

Press clippings received 8/4/66

E.M. Kennedy

8/1/66 stories "Teddy Backs Findings of the Warren Panel" and the like, in NYPost/Wall St Journal/Boston Herald/Jamaica NY Press/NY Daily News/NY Times (all mention Inquest and Goodwin proposal)

Goodwin

7/24/66 St Paul Pioneer, UPI ; Winston-Salem Journal & Sentinel, NYTIMES; Greensboro NC Daily News, NYTimes; Louisville Ky Courier-Journal, NYT; Dallas Times-Herald, UPI;
7/25/66 Dallas Morning News, UPI
7/27/66 Bergen Evening Record (Hackensack NJ), by Wm A Caldwell, urges vs new inquiry
7/30/66 Pagerson NJ Call, ditto Caldwell

Popkin

7/19/66 Louisville Ky Courier-Journal, UPI "WR Challenged by Educator"
7/21/66 Louisville KY Times, UPI
7/21/66 Zanesville O Times Recorder, UPI

Marina Oswald

7/27/66
7/28/66 16 stories on quest for privacy in new home at Greenville, in various papers including San Antonio News, Houston Chronicle, Houston Post---mainly AP, some UPI

Epstein

6/28/66 Corpus Christi Caller, UPI, Boswell denies autopsy changed
7/16/66 Cincinnati O Post & Times Star, review by Donnelly
7/26/66 Portsmouth O Times, editorial, "Not Quite the Last Word," backs new inquiry ("...sometime, somehow, the federal govt will have to settle the doubts that have developed..." about the WR)
7/26/66 Canton O Repository, ditto editorial
7/26/66 Charlotte Observer, lead editorial, "Commission Should Answer Questions in Epstein Book" suggests instead of Goodwin proposal that the WC should prepare a point-by-point rebuttal to the questions raised; if this does not quell the doubts, then additional steps should be considered
7/26/66 Greensboro Daily News, lead editorial, "The Dallas Case Reopened" re Inquest and Goodwin, "Those doubts do ~~not~~ it seems to us demand further investigation. If they can be easily and routinely exploded at a press conference, so much the better. In any case they should not be ignored."
7/27/66 Salem O News, editorial, same as 7/26/66 Portsmouth O Times
7/31/66 Boston Sunday Herald, full page, section 4 page 1 by Jim Morse, photos; mentions also books by Lane, Weisberg; articles by Popkin and Goodwin; Max Lerner, Ted K's statements; Breslin; Boggs no comment; Ford, did good job; includes interview with EE in his apt Cambridge.

Miscellaneous

7/28/66 Houston Chronicle, Bid to Change Discharge of Oswald Denied, by J Mort
7/25/66 Houston Post, H. Mewhinney, "Where Oswald Got Ammo" says Western Cartridge Co. did make 6.5 ammo; "Presumably then Oswald got the ammunition from Klein's, though there is no record" (SM will write Mewhinney)
7/25/66 Letter to editor of Portland Oregon Journal, calls for new investigation

Clippings received 8/5/66

Popkin

7/18/66 Dover NJ Advance, UPI
7/28/66 Athens O Messenger, by R L Powers, News Editor

Goodwin

7/24/66 Sunday Oklahoman (Ok City), NYTimes NS
7/25/66 Tulsa Tribune, NYT's
7/26/66 Creston Ia. Advertiser, editorial (p 6), negative: "sure late JFK would have thought that (a new investigation) a bit unusual"
8/1/66 Detroit Free Press, NYT's

Epstein

7/24/66 Newark Star Ledger, Warren Panel Standing Fast
7/24/66 Newark Evening News, review of Inquest by Bernard J Swartz, 1/2 favorable
7/28/66 Wierton W.Ka. Times, editorial, "Not Quite the Last Word" pro new investigation
7/30/66 Cleveland Press, editorial, "Checking on the WR" supports Goodwin proposal
8/1/66 New Orleans States, editorial "WR Check?" supports Goodwin proposal

Marina

7/27-28 5 stories on move to Greenville, Atlanta Journal, Atlanta Constitution, Portsmouth Times, Lansing State Journal, Ft Dodge Messenger-Chronicle

