# Intelligence Hearings: Inquiries Seem Mired in

### By NICHOLAS M. HORROCK

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Oct. 9—After nine months of delving into the activities of United States intelligence agencies, Congressional investigators seem adrift in a sea of information, stunned

News by the magnitude of the task and less sure of their objective than when they started, interviews in both

the Senate and House disclosed.

The objectives last January appeared clear enough. Information uncovered in the Watergate investigation and a report in The New York Times suggested that the intelligence agencies had conducted a large, and probably illegal, domestic surveillance operation in the late nineteen-sixties and early nineteen-seventies.

These allegations provided the impetus for a Presidential commission and two Congressional investigations, one by a select committee in the Senate, another by a select committee in the House.

The Presidential commission, headed by Vice President Rockefeller, took a narrow scope. It investigated wrongdoing by the Central Intelligence Agency alone and issued a report in June, finding vast intrusions on privacy as well as specific violations of the law and of the C.I.A.'s jurisdiction under the National Security Act of 1947.

The Congressional investigations were given broader mandates covering foreign and comestic intelligence activities. To the sophisticated in Washagton intelligence circles, it remed an impossible task.

#### Foreign Operations

The foreign intelligence operations of the United States alone cost nearly \$7-billion a year, according to reliable reports, and encompass the activities of the C.I.A., Defense Intelligence Agency, National Security Agency, State Department intelligence, Federal Bureau of Investigation and intelligence units of the armed forces.

Domestic intelligence has included all or some of the above as well as the Internal Revenue Service, the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Alcohol, Tobacoo, and Firearms Bureau

and the Secret Service.

Already the files and records gathered in the Senate investigation are larger than any single investigation previously conducted by the Senate. The Senate has a staff of over 100, the House committee, another 40 or so.

The investigations have either directly, or by their pressure, produced a startling pattern of disclosures about the meth-

ods of the various agencies. These include the following:

The C.I.A. was involved in several attempts to kill Fidel Castro, Premier of Cuba; had a peripheral involvement in the death of Rafael Leonidas Tujillo Molina, strongman of the Dominican Republic and once plotted to poison the Congolese leader, Patrice Lumumba.

¶Indeed, assassinations apparently became so accepted a policy theme that the C.I.A. set up a permanent section to plan them, called "the Executive Action Group."

The C.I.A., the F.B.I. and the National Security Agency have conducted extensive intrusions on either telephone, cable, or mail communications or on all three.

Intelligence agencies have lied to Congress almost routinely. In one case, the statements of a former C.I.A. director, Richard Helms, on the coupld'etat in Chile were reviewed by the department of justice to see if they constituted perjury. No prosecution was recommended.

GLawlessness under the guise of national security seemed to have become almost commonplace during and after World War II. The F.B.I. admitted 238 burglaries aimed at American citizens; the I.R.S. audited persons whose politics it did not like, and forgery of letters, anonymous threats and other forms of coercion became standard tools in the F.B.I.'s counterintelligence operations.

But the disclosures—and the list is long—are scattered shots and without theme.

#### 'Not a Simple Conspiracy'

"This is very unlike the Watergate investigation," said one Senator, "because this is not a simple conspiracy. There

OCTOBER 10, 1975

## Data After 9 Months,

L

21

### With Goals Unclear

is no single band of lawbreakers or single group of people who made bad judgments. This has gone on for 30 years."

has gone on for 30 years."
The disclosures are not for the sake of disclosure alone, most Congressional sources agree. Senator Frank Church, chairman of the Senate select committee on intelligence, has said that he believed disclosure was necessary so the public could see for itself whether there had been a pattern of unacceptable behavior.

"It is for this public support

that Congress draws the power to pass legislation to meet these problems," he said. But his critics argue that

But his critics argue that Senator Church has made decisions that defeat his own strategy and retard the investigation. In one instance, last spring, President Ford threw the hot potato of C.I.A. political assassination plots to the Church committee.

