

November ~~2~~³ 1965

Dear Sylvia:

Another thing I forgot to tell you - You know I said I thought that the photograph in Exhibit 2114 was made during the second re-enactment - that December 5, 1963 (Exhibit 875) was the first - and May 24, 1964 was the third (Newsweek said there were three). I based that conclusion, ~~because~~ that Exhibit 2114 was the 2nd re-enactment because the floral offerings were still on the grass as in the December 5 re-enactment but the foliage had been trimmed off the tree. Then, I figured, they would have to wait for the foliage to grow back before the 3rd re-enactment on May 24.

Well, I had that small photograph in Ex 2114 enlarged to an 8x10 and I find that the foliage was not cut off the tree but "doctored" off the tree. Therefore, Exhibit 2114 could have been made during the first re-enactment before the re-enactment of December 5 and before they removed the original Steunmans sign for that Dec 5 re-enactment. It could be a picture of the original three signs in their original positions.

Lillian

P.S. (after opening the sealed envelope.)

I remember before that you attached no significance to the fact that the Shaneyfelt testimony in Vol. 15 - made on 9/1/64 was not included in the reference for Shaneyfelt in the Warren Commission Report List of Witnesses. I believe it is important and that the Commission ~~was~~ wished that Willis and his camera had never been born. Marina's testimony of 9/6/64 in V. 15 - p. 588 is included in that List of Witnesses. But not Shaneyfelt of 9/1/64.

Lillian