Dear Miss Griswold,
Thank you for your letter of 18 September 1964. It is not clear whether or not I will receive a further reply to the questions I raised in my letter to Mr. Lane dated 11 September 1964, in particular the questions dealing with the "new" paraffin test results and with the fate of Mrs. Markham's son.

I have been using every possible occasion to call the attention of many friends and acquaintances to the anomolies in the official case on the assassination and as is probably the experience of members of your Committee have encountered pronounced resistance to the facts and their implications. These sceptics, who should direct their scepticism to the weird inconsistencies and weaknesses of the case put forward by the establishment, usually demand instead that I provide chapter-and-verse verification of the information discovered and made available to the public by Mr. Lane.

It is for that reason that I requested further information on the paraffin test and on Mrs. Markham's son. I would like to be able to compel attention to those shocking and strange developments in the case rather than to have them dismissed as unsupported rumor. I hope, therefore, that you or Mr. Lane will take the trouble to reply to the relevant parts of my earlier letter.

Incidentally, I was tuned in to the Brad Crandall programme on NBC radio two nights ago and heard some discussion of Mr. Lane's role in this case. Mr. Crandall, who is usually fair and well-informed, made a comment to the effect that Mr. Lane was making a good thing financially out of his lectures and other activities. I would urge that Mr. Crandall be informed of the true state of affairs, as the misrepresentation will only serve to discredit the important findings which have been made by Mr. Lane.

Sincerely yours,

SILVIA MEAGHER
Miss Deirdre Griswold
Citizens' Committee of Inquiry
156 Fifth Avenue-Room 422
New York City 10010

