up of Helms would have been a major highlight of Legend.—If it had been mentioned there. In the RSAM interview in the that is created that Mercile in the feether and defector. Then Epstein pounced (if that can be believed) on Helms, acking, "But the necessary that he and CIA had always disserved debriefing Omenid. If only this tall mented was on tape? (Maybo it is.) Immended, "Oh my Grat," and realized that the macket and the Mixon Waterpate tapes. See KSAM-Epstein interview excepts in Inul the number of pages at bottom of p. 17.))

My remaining comments will be keyed roughly to page numbers from Legend, which numbers will appear in the laft margin of this paper.

Nowhere else does Epstein mention (XI) (Chinn political intelligence) scaling files and holding officers worldwide, so far as I can determine.

A CIA Clandastine Service officer (probably CI Staff) wrote a memo in 1975 (CIA 1188-1000) noting that CIA (deletion--prob. foreign intelligence Staff) had opened a 201 (Field Fernonality) File on Oswald on 9 Dec 1960 because CIA had received the first of 5 pre-assaustiation documents from <a href="https://documents.org/linearization-de

x 5 1

x1-x15

However, there's no explanation on to why the delay from the Cet. 1959 "defection" (loase quote from 201 File) to Dec 1950. Herenver, the 201 File contradicts the 1975 memo writer's account: The 201 was a "Field" file-lt was sent from some (deleted) station, or base, or field office of the CIA directly to CIA Headquarters (deleted component—prob. Fi Staff).

It seems highly unlikely that FMI/State/Newy would send a report on a Marine Corps defector to some <u>outlying</u> CIA station rather than straight to CIA Mendquarters. More likely, the 201 File Request origi-

NCS/Eputein/31 Har 78/Fage 2

nated with the Moscow Station (one never hears about the Moscow Station, not even from Agen; it seems to be a widespread no-no) as a result of some agent report. Perhaps it was not Moscow Station, but Tokyo Station or Atour Dase or New York Field Office (CI Staff's mail intercept project).

Epatein reports (para 2) that ONI ignored CIA's request for Oswald's photo and did not volunteer its file on Oswald. Epatein mentions this only once more in the book (p. 25 note 14). This plus ONI's possible identruction or obstruction of a (possible) damage assessment investigation of finant nuggests ONI complicity. How little we know of ONI and the other military intelligence arrivers as compared to the CIA. Remember that it was ONI that started the contacts with Mafia elements in 1943. See The Inschang Frolect.

Induct, the little known history of GIA espionage (broadly lumped with other forms of clandestine collection into a category called Foreign Intelligence, or FI) activities hints of a motive and a mission for an Onwhild-CHI agent. Little known even to the Church Committee (apparently) wan the fact that the military controlled CIA espionage from 1947 to 1958. According to (moderately reliable) CIA espionage officer Dr. Harry Romitzke, "For almost fifteen years after Morld Mar II the CIA's intelligence targets were dictated almost exclusively by the Department of Defence." (CIA's Secret Operations, RD Press 1977, p. xxii.)

When the wartime OSS was sholished in 1945, the clardestine components were transferred first to the Army (the Assistant Secretary of War), then to the Central Intelligence Group where it formed an Office of Special Operations (OSO) in July 1946. But the Army retained almost total control over the CIO/CIA's OSO: The OSO chief was Army Major General Fibrit 11ther Sibert from 1946 to 1948, Army Colonel "Artemus Galloway" (inme plus rank from Miles Copeland; service plus rank from Rositzke) from 1946 to 1950, and Army Major General Willard Gordon Wyman 1950-1951. Note that Major Generals Sibert and Myman actually <u>outranked</u> the then-CIA Director Bear Admiral Roscoe Henry Hillenkoetter who was legally their superior in the CIA.

Thereafter, the CIA succeeded in progressively wearing its espionage counterespionage activities out of military control. The first to emerge from the inferese umbrella was James J. Ampleton who consolidated all counterintelligence (CI) activities of the plans directorate (Clandestine Service) into a simple CI Staff in 1954. (OSO was abolished by merger on 1 Aug 1952 and foreign intelligence functions were assumed by various aplintered FI Staffs.)

Inring the 1950s, the FI Staffs (one staff for the whole Clandestine Sorvice and one in each area division) emphasized clandestine collection by low-level agent infiltration to acout out mundame military installation rather than by high-level recruitment.in-place. This emphasis came from the military domination of CIA's FI. The military wanted quick results from CIA and it decided that military infiltration techniques were the quickent once to get results. Most of the CIA's accret political intelligence had to come from 11stsom operations (cooperative contacts with foreign govts.) emphasized by Angleton's CI Staff.

