Itnesses'

By LEE JONES

question probably never oc-televised killing, 11 more could curred in the wildest dreams of 70,000 eligible jurors. the first Texas Court of Crimi- He cited several cases to nal Appeals in 1876.

judges of the court, and for were watching a mechanical Jack Ruby, the question de-device and thereby became witmands an answer: Is a man nesses does not render their teswho sees a crime committed on timony inadmissible." television a witness?

sentenced Ruby to death for Louisiana conviction because killing Lee Harvey Oswald ei-four jurors were watching when ther were watching television a television news program when Ruby pulled the trigger or showed the defendant confesslater saw filmed repeats.

Oswald, whom the Warren commission identified as Presi-Williamson of Dallas had anothdent John F. Kennedy's assas- er view of the problem. sin, was gunned down in the after the assassination.

Dîst. Judge Joe B. Brown ruled that persons who had seen

could sit on the jury.

Melvin Belli, Ruby's chief lawyer at the trial, failed in an keep such persons off the jury.

Television cameras from the major networks were trained on the handcuffed Oswald, who was being transferred to the County Jail, when Ruby stepped out of a crowd of police and newsmen and shot him.

"There was trial by ritual when 11 of the 12 jurors said they saw it on TV, then went through the ritual of asserting they could set aside all preconceived notions," Sam Houston Clinton Jr., a civil liberties attorney here, said.

Clinton led off the defense Friday in the long-awaited hearing before the appeals court on Ruby's conviction.

Attorney Phil Burleson of Dallas said Brown committed a fatal error in allowing the eleven persons who saw the crime to sit on the jury. He called them "juror witnesses."

Texas law, Burleson went on, prohibits a witness to a crime from serving on the jury. He

contended that if 1 person out of AUSTIN, Tex. (AP) The 162 questioned had not seen the

show, as the appeal brief said, But for the television-age that "the fact that the jurors

A recent U.S. Supreme Court Eleven of the 12 jurors who decision, he noted, reversed a ing.

But Asst. Dist. Atty. James F.

He said that the action had basement of the Dallas police happened so quickly, with station Nov. 24, 1963, two days Ruby's back to the camera most of the time, that it was difficult to tell it was Ruby.

"Did he (a viewer) see somethe shooting over television thing, or did he see just confu-could sit on the jury. bly identify anyone?" he said.

"The identification of Ruby attempt to get the Texas Su- and Oswald was not based on preme Court to order Brown to personal knowledge but on the announcers' statements that they were Ruby and Oswald."

> ASHEVILLE CITIZEN-TIMES Asheville, N. C.

> > S-58,200

DATE JUN 2 6 1966