

14 February 1967

Dr. John K. Lattimer
Professor of Urology
Chairman, Department of Urology
College of Physicians and Surgeons
of Columbia University
620 West 168 Street
New York NY 10032

Dear Dr. Lattimer,

I appreciate your friendly letter of the 3rd. It is so long now since I became immersed in the endless convolutions and ambiguities of the assassination evidence that I can scarcely reconstruct the starting point—I think I can best summarize it by saying that the bulletins out of Dallas on November 22-24, 1963 constituted a highly implausible story which, as time wore on, picked up new anomalies and additional suspect features, so that there was a diminution rather than an increase in credibility.

There is much evidence to disprove the findings in the Warren Report but little of it is "new": most of that irrefutable evidence is contained within the 26 volumes of the Hearings and Exhibits published by the Commission, supposedly in support of its assertions in the Report, but in fact failing to provide the necessary substantiation and in some pivotal instances actually contravening the Commission's conclusions. However preposterous such a judgment may seem, please withhold judgment—I assure you that the conflicts and contradictions, the misrepresentation and omission of relevant or essential data can be fully documented. Not, of course, in a letter. It has required a 700-page manuscript to document some of the major discrepancies between the Warren Report, on the one hand, and the 26 volumes of testimony and exhibits, on the other; and I am happy to say that this manuscript has been accepted by Bobbs-Merrill and will be published in the fall of this year. It will impose a burden on the reader since it will be almost as massive as the Report itself, but I hope very much that it will be read and that the fully documented facts will then be weighed impartially.

Reverting to the stretcher bullet: Ray Marcus of Los Angeles, a fellow-critic of the Warren Report, has recently published an excellent monograph on the bullet (77 pages), which presents coherently all the known evidence—evidence indispensable to the formulation of a valid judgment on the bullet but much of which is not yet familiar even to students of the case. I take the liberty of enclosing an order form, should you wish to obtain a copy. Also enclosed is an ad for my Subject Index together with an Errata sheet.

Perhaps we can have a chat one day. My "normal" work is in the field of public health, although rather removed from the center, these days.

Yours sincerely,

Sylvia Meagher
302 West 12 Street
New York, N.Y. 10014

Enclosures (3)