Miscellaneous

7/18-30 5 stories on Speck, right to fair trial
1 mention Dwight Macdonald on WR in book column Houston Post 7/31/66
1 Warren dedicates JFK memorial, 7/5/66

Ted Kennedy

8/1/66 UPI release, 30 clippings from following states:
Colorado
Georgia
Illinois
Minnesota
Massachusetts
Michigan
New Hampshire
New Jersey
Ohio
Pennsylvania
Tennessee
Texas
West Virginia

EG - WBAI - 7-745 pm
8/4/66

Press Clippings received 8/10/66 (Note: No clipping on Look's offer \$650,000 to Manchester)

Mark Lane

- 8/4/66 Chicago News, Film about assassination, by Sam Lesner
- 8/6/66 Dallas News, "The Doubters Get a Third Helping" by Larry Howell, editorial staff writer, hostile negative review of R to J
- 8/7/66 Chicago Tribune, extremely negative review of R to J by Jon Waltz, co-author of The Ruby Trial
- 8/15/66 Newsweek, 3-page illustrated feature, generally favorable to R to J, by Kenneth Authincloss

Goodwin

- 7/24/66 Allentown Pa Sunday Call Chronicle, NYTimes release
- 7/24/66 Grand Rapids Press, UPI release
- 7/24/66 Sacramento Bee, NYTimes release
- 8/1/66 Steubenville O. Herald-Star, editorial, "Not Quite the Last Word" supports Goodwin proposal for re-investigation

Marina Oswald Porter

26 stories, various newspapers, end of July, on move to Greenville; one story notes the Porters now will be neighbors of the Gasways, policemen, who are brothers of Marie Tippit

Edward M Kennedy

8/1/66, 25 stories, same as previous batch

Revill

- 7/13/66 Corpus Christi Caller, AP story on Revill's transfer
- 7/14/66 Austin Statesman, AP, ditto

Popkin

- 7/20/66 Houston Post, column (4th on WR received to date) extremely laudatory about Popkin's article, more to follow, deals with stretcher bullet and Oswald impersonators

Penn Jones Jr.

- 7/15/66 Jayton Tex Chronicle, 2 colums, "Editor Critical of the WC"
- 7/19/66 Kilgore Tex News Herald, 5 short colums, datelined Midlathian Tex byline Tom Johnson (AP), "WC Report Attacked by Texas Editor"
- 7/28/66 Clarksville Tex Times, short item by Jimmy Hurt re Int'l Conference Weekly Newspaper Editors, notes Jones' criticism of WR

Miscellaneous 7/24/66 Fresno Bee, editorial in support of WR/////6 stories or editorials on fair trial and pre-trial publicity, sparked by Speck and Whitman mass murders/////7/18/66 Warren dedicates JFK memroial Israel/////2 letters to editors mocking WR as whitewash, one by ultra-rightist/////Newsweek 8/15/66 item re LBJ's "safety" details threatening phonecalls 140 in single night and attempted intrusions White House grounds/////7/28/66 letter to editor proposing Cooper for President 1968, mentions his service on WC/////7/15/66 Library Journal item, describes Meagher's subject index and recommends acquisition by libraries.

8/10/66 Obtained New Statesman 7/29/66
Kupkind reviewed; also London Observer 7/27/66 full page by Anthony Howard

Press Clippings received 8/17/66

Edward H. Kennedy

8/1/66 and ----- 24 UPI stories from Colorado, Indiana, Illinois, New Jersey,
8/3/66 Oregon, Washington, and Texas newspapers--stories mention
INQUEST and Goodwin views

Revill

7/13 and ----- 9 stories in Texas newspapers, AP dateline, mentioning Revill/
7/14 Hosty conflict as reflected in WC testimony

Penn Jones

7/17/66 Levelland Tex Sun News, AP story by Tom Johnson, "at least 5 new books
...all are critical of WC report." Quotes Penn Jones as saying "more
killings are going to be necessary to keep this crime quiet," discusses
peripheral deaths
7/17/66 Lubbock Texas Avalanche-Journal, ditto
7/18/66 Bryan Texas Eagle, ditto
7/20/66 Orange Texas Leader, ditto