NCI Allen Dulles appointed it. General Incian King Truscott his Assistant for Coordination in 1954; at the same time an ineffectual Office

BCS/Epstrin/3t Mar 78/Page 3

of Intelligence Coordination handed by Jamas Quincy Reher was abolished because Reber lacked clout with the military. Truscott a job was to saitle CIA-military and CIA-State jurisalicational disputes. Early in 1958, Truscott succeeded in getting MSCID (National Security Council Intelligence Directive) 5 rewritten so that CIA obtained limited management (veto) authority over military emplonage activition—reggering roles so that CIA gained the upper hand rather than just neutralizing military meddiling in CIA FI. CIA was then reaching its peak in burcourant and CIA forced the SCID 5 revision, Truscott and CIA forced the State Department to close down its espionage networks (panelby they were turned over to CIA). CIA received primary responsibility for intelligence gataliste development (placing Air Force and Navy in accoundary roles) in Feb 1958, and assumed some measure of received primary responsibility for development (placing Air Force and Navy in accountary released than functions intelligence in 1958 (including the resoluted major new paramitlatary operations in Indonesia, Tibet and elsewhere, etc. etc.

So, for years the Army had dominated CIA aspiouage. Now, muddenly, the CIA turned the tables. The CIA could intrude into G-2, ONI, and AFCIN claridestine collection operations.

ತ

Suppose ONI did not like the change. The first thing to do to keep CIA's nose out of ONI business would be not to tell CIA sil about ONI plans and operations. Suppose Oswald was one of these ONI signits omitted from the (CIA-military) interagency Source Register. The lacuna in the ONI Oswald records and lack of cooperation with CIA are thus explained as concealment of unauthorized ONI operations.

The Marine Colonel James Granger that Epatein found so "invaluable" seems to be Lt. Col. James H. Granger, USMC, who was Chief, Military Law Branch, Judge Advocate Division, USMC HQ, 1975; Chief, Penenrch & Folley Branch, Judge Advocate Division, USMC HQ, 1976-1977; for what it's worth. Seems to be a strange sort of fellow to be helping Epatein.

¥

2

Allen Dulies was not Director of Central Intelligence "until 1962"; he did not "retire" in 1962. He left office 29 Nov 1961. These and other trivial but stupid mintakes about names, titles, dates and existences of intelligence organizations are interesting in light of Ejector's report that CIA tried to deceive his about the number of floors in the CIA Head or curriers building (New York article 27 Feb 20 p. 37). For example, on p. 102 of Legend, the likelihood of an Oswald defection damage assentantly late 1959-carly 1960 was discussed by Col. Thomas Fox, "them" chief of "Clandcatine Services for the Defense intelligence Agency." First of all, there has never been such a position within DIA. Secondly, the DIA did not exist until 1961.

Why should Momenko have known about V. H. Kovnhuk's trip to the U.S. in late 1957 to activate the mole? Eputein any Nomenko was Kovshuk's deputy in the KOB, therefore he was in a "unique position to know." Int. when was Mosenko Kovshuk's deputy in the lat Section (American Embanny operations), lat Department (American operations), 2nd Chief Directorate (Interna) Security), KOB (or KOB-II-1-1 for short)/77 Honeuko reported did not work in the American Embansy Soction (KOB-II-1-1) during Kovshuk's 1957 trip, but two years later from Jan 1960 to Jan 1962 (Lagend pp. 5, 79-40). Why would Kovshuk blab about the details of his trip two or more

2

BCS/Epstein/I4 May 78/Page 4

years later? In particular, what was there to boast about in having had difficulty location the mole (Legend p. 46)? If Royshuk told Nosenko in 1957, rather than 1960-62, the question is still why? At that time Nosenko was merely a case officer in the 7th Department (Tourists) of KGB-II (KGB-II-7).

Why should Major Anatoli M. Golitein have known that Kovshuk traveled to the U.S.7. He had merely been an officer in the 3rd Department (United Kingulom-Seandinavia-Auntralia-New Zealand operations), let Chief Directorate (Foreign Operations), KOB (or KOB-I-3). Kovshuk had been chief of KOB-I-1-1.

Incidentally, Epstein claimed in New York (27 Feb 78 p. 3%) that "Slow" (informal codename for Golitain) had never been mentioned in print before Epstein's work. But I easily found Golitain in John Barron's KUB book (RD Frees 1974) on p. 430 and indexed on p. 607 (Bantam ed. page nos.), a look Epstein cites (Legend pp. 277 n), 299 n(8).

