D. 32,465

JUN 2 6 1966

## Viewers Of Oswald's Called Witnesses

AUSTIN, Tex. (AP) - The the shooting over television las said Brown committed a question probably never occurred in the wildest dreams of the first Texas Court of Crimi- lawyer at the trial, failed in an nal Appeals in 1876.

But for the television-age judges keep such persons off the jury. of the court, and for Jack Ruby, the question demands an ancrime committed on television a witness?

Eleven of the 12 jurors who sentenced Ruby to death for killing Lee Harvey Oswald either were watching television when Ruby pulled the trigger or later saw filmed repeats.

commission identified as Presi-ceived notions," Sam Houston dent John F. Kennedy's assas- Clinton Jr., a civil liberties atsin, was gunned down in the torney here, said. basement of the Dallas police station Nov. 24, 1963, two days Friday in the long-awaited hearafter the assassination.

Dist. Judge Joe B. Brown Ruby's conviction. ruled that persons who had seen Attorney Phil Burleson of Dalling.

could sit on the jury.

Melvin Belli, Ruby's chief attempt to get the Texas Supreme Court to order Brown to

Television cameras from the swer: Is a man who sees a major networks were trained on the handcuffed Oswald, who was being transferred to the County Jail, when Ruby stepped out of a crowd of police and newsmen and shot him.

"There was trial by ritual when 11 of the 12 jurors said they saw it on TV, then went through the ritual of asserting Oswald, whom the Warren they could set aside all precon-

Clinton led off the defense

fatal error in allowing the eleven persons who saw the crime to sit on the jury. He called them "juror witnesses."

Texas law, Burleson went on, prohibits a witness to a crime from serving on the jury. He contended that if 1 person out of 162 questioned had not seen the televised killing, 11 more could have been picked from Dallas? 70,000 eligible jurors.

He cited several cases to show, as the appeal brief said, that "the fact that the jurors were watching a mechanical device and thereby became witnesses does not render their testimony inadmissible.'

recent Supreme Court decision, he noted, reversed a Louisiana conviction because four jurors were watching when ing before the appeals court on a television news program Ruby's conviction.