18 January 1969

file: Jutopsy photos at mys

Mr. Fred P. Graham The New York Times Times Square, N.Y.

Dear Mr. Graham:

I have read with interest your story on the Kennedy autopsy photographs and I-rays in today's issue, as well as the earlier story which appeared on the 17th. In further coverage of this matter, I hope that you will find it possible to clarify a number of points which must concern students of the assassination.

It is noteworthy, for example, that Dr. Milton Helpern and Dr. Cyril H. Wecht have not been granted an opportunity to examine the photographs and X-rays, as had been requested some two years ago by the then-Congressman Theodore R. Kupferman. Was any consideration given to including either of these ferensic pathologists on the panel that was invited to examine these materials? How were the four members of the panel selected?

Dr. J.J. Humes testified (Hearings, Volume II, page 361) that "X-rays were made of the entire body of the late President." The panel reported, however, that parts of the body had not been X-rayed. In view of the known erratic and unpredictible course that a projectile or fragment may pursue ("showing up in the most astounding places in the body," according to Dr. Helpern, as quoted by Marshall Houts in Where Death Delights, page 61), does not the possibility remain that a bullet or bullet fragments were lodged in a part of the body for which there are no X-rays?

The panel has concurred explicitly in the measurement of the entrance wound in the back as 14 centimeters or $5\frac{1}{2}$ inches below the tip of the right masteid process. This measurement was made on the prone body. Robert Forman of Wisconsin State University has pointed out in an unpublished monograph (excerpt and illustrations enclosed) that in the erect position the same wound would measure 7 to $7\frac{1}{2}$ inches below the tip of the right masteid process, placing the wound in alignment with the bullet holes in the coat and shirt and requiring a horizontal or ascending trajectory to the Adam's apple. If so, the shot could not have come from a sixth-storey windex.

The panel examined the autopsy photographs and X-rays on 26 and 27 February 1968 but wrote its report only in April. What was the reason for this lapse of time?

The New York Times and other news medial have reported the four-man medical panel were the "only outsiders" to view the photographs and X-rays. William Manchester has, however, alluded in his book <u>The Death of a President</u> (published on 7 April 1967), pages 156-157 <u>fn</u>., to three experts ("each a stranger to the other two") who had examined the photographs and X-rays. Is Manchester incorrect?

Yours sincerely.

Sylvia Meagher 302 West 12 Street New York, N.Y. 10014

Enclosure

and the second of a

In tops of place a k- way

Sec. 1352	in a h-series	ч а
to di Li	1.247 2	2
	e te Maria	

. Le serve la sitie iniere à l'es c'h ly relieur de la contrator alle serà e **mé** e processo de la isanel en les la catilies bieng area legeren en bie inie. e**n i**t relienden coverage af les catilier e bare is is relienad a possible ta eri c'h categer a biete correspondent e contrator e contrator i contrator e consisten.

, sioon, no , no , no , no , no , no , no ,	cc: Peter Kihss Editor, The Nation Editor, The New Republic	in the second se
02000 02000 1 83200 3203 01313000 01313000 0131 20 301 70 301 70 301	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	
ai bauda ia sucrosio deta actea sucres atta atta tata jector actoriata		i - Kada 2003 and - S 2003 and - S
brery 1968 a tise?		k sul L∕dosvú

res de l'one; abridars' to vie, bre d'enere de l'étaire de l'étaire de l'étaire sendester ser de l'one; abridars' to vie, bre d'enere d'étaire d'étaire de l'étaire de l'april 1967). regeo d'étaire de terme e conduct étaire d'étaire d'étaire d'étaire de la dé redde d'étaire partograde and fer yeur de l'étaire d'étaire d'étaire.

iliyin Hongias De bass is stress Her fork, wit lafe