

Editorial Board: Dave Dellinger, Editor • Barbara Deming • Paul Goodman • Sidney Lens • A. J. Muste, Chairman

Managing Editor: Richard Gilpin Associate Editors: Kay Boyle • Nat Hentoff • Staughton Lynd • David McReynolds • Mulford Sibley

September 1, 1966

Sylvia Meagher
Thomas Stamm
Vincent Salandria

*Sent TMO/Bogert
to the
9/3/66*

Dear friends:

Each of you have a very, very long time ago asked me for a copy of the enclosed article by Edward Epstein. I have no real excuse, except the constant pressure of time each time I was reminded of it, for not having sent it to you. For the last couple of weeks I have been able to get caught up on a lot of things and for one reason or another recalled that I had never done anything about this.

The article was originally published in the Stanford Challenger, Volume 1, number 1, Spring 1965. I do not know if any subsequent issues of the Challenger were printed nor do I know for sure how we happened to get hold of a copy of the paper. Shortly after we received this Dave Dellinger wrote to Epstein at Cornell where he was at that time a student according to a biographical note published in the Challenger. I do not find a copy of a response from him and it is my recollection that we never received a response. The biographical note included the fact that he was in the department of government. Shortly thereafter we received an order for the Salandria articles from Andrew Hacker in the government department. Dave Dellinger also wrote at that time to Hacker a very general sort of note but again received no response.

To the best of my knowledge this article has not been printed elsewhere. I do not know how much of the material included in it is included in Epstein's book, although I am sure that each of you are familiar enough with his book to have that information.

On the third page of the article, numbered page 49, I see a handwritten insertion. I do not know who put that in. I do not think it was Dave Dellinger, although the handwriting is a little bit similar to his, as you can see from the first page of the article. It was he who wrote in the source of the article on that page. The erratum included on the last page of the article was part of the original mimeographed version which we received.

With renewed apologies to all of you for not making this article available to you,

Sincerely,

Richard Gilpin

