2537 Regent St., Apt. 202 Berkeley, Calif. 94704 July 25, 1969

Mr. R. Richards Rolapp Special Assistant to the Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Rolapp:

Thank you for your letter of July 10, 1969. The prompt response to my request of June 9 for access to certain records is appreciated. I especially thank you for the unambiguous statement that the particular copy of the pamphlet "The Crime Against Cuba" which was obtained by FBI SA Quigley from Lee Harvey Oswald in August 1963 <u>does</u> bear the address "544 Camp St." I further note with interest that the original of this pamphlet is in the files of the Justice Department and not in the files of the Warren Commission at the National Archives.

You write that "copies of this document are contained in the Warren Commission files." As I noted in my letter of June 9, the Archives has assured me that no such copy has been located in those files. (I need not belabor the distinction between the copy of the pamphlet obtained by Mr. Quigley and copies obtained by other people, before or after the assassination of President Kennedy, several of which are in the Warren Commission files.) I believe that the Archives made a fairly thorough search in my behalf. Thus, I ask that if you have any information which would assist in locating a copy, you provide that information to me or directly to the Archives,

There is no doubt in my mind that if there were a copy in the Archives it would not be withheld from research under any of the Guidelines. Therefore, if you cannot provide information sufficient to locate the copy you believe to be there, I suggest that you simply make a copy of the requested pages for me from your files.

Ordinarily, it would be quite adequate to refer my request to another agency which can more easily make a copy available. In this case, however, one can not say that a copy is available in the Archives if it can not be located. As I read 28 CFR 16.7 (b), I am entitled to <u>either</u> a copy from you <u>or</u> an explicit denial of my request. Whether or not an Archives copy exists or can be located, your letter of July 10 is in effect a denial of my request for records which are in the possession of your department. Please send me "a simple statement of reasons for the denial," as required, with a citation of the section of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) under which exemption from disclosure is claimed, so that I might take further action, as appropriate.

Sincerely yours, Paul d. Hoch

Paul L. Hoch

cc: Mr. Eckhoff, NARS