

The Witnesses

In addition to the sinister misadventures which are alleged to have befallen witnesses or people otherwise involved in the Oswald case (some of which are verified in the report of the Warren Commission), it is noteworthy that <sup>THE EXISTENCE OF</sup> certain witnesses who are known from non-official sources ~~has~~ <sup>HAS</sup> not been acknowledged in the report, ~~even though~~ <sup>published</sup> reports --in several instances, reports published by the witnesses themselves --indicated that they had <sup>INFORMATION</sup> ~~testimony~~ which should have been of considerable interest to the Commission. It is noteworthy also that a great many of the witnesses who did provide testimony which appeared to warrant vigorous inquiry and cross-examination were not called before the Commission personally but provided depositions or affidavits. It remains to be seen from the volumes of Hearings to be published whether or not the interrogation of those witnesses was adequate.

It is to be hoped, for example, that McDonald, the policeman who arrested Oswald in the Texas Theatre, was questioned vigorously about the strange fact that he searched two other patrons before approaching Oswald, although Brewer had already "fingered" Oswald from the stage of the theatre.

It is to be hoped that Brewer himself was questioned on that point and also about the absence of <sup>ANY MENTION OF</sup> his name or presence <sup>of the theater in</sup> ~~from~~ newspaper stories about the arrest of Oswald, and the tardiness of his affidavit, which was filed two weeks after the arrest, on 6 December 1963.

The Warren Commission has not been clear at all about the eyewitnesses and witnesses to the murder of Tippit. (add here question 6) (7) (8)