Many persons on the committee privately believe that by focusing on the plots, the panel

has allowed the rest of the investigation to drown. They fault Mr. Church on two counts; one that he tied up the commission counsel, F.A.O. Schwarz, and the staff director, William Miller, on the subject far longer than its importance justified, and second that by keeping the discussion of the plots behind closed doors, he cut the public off from the very kind of information that might have helped it form a view of the intelligence commu-

'As you will remember." one member of the committee said privately, "we were going to have completed most of our public hearings and be preparing our recommendations by this point. We have not done either."

Senator Church has publicly stuck to his decisions. He said he believed that televised public hearings on assassinations would have done irreparable harm to the American image abroad and not served truth. Whether it has been distracted by the assassination matter, obtain the material without the has begun to establish a body or by other problems, the time delays of court fights. of evidence indicating that the until the end of the month. N. Nedzi, a Michigan Democrat. decade.

Several staff members prisions.

investigation is another Water-trols national secrets. gate is a myth," one senior But after two weeks of But privately, many of them staff member said in an intersword-rattling by both sides, tell a more sanguine tale. The view. "The question is—can Mr. Pike and the White House bulk of the disclosures were way? I think you can.

technique has been to avoid 1968—without settling the fun-fill in gaps in knowledge, reconfrontation and to negotiate damental question. Mr. Pike's sponsible intelligence officers for each piece of evidence from critics thought that Congres admit. the intelligence community. He sional prerogatives were In fact, there is some feeling believes that Congress has an thrown for a loss when a final that the intelligence community the intelligence community. He sional

Mr. Pike's committee voted. No one yet appears able to vately contend that the com- to make public secret national evaluate how this nine-month mittee might just as well write security information without scrutiny has affected the intelan authoritative report now the approval of the executive ligence agencies. Publicly, inteland forgo televised public ses- branch, thus precipitating a ligence officials have said that confrontation "The idea that every Senate Congress or the President con-the United States and made vestigation is another Water-trols national secrets.

you get good legislation any-settled the issue—access to already known to foreign intelsome secret intelligence evalua- ligence services and the new Part of Senator Church's tions on the Tet offensive in details can mainly be used to prerogatives

Church committee is far behind His House counterpart, Rep-\$7-billion-a-year intelligence schedule. It has had three brief resentative Otis G. Pike, a apparatus may not, in fact, spurts of public hearings in tart-tongued Suffolk County be very efficient. In recent pubthe last several weeks. Hear-Democrat, believes differently, lie hearings he has called auings on the N.S.A. were post-Mr. Pike took over the House thoritative witnesses who conponed this week at the request committee last summer after a tend that the intelligence agenof President Ford, and the com- membership mutiny dislodged cies failed to predict any of mittee may not open others the previous chairman, Lucien the major crises of the last

over whether the disclosures have harmed

absolute right to the informa-confrontation was avoided. —with the help of President tion, but that it is better to However, the Pike committee Ford and his able lawyers—has

"staved off the worst," as one to disobey with impunity source put it. In other words, Presidential order to destro the agencies are surviving what deadly poisons. many had thought would never come, a full Congressional in-lieved that the committee will vestigation.

will not be on further disclo-committee, probably a joint sure, sources in Congress and committee and that the real the executive suggest, but on battleground will emerge over the issue of "oversight." the subleties of its powers.

In general, the intelligence privately for some time.

fact that many of the instances several sources said.

serious questions about the vote a tough, permanent over-C.I.A.'s ability at self-regula-sight panel for the intelligence tion. It has uncovered instances community, that question canwhere even middle-level C.I.A. not be answered until the invesofficials were apparently able tigations are complete.

Most persons interviewed beestigation. propose and receive support. The upcoming confrontations for a Congressional oversight. the subleties of its powers.

Will it be able to subpoena agencies regard self-regulation, officials and documents of the inspector general style of in-entire intelligence community, trospection backed up by exec and call for contempt citations white House and intelligence ply? Will it have a well-paid. sources have talked about this and adequate permanent staff ivately for some time. to conduct investigations? They rest their case on the These are the "gut" issues.

fact that many of the instances of wrongdoing were dug up by C.I.A. itself in May, 1973, without Congressional overgressional investigations have established a record of sufficient wrongdoing to lay the Mr. Church's committee, cient wrongdoing to lay the however, has already raised groundwork for Congress to