I am convinced that Epstein has deliberately underplayed and omitted evidence binthing that JFK's ass'n might have been a KUB operation. First, Epstein book, but not in his direct GUB hepartment 13's ass'n role in the text of his book, but not in his direct questions to the GIA in 1977 (Appendix D): His first mention of Y. Y. Kostikov is that as a Department 13 officer Kostikov was merely involved with "abbtevers"—no mention of sasassination requantifilties (Ierend p. 16). Only by p. 30 does Epstein concede that hepartment 13 was analyzed "abbotage and assassination." By p. 237, Epstein cays Department 13 was simply involved in "planning" such things as "nabbtage" and (he varuely mentions) "other violent acts." But, in his 1977 correspondence with GIA, Epstein simply and forthrightly describes Department 13 as the unit responsible for "assassinations, sabotage and kidnapping" (Legend p. 364 q12).

In Barron's <u>KUB</u> (p. 430) we learn that according to Golltsin ("Stone" in Epstein's preferred parlance) at least 17 Department 13 officers were fired or demoted following the defection of massasin bogdom Stashinskly on 12 Aug 1961. Then we're told, according to <u>Moscoko</u>, that the Soviet Jeadership ordered KUB to "drastically" curtail assassinations in late 1962 or early 1963. (This seems to be still further evidence that Nosenko was trying to steer U.S. intelligence away from the idea that KUB assassinated JFK.—whether or not the Folithuro order (Barron p. 452) to the KUB was real. How would Nosenko in KUB-II know about the affairs of a supersecret unit in KUB-II)

But it was not until the <u>mid-1960s</u> (Barron p. 431) or <u>1969</u> (Barron p. 110) that "Western security services" <u>independently</u> "discerned a shift in emphasis in Department 13 operations from assassination to preparations for substance." (Barron p. 431)

All in all, it seems that Nomenko is the only source for the claim that Kill began stressing sabotage over murder <u>prior</u> to JFK's assassination. And Nomenko was not even in the same chief directorate as Department 13. (Nomenko had always been in KOB-II; Department 13 was in KOB-I.)

BCS/Epstein/14 May 78/Page 5

Horeover, Epstein suppressed from his book the vital datum that Golitsia was told by his superjor, the other of the Jrd Department of KGB-I (the ANZUK-Scendinavia Department), that the KGB planned to annossimate the leader of an opposition party in the KGB-I-J's area, and that GIA mispecied that the mirder had been carried out against Sir Heyb Galtakell of Beltahi. (New York 27 Feb 78 p. 31) Another important point omitted by Epstein even in the New York article was the date of Galtakell's double. 18 Jan 1963.

Here was an important (alleged)/political assamatantion in a Menterm nation clonely allied with the U.S. just ten months before JEK's murder. The timing, late 1962-early 1963, acems to fly in the face of Moscuko's claim that the Politburo was then <u>curtailing</u> amonssimutions by the KOB.

On the other hand, Nosenko may still be right or wrong. Gaitskeil's death might have been untural; the Polithuro order might have been a lie by Nosenko; and the real KUB annagaination in the KUB-1-) area might still be unknown or it might have been called off after (hill-lin's defection in Dec 1961. Or: Gaitskell's doath was natural; the Polithuro order was true; and the aggentiation was called off in accord with the order and/or because of Golitain's defection. Or: Golitain is the diditionmation agent and Nosenko is genuine. Or: Buth Golitain is the diditionmation agent and Nosenko is genuine. Or: Buth Golitain and Nosenko are Soviet plants. In any event, Epstein withheld important relevant information from his book, the effect of which was to minimize KOB complicity in annannimations in general and in JFK's murder in particular.

Oswald's possible or actual contects with KOH Department 13 are as follows: Golibsin explained "in detail" that Department 13 had primary responsibility for debriefing military defectors (Ingend pp. 30-31)—Chamid and a military defector to the Soviet Union. In Minck, Onwald had been a member of a hunting club. Epstein notes the parallel that a KOB "app" called "Anton Sabotka" in Harron's KOB book (pp. 4;Vif) received part of his training at a "sporting club" where he practiced shooting at the nilhouette of the upper half of a man (Ingend p. 299 niB). But Sabotka was not a KOB "epy." He was a KOB Department 13 assansishment, a last Epstein could not have failed to notice since "Sabotka" was described in Darron's chapter of the activities of Department 13 and 14s predecement functioners. Finally, of course, Cowald met with Department 13 officer Valert Visilmirovich Kostikov in Mexico City on 28 Sep 1963, less than two mouths before JFK's assassishation.

