Dear Mr. Sprague,

Glad to hear from you. It might have been illuminating - attending Bremer's trial and talkin with his family and attorney. Clues to motive for the shooting might have been forthcoming. And it may have been possible to discover whether the defense strategy of pleading innocence because of insanity was not, also, objectively, cooperation with the prosecution in burying the problem of motive. But the speedy conduct and imminent conclusion of the trial make the trip you suggest pointless. Too bad!

My piece in Computers and Automation was a surprise to me. Months ago I sent C&A a copy of a lengthy letter to Vincent Salandria posing analytical questions to him about the ideas projected in his speech on the Kennedy assassination to the Women's International League For Peace And Freedom in Cambridge last October. Mr. Berkeley responded, asking whether I would recast it in the form of an article. I agreed at once and inquired when he wanted the recast piece and how long it should be. There was no answer. When Wallace was shot I wrote to Salandria again and sent C&A a copy. Salandria did not reply. But, later, Mr. Berkeley wrote , saying three copies of/article and his - both unidentified in any way - were on the way to me. They arrived simultaneously with your letter, yesterday. I had assumed the "article" referred to by Mr. Berkeley was a recast version by him of my questions to Salandria on the Kennedy assassination. I had no idea Mr. Berkeley had

changed his mind and decided to recast my letter to Salandria on the Wallace shooting. It was more timely, of course. Nevertheless I would have preferred the piece on the Kennedy assassination, which was far more significant than the Wallace in cident. That piece goes deeper.

Mr. Berkeley also inquired whether I would write another article for C&A. Subject, length, and submission date were not indicated. I am waiting for his reply on that score.

You suggest research on the Wallace shooting for the Washington-based Committee To Investigate Assassinations. It is possible. What does the Committee have in mind? I would prefer working on the JFK assassination in which I am deeply interested and on which I have been writing occassional pieces - all but part of one unpublished - and letters since 1963. I understand you have done significant work in the sphere of photographic evidence in that event. Have you published anything?

Sincerely,

2705 Bainbridge Ave

Bronx, N.Y. 10458