Weisberg

7/21/66 Laurel Md. Leader, story by Bill Clayton, Weisberg's 45-minute speech
to Rotary Club, summarizes W's criticisms of WR and frustrated attempts
have his book published
7/28/66 Montgomery Col Md. Sentinel, author says Whitewash selling well, a second
printing of 5000 has been ordered
7/30/66 Frederick Md. News, WR expose enters third (sic) printing, Spanish rights
sold to Arriba of Madrid

Miscellaneous

7/28/66 Port Huron Mich Times Herald, AP, Oswald's Widow Leaves "Glass House"
--mentions that new neighbors at Greenville Texas include two policemen
who are brothers of Mrs Tippit, and fireman-brother of K Porter
7/29/66 Hammond Ind. Times, column by McCormick "Don't Worry, Go Crazy," complains
of phonecalls and letters received after writing columns on the WC
7/30/66 Michigan Pontiac Press, story on Richard Speck, re maximum security for
Speck to avoid what happened to Oswald
7/30/66 Kalamazoo Gazette, ditto (Speck)
7/30/66 Springfield Ill. State Register, ditto
8/5/66 Durham NC Herald, editorial, "No Case for a New Commission," concedes
Epstein reveals grounds for criticizing WC but finds no "hard new evidence"
to justify reopening of the investigation
8/4/66 Eugene Oregon Register-Guard, editorial, "Being Careful," derides references
to Whitman, Oswald, and J Wilkes Booth as "alleged assassins"
8/10/66 Dallas Times-Herald, "Warren Group Report Painstaking Says Waggoner Carr"
8/14/66 Chicago Tribune, "Oliver Leaves Birch Society Over Dispute," by Frank Hughes
8/16/66 NY Times, "A Birch Society Founder Quits; Pressure by Welch is Reported,"
story by Thomas Buckley, attributes Oliver's resignation to "his asserted
anti-Semitism" and to growing efforts reduce authority of Welch.
8/14/66 NY Daily News, story on mail-order guns, by Christina Kirk (Whitman, Oswald)
8/13/66 Trentonian, column on books, mentions Th. Buchanan book available for \$1.00
8/13/66 NY Post, "This Week in Books" by M MacGregor mentions Inquest in paper due Oct.

(continued next page)

Mark Lane and/or Epstein/Sauvage/Weisberg/Lane reviews

- 8/13/66 Chicago Daily News, "Disturbing Attack on the WC," review of R to J by James R. Thompson (professor of law, Northwestern)...mixed bag of compliments and criticisms...says that WC did not do a complete job, WR has holes; but Lane also guilty "of attempting to rush us...in a manner which may strike one on first impression as sound and articulate, later as clever, and, on close analysis, and in some instances, as downright dishonest....Lane's book...reminds one of a sly summation of the defense side of a criminal case..." Says Lane's strong points include the Brennan/Rowland matters, though feels Lane has not been completely honest in his presentation of the facts about their testimony...Concludes (1) that the American people are entitled to a true critique of the WR which they have not yet had; R to J while doubt-provoking is inadequate and in many instances unfair; (2) that we are also entitled to an answer to R to J on the part of the WC, its individual members, or its defenders. "This answer, at least, should be immediately forthcoming to reaffirm the integrity of the commission and its conclusions. The doubts raised by R to J may be spurious, they may be dishonest, but while the book is here and the answer is not, they will stand."
- 8/13/66 NY Post p 25 full-page story by Normand Poirier "Some New Books Raise Further Questions About the WC's Verdict" discusses Inquest Whitewash and R to J; reviews evidence on stretcher bullet, single-missile-theory, conflict between FBI and autopsy re wound in back; says in rebuttal that according to the autopsy surgeons (specifically Boswell to Fletcher Knebel) the FBI "never saw the autopsy report."
- 8/13/66 NY Post, column by Al Horne, "Magazine Rack," mentions Newsweek story on R to J
- 8/14/66 Baltimore Morning Sun, BOOKS page, "Four Attacks on Kennedy Death Probe" by Robert M. Slusser, Associate Professor of History, Johns Hopkins, reviews Whitewash/Inquest/R to J./The Oswald Affair (Sauvage)...states that it is becoming increasingly clear that we are at the beginning rather than at the end of the investigation into the assassination...finds Weisberg book handicapped by too-extreme, too-emotional views...finds Epstein book "has the distinction of being the first critique of the WR which has made a real impact on American thinking"...says Epstein wisely limited himself to a few key questions "and hammered them home in a way which made it difficult to ignore them"...but criticizes Epstein because "in a regrettable lapse from standard academic procedure (he) neglects to give credit to any of the earlier studies...by several writers..." (among them Weisberg, per Slusser, which is not accurate)...Considers Lane's book "an impressive legal defense of Oswald...covering the same ground as Weisberg and (in part) Epstein, but enriched by far greater detail"...Finds Sauvage's book "far less analytical" than Lane or Weisberg, "a lively newspaperman's account...particularly good in describing the development of the case..." Criticizes ML/HW/LS for treatment of the General Walker shooting and of Marina's credibility. Concludes, on balance, the critics were more successful in their efforts to discredit the WC than in providing an alternative solution..."in particular they have so far failed completely in their efforts to separate Oswald from the assassination..." Asks, where do we go from here? "The work of the WC...seriously flawed" but doubts value of suggestions that WC should reply to its critics or that a new investigation should be undertaken--"The search for the truth from here on can best be left to private initiative --to amateur detectives, lawyers, newspapermen, or scholars..."

