

1 June 1966

Mr. M. S. Arnoni
Editor
THE MINORITY OF ONE
155 Pennington Avenue
Passaic, New Jersey 07055

Dear Menachem,

If it is not too late, can we make a slight addition to my review of Epstein's book? It comes at the end of the third paragraph on page 9, as follows:

Ball's concept of his task seems to go beyond what even the most zealous public prosecutor might define as his objective in a homicide case, since Ball does not give as much as lip service to the presumption of innocence which supposedly is one of the mighty pillars of our jurisprudence. Ball was supposed to be an impartial fact-finder, not a "lawyer building a case." I find myself wondering just how to interpret his statement that a chain of evidence had to be forged.

(Underlining indicates modification or addition, except in the case of the word "forged.")

With apologies,

Yours sincerely,

Sylvia Meagher