

1/6/68

"Three out of five Americans doubt or disbelieve the findings of the Warren Report; the proportion may be even greater if this astonishing book gets the wide reading it merits. Mrs. Meagher is a meticulous, far-reaching critic of the Report...a scholar of awesome depth, she has as well the skills of a great defense attorney...her method is simple but devastating...her logic is powerful and dazzling..."---Thomas G. Bruni, Lehigh Valley Labor Herald, December 27, 1967

"Two new additions to the library of criticism are neither shrill nor shoddy. Mrs. Meagher...is credited with being more thoroughly informed on the contents of the Report than any other single person. Her analysis and comments warrant the claim...She raises enough questions about the conduct of the inquiry to blemish its findings as hurried, sloppy and incomplete..."---J. B. Mullaney, The Plain Dealer (Cleveland), December 17, 1967

"The best of the new crop of books---and the most chilling in its implications ---is Sylvia Meagher's Accessories After The Fact...a comprehensive and exhaustively researched analysis of the Warren Report and its 26 volumes of supplementary evidence...Mrs. Meagher amasses an impressive array of evidence in support of her contentions...Her scholarship, perception and grasp of the intricacies of the Commission's evidence makes Accessories After The Fact the definitive work to date on the assassination...a modern J'Accuse..."---Playboy, February 1968

(2/8/68)

"Accessories After the Fact, which sums up Mrs. Meagher's case against the Warren Commission, is required reading for anyone who wants to understand how the Commission operated and why its conclusions have been subjected to hostile criticism...Mrs. Meagher has performed a valuable service by bringing to light one of the Warren Commission's unstated but determining principles...that at the most basic level the Commission was concerned to deny the possibility of any conspiracy, right, left, or center...It is greatly to Mrs. Meagher's credit that she has applied her principle of scepticism even to those parts of the Commission's findings which strengthen the case for Oswald's innocence. Studying her detailed, insistent probing of the Commission's work, one is better able to identify the basically incompatible ends the Commission was called on to serve, and to acknowledge its effort as far as possible to serve them all..."---Professor Robert M. Slusser, The Baltimore Sun, February 4, 1968