Golitain ("Stone") is supposed to have told Angloton that Norenko could not have been deputy chief of the Tourist Department (KUL-IJ-7) "or Stone would have been deputy chief of the Tourist Department (KUL-IJ-7) "or Stone would have known his." But Nosenko was Deputy Chief/KUB-IJ-7 from January 1962 to January 1964 (with some gaps for temporary duty in Geneva (Legend pp. 5, 11, 259, 34; Barron pp. 85, 84). Golitain defected in Becember 1961 (Legend p. 27; New York 27 Feb 70 pp. 20; N). Golitain was not working for the KUB when Nosenko heaven Deputy Chief/KUB-II-7. However, Epstein might have golton Golitain's statement contract disce he speaks errorieously of an "American Embassy Deputyment, confined since he speaks errorieously of an "American Embassy Deputyment, confined since parage for 3, 73, 75, 79, 40, 41, 46). Golitain actually might have referred to Nosenko in the position of Deputy Chief, American-Hilliah Scetton (Int. Section), Tourist Department (7th Department), KUB 2nd Chief Directorate (or Deputy Chief/KGB-II-7-1 for short), a position Nosenko claimed from June 1958 to January 1960 (Legend p. 5; Barron p. 452).

⋍

ĭ

DCS/Epstein/14 May 78/Page 6

The organizational details must seem terribly confusing. To clarify, let me point out that the KUB's 2nd Chief Directorate (Internal Security) is divided into twelve numbered departments plus several miscellaneous units. Department 1 is the American Department, and it is divided into five sections—Section 1 is the American Embassy Section (of which Kovshuk was chief). Department 7 is the Tourist Department, and it is divided into six sections—Section 1 is the American-British Section (Ganadians too). The American Department (KGB-II-1) concentrates on government officers, while the Tourist Department (KGB-II-7) stresses tourists and visitors. Now, Nomenko's alleged biography or career record is:

4 Feb 1964	Jan 1962	ca 1960-1961		Jan 1960	Jun 1955	1949 1953
Defects to CIA Soviet Russia Division	Deputy Chief/Tourist Dept/KGB-II (on leave to	Deputy Chief/American Embassy Sect/Amer Dept/	deputy chief of this section: By 1961 he	American Embassy Sect/American Dept/KGB-II (It is	Tourist Dept/2nd CD/KOB Dept/2nd CD/KOB Dept/2nd CD/KOB	Naval intelligence American Embassy Section/American Dept/2nd CD/

Nonenko supposedly admitted to CIA that his highest rank in the KOB was Captain, that he lied when he told CIA-Geneva in June 1962 that he was a Major, and that he lied after his defection in 1974 when he claimed he'd then promoted to Lieutenant Colonel. So why did Mosenko tell John Barron in Hay 1970 et seq. that his rank on defection in 1974 was Major as early no 1970.) What reason was there to make up a third story about his rank? Why didn't he stick to his first story (Lt. Col.) or his second (Capt.)? Terhaps all this really means is that Nosenko's account is coming to us through too many hands, that errors and prejudices are creeping into the details.

ಸ

The conflicting reports about Nosenko's rank and position are matched by the equally conflicting accounts of the GIA's principal defector-in-place (or "mole") of the 1950s, an officer of the GRU (Chief Intelligence Directorate of the Soviet General Staff). According to Epstein (Legend pp. 31-74, 47, 117-118, 278 mio; New York 27 Feb 78 pp. 35, 37), this was inclinant Colonel Fetor Semyonovich Popov who was arrested by the KGB in Moscow in September 1959. It is not clear whether this was Fopov's first or land arrent because the KGB sent bim to a rendezvous with the GIA case of-firers after this arrest—of course the KGB kept bim under tight surveillance. He was interested as a gay. But according to Barron (p. 464), the GRU gay for CIA was it. Colonel Yuri Fopov who was arrested by the KGB in 1958. This case on upset Khruschchev that KGB Chairman Ivan Serov 1eft KGB to take control of GRU in December 1950. And according to Harry Rositake (pp. 6611, 146) the GRU officer was a Major recruited in Vienna on 1 Jan 1953, arrested in Fobruary 1959 when the CIA man wan arrested and expelled. The GRU Major's execution was "announced" acon thereafter.