Press Clippings received 8/17/66

- 8/14/66 Newark NJ Star Ledger, "Death of JFK: Stormy Story" by Reiman Morin, AP, discusses major points raised in R to J (direction of shots///LHO's marksmanship///accuracy of the Carcano rifle///rifle tests///palmprint)
- 8/14/66 Baltimore Morning Sun, AP story, "Oswald Deed Still Puzzle: Details About Kennedy's Death Mystify Many" deals with Lane's book including Trevor-Roper's views
- 8/14/66 Detroit News, UPI story, "3rd Book Hits Quiz on JFK Death" discusses Lane's position in general
- 8/14/66 Trenton Times, UPI, "New Book Disputes Warren Inquiry" generally same as Detroit News story
- 8/15/66 Long Island NY Press, column by Bob Considine, "Was Oswald Convictable?" mentions Alfreda Scobey article; seems more impressed by Trevor-Roper's introduction than by Lane's book; predicts that "there will be even more doubts cast before JFK is finally allowed to rest in peace."

Press clippings received 8/19/66

13 UPI stories on Ted Kennedy, satisfied with WR, 8/1-8/5/66, Louisiana/Michigan/
and Nebraska newspapers

Anthony Howard (London Observer story, reprinted)

8/13/66 San Francisco Chronicle

8/14/66 New Haven Register

Popkin 8/11/66 Columbus Ga. Enquirer, UPI release "Calif. Educator Says Conspiracy Proven"

Sauvage 8/14/66 Variety NY, item, says his book described by NYTimes as "more
dispassionate but equally critical" in comparison with Lane's book

Goodwin/Epstein

7/26/66 Creston Ia. News-Advertiser, Editorial, says additional research can be
continued...but as to an investigation..."we are sure the late president
would have thought that a bit unusual. That just seems quite a bit of
miscellaneous thrashing around.

Epstein/Lane

8/12/66 Nashville Tenn Banner, review of Inquest and RtoJ by Dick Strub: "Doubters
Assess WR"--"these are probably the two best books...those who contest the
WR have their points, too, and we should try to give them as much of a
hearing as we did the Report...The defense should have its say."

8/17/66 Newark NJ Evening News, review of Inquest by Merriman Smith--negative,
defensive, and foolish; apparently Smith piqued by Rovere introduction
and phrase that the book should make "journalists envious and ashamed."
Attacks EE for saying JFK died at 1 pm; anyone else arriving in that
condition would have been classified DOA.

Lane

8/14/66 Jackson Miss. Clarion Ledger/Daily News, AP story by Relman Morin
(Freudian sub-headline: "Omission's Report") gives main arguments RtoJ

8/14/66 Savannah Ga. Morning News, UPI pre-release story

8/14/66 Chattanooga, Tenn. Times, AP story unsigned, by R Morin

8/14/66 Houston Post, AP story signed by R Morin

8/14/66 Memphis Commercial Appeal, UPI

8/14/66 Albany Times Union, generally favorable review of RtoJ by John Barkham,
mentioning also Epstein Popkin Sauvage, especially likes Trever-Roper intro.

