

POST, Houston, Tex.

JUN 16 1966

Jack Ruby's Appeal Scheduled June 24

By NEIL ADDINGTON

Chief of The Post Austin Bureau

AUSTIN—Arguments on the appeal of Jack Ruby, condemned slayer of presidential assassin Lee Harvey Oswald, will be heard by the Court of Criminal Appeals June 24.

The arguments, both oral and by brief, were set in an order handed down by the appeals court Wednesday. The order was signed by two of the court's three judges, W. T. McDonald and W. A. Morrison, Judge K. K. Woodley, the third member of the court, dissented.

RUBY WAS convicted in March, 1964, by a Dallas jury of murder with malice in connection with the slaying of Oswald, named by the Warren Commission as the assassin of President Kennedy.

Although the Ruby appeal reached the appellate court here Jan 14, 1965, the court held it in abeyance until all of the legal ramifications and wrangling, along with a sanity hearing, were completed.

Monday, a Dallas jury took just 10 minutes to find the one-time strip joint operator legally sane.

THE APPELLATE court's order Wednesday called for Ruby, whose name is listed

on the order as Rubenstein, to designate in writing the attorneys authorized to represent him and said those attorneys will have to designate which of them will use the time allotted for oral argument.

Counsel for the state was also told to let the court know who will argue the case.

The court also extended the time allowed for oral argument on appeals from 40 minutes to an hour and a half and said 30 minutes would be allotted for rebuttal by the appellant's attorneys.

IN ADDITION, any friends of the court who notify it before hand, will be allowed 30 minutes to present whatever argument they might desire.

The court's order said, additional briefs may be filed at any time before Aug 1 and the state will be allowed 20 days to reply to the last brief filed in behalf of Ruby.

Action on the appeal is not expected before next fall because the court will recess the day after the hearing for its summer vacation. It will reconvene Oct 3.

IN FILING HIS dissent to the order handed down Wednesday, Judge Woodley cited the portion setting the submission date which provides that

all counsel appearing of record will be permitted to present oral argument. He did not elaborate on why he dissented.