

The strange fate of other witnesses involved in the case is arresting:

1. Warren Reynolds, witness to the escape from the scene of the Tippit murder, shot in the head by a rifle
2. Darrell Wayne Garner, arrested for shooting Reynolds but released on the alibi of his girl-friend, Betty Moody MacDonald (alleged former stripper for Jack Ruby), has disappeared
3. Betty Moody MacDonald, arrested shortly afterwards, committed suicide in the Dallas jail by hanging herself
4. James Markham, son of Helen Markham, was arrested within two days of a visit to Mrs. Markham by Marguerite Oswald and two investigators, and "fell" 20 feet out of a bathroom window "while trying to escape."
5. Miss <sup>TERESA</sup> (?) Norton, stripper for Jack Ruby who was arrested in the courtroom during his trial for carrying a gun and who said that after what she had learned about Ruby she wanted to kill him, committed suicide about ten days ago, i.e., about 23 September 1964.
6. Mrs. Earline Roberts, housekeeper at the boarding-house, who was a witness to Oswald's return and departure some time before his arrest, and whose sister visited Jack Ruby at his club four days before the assassination, has disappeared and both her family and the Johnsons, who own the rooming-house, do not know her present whereabouts.

Balance of notes to be transcribed by ILD

SM from memory

The three men who were on the fifth floor and heard the three cartridges fall during the shooting ran down and told the police about it within 5 or 10 minutes (or less) but the cartridges were not found until about 1:22 p.m. --more than a half-hour later.

The source of Mark Lane's information about the meeting between Ruby, Weissman and Tippit at Ruby's club 8 days before the assassination was a prominent and wealthy Dallas business-man. Through a well-known reporter in the Dallas area, he contacted Mark Lane, saying that while he was fearful of testifying himself and jeopardizing his respected place in the community and his future, he could not sleep at night unless he at least gave his information to some one who might do something about it. He had been present at the club, and saw Ruby-Weissman-and-Tippit sitting together for an hour or more at the adjoining table. He knew two of them and was able to recognize the third from his photograph. He obtained a promise from Mark Lane not to reveal his name voluntarily under any circumstances. The reporter, who was not under the same pledge, was never called by the WC. Furthermore, the WC was empowered to subpoena Lane and compel him to reveal the name of his informant. This they did not do. Even though they did not use the powers available to them to secure this crucial information, the WC has nevertheless treated it as the most mischievous, irresponsible, and unfounded "speculation." Chief Justice Warren, in questioning Jack Ruby, neither pressed him for an answer nor obtained any answer; furthermore, he did not frame the question in accordance with the actual allegation but inserted a gratuitous fourth person, "a rich oilman." Lane had never mentioned such a person. Yet, without even a denial from Ruby, Warren quickly tried to terminate that part of the interrogation, saying that he felt that the record should show that the question had been asked and that Ruby had answered it (which he had not).

	No. <u>1</u>
	<u>October 7</u> <del>November</del> <u>1964</u>
RECEIVED OF <u>Sylvia Maapher</u>	
<u>Donation</u>	
	<u>Ten (10)</u>
	<small>100</small> DOLLARS
\$ <u>10-</u>	<u>D. Gussard</u>