Charges of Nixon Misconduct Inferred By Lawyers Analyzing Action of Jury

By WARREN WEAVER Jr. Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 1 Federal grand juries issue re-ports rather than indictments so rarely that today's action by the Watergate grand jury prompted widespread discussion among lawyers and politi-

onlike grand juries operat-ing under the supervision of state courts, Federal grand jur-ies generally observe the broad question, not clearly reached. He must clear the trans-transformed a crime. ies generally observe the broad stricture to "indict or shut up"

tergate report probably con-tained specific charges of mis-conduct that might constitute in the form of indictments for in the form of indictments for one of two legal reasons.

First, if the report includes have chosen this mechanism day's action. because of their belief that the President is immune from prosecution for crime while in office.

Agreement on Law

Virtually all criminal law authorities agree that the President is not subject to legal process while in office, cannot be compelled to appear in court and is for all practical purposes not triable. Thus any attempt to indict him would be doomed from the start.

Second, if the report contains charges that would not ordinarily support a criminal indictment, the grand jury may have used the document as a vehicle to convey the information to the investigating body empowered to consider this kind of accusation, the House Judiciary Committee. A pitched debate is under

way as to whether the House continittee can properly recom-mend impeachment of the the President on the basis of in-

court.

The White House maintains sealed. that only accusations of crime are valid grounds while the Code, the judge must compare committee staff and a majority the report with the grand jury of its members argue that im-minutes. If he concludes that peachment can be voted on the the subject matter was within sion among lawyers and politibasis of serious misconduct in the grand jury's jurisdiction office that does not necessarily and the conclusions are sup-

silent as to regular grand juries. It is po Other lawyers, however, said claim, to that grand jury reports, while claim to be such an agency First, if the report includes relatively rare, were issued be-charges against the President fore the 1970 statute creating that might ordinarily form the basis for one or more indict-ments, the grand jurors may have chosen this mechanism day's action.

Judge John J. Sirica has a Judge John J. Sirica has a in a report has the right to number of alternate courses in submit an answer, stating his dealing with the grand incr metal submit an answer, stating his dealing with the grand jury re-defense to the charges, which port. In the first place, he may then becomes an appendix to strike all or part of it from the the report and is made public record if he finds some charges

Additional Funds Voted For Ervin's Committee

WASHINGTON, March 1 (Reuters)-The Senate voted today to give its Watergate committee an additional \$300,000 to complete its investigations and prepare a report.

The committee, which is headed by Senator Sam J. Ervin Jr., Democrat of North Carolina, is scheduled to issue a report on its months of hearings by May 28.

The Senate had previously given the committee \$1.5-million for its inquiry, which included hearings that were televised last year.

formation that would not sup-junsustantiated or scandalously port a criminal indictment in unfair. Such portions would be automatically and permanently

that anyone named by the and thus do not issue the gen-eral statements on deplorable conditions that are technically known as presentments. As a result, legal authorities concluded today that the Wa-concluded toda

with respect to the President.

vides that any person named with it.

presentment, another The name for a grand jury report, goes back to the reign of William the Conqueror (1066 to 1087), who charged a grand jury with determining who owned what land in England after the Norman invasion and then used its report to displace property owners in favor of his lords.

Historically thereafter, the presentment was the grand jury's record of its accusations written in Anglo-Saxon, which the prosecutor then translated into Latin, whereupon it became an indictment.

Later the term presentment was restricted to reports by grand juries that stopped short of accusing any individual of crime. a