

13 November 1967

Miss Evelyn Irons
The London Sunday Times
299 West 43 Street
New York City 10036

Dear Miss Irons,

In your story in the London Sunday Times yesterday I am quoted as having said that "Oswald was 95 per cent innocent." My statement was actually that I was 95 per cent convinced that Oswald was completely innocent. If you think it possible, perhaps a clarification might be made? I realize, of course, that dispatches inevitably are garbled at times at the receiving end and, in any case, I will hope that my real meaning was clear to readers of the Times.

You will recall that during the interview I suggested that I be identified as an officer of an international health organization related to the United Nations and that no reference should be made to the World Health Organization, since there is no relationship whatever between my duties with WHO and my book. You pointed out that I had already been identified as a staff member of WHO in The New Yorker magazine and in other publications. Nevertheless, the reference in yesterday's story to the World Health Organization was unfortunate and I should be grateful if you would request your London office, on my behalf, not to identify me as a functionary of WHO should the occasion arise again to mention my work on the Warren Report. I should consider this a courtesy for which I should be grateful.

With kind regards and thanks,

Yours faithfully,

Sylvia Meagher
302 West 12 Street
New York, N.Y. 10014