Dear Tom: Many thanks for your letter and the several enclosures. Be sure that I will treat the "diary" with utmost discretion and care.

3/18/69

I appreciated your handwritten comments, which somewhat reduce my general dejection. I think highly of Clay Shaw, who has behaved with great dignity under very unpleasant circumstances, although I certainly disagree with him on the WR. I was not aware that Rosemary James had read my work but I'm very pleased that she seems familiar with it.

Your article on Louisiana politics and corruption is cogent and sound. Have you been able to place it anywhere? I did a short piece on the Shaw trial but it was rejected by Commonweal---and there are not many other places to try? However, I am enclosing a copy of my review of the Flammonde bock.

You are well advised to decline Popkin's invitation. He is another joker---like Salandria----who cannot produce a piece of work by himself but must have a partner or an entourage. He is hardly a serious person.

Your diary is indeed crammed with interesting and valuable tidbits. I loved G's virtual invitation to you to do a book on his "case." I hope you will do one, in due course, though it won't be the one he had in mind.

Must rush to a UN meeting---the work here is distracting these days, lots of it. But I'll write again, or call, quite soon.

Much affection,