8/14/66 Newark Evening News, AP, unsigned story by R Morin

8/15/66 Jersey City Journal, review of RtoJ signed "E.C.F." generally favorable

8/16/66 Detroit News, Bob Considine column on RtoJ (previously received)

8/16/66 Jersey City Journal, item by Victor Laszky "Blast Book on Kennedy Assassination"
quotes Jon Waltz on RtoJ: "Lane's fevered arguments have no semblance of
logic or even organization. He presents a phantasmagoric hodgepodge of
unrelated and often wholly irrelevant second-guessing."

8/17/66 Paterson NJ Morning Call, Bob Considine column

Miscellaneous

8/1/66 Mt Clemens Macomb (Mich) Daily, Walter Winchell item on Manchester book

8/16/66 NYPost story on resignation of Revilo Oliver from JBS

Press clippings received 8/24/66

Mark Lane

- 8/12/66 San Francisco Chronicle, book column by Wm Hogan, favorable forecast (full review to follow) praises Epstein/Popkin too, says critics shld be taken seriously
- 8/14/66 Little Rock Ark. Democrat, Belman Morin (AP) story on Lane, includes also denials by Ford and Archivist Rhoads--who says 95% of "the testimony" has been released; from time to time more declassified; still-classified material consists mainly of working papers individual WC members; WhHseleaning over backward for maximum release soonest
- 8/14/66 Huntsville Ala. Times, ditto (Morin)
- 8/14/66 Columbus Evening Dispatch, UPI story on release of R to J
- 8/14/66 Michigan Lansing State Journal, ditto Morin story
- 8/14/66 Detroit Free Press, review of R to J by Mort Persky, Sunday editor: generally favorable; says ML has established fallibility of WC tho at times presses, too hard
- 8/14/66 Nashville Tennessean, Morin story
- 8/14/66 San Antonio Express, "WC Critique Is Calm" by Gerald Ashford, fairly agreeable, hardly enthusiastic review
- 8/14/66 Dallas Times Herald, review by book editor A.C. Greene, very critical of the WR, rather complimentary to MLane ("monumental carelessness in data... suppression of evidence...deliberate distortion...we cannot but cringe at the dogmatic way the nation was made to accept (the lone-assassin-thesis) ...it was a steamroller job...today, reading the WR again, less hurriedly ...we are appalled at the inaccuracy...the conflicting statements...errors..." Later, after reviewing specific errors, conflicts, etc., says "Lane made himself unpopular early in the case, but we must not let antipathy for Lane blind us to the validity of his book...other theories were never given a chance to be heard...the assassination is far from a closed chapter..."
- 8/14/66 Kannapolis NC Carolina Daily Independent, UPI story
- 8/14/66 Lincoln Nebr. Sunday Journal & Star, review by Nancy Schwieder, quite favorable
- 8/14/66 Omaha Nebr. Sunday World-Herald, Relin Morin repeat
- 8/14/66 Louisville Ky Courier-Journal, "Book Asks: Who's in the Picture?" short item with doorway photo vs photos of Lovelady (one I never saw before) and LHO.
- 8/14/66 Detroit News, UPI release ("3rd Book Hits Quiz on JFK Death")
- 8/14/66 Portland Oregonian, colum by assoc.edit. Malcolm Bauer, says book imposing, but Lane too is an advocate...an interesting brief but not convincing...the Trevor-Roper introduction "most deserving of respect..."
- 8/15/66 Niles O. Daily Times, UPI release
- 8/18/66 Albany Knickerbocker News, review by Holmes Alexander, says WR did not even survive 2 years, theory of lone assassin now crashing on the rocks of stubborn research, has compliments also for Inquest and Fonzi in Gr. Phil. Says R to J "horrifying in its realism about the...wounds...merciless in its exposure of the WC's predisposition reach convenient conclusion..."
- 8/18/66 Springfield Mass. Union, ditto Holmes Alexander review
- 8/18/66 The Villager NYC, "Four Look at the WC" by R.B. reviews RtoJ, Inquest, Penn Jones and Sylvan Fox books...praises all 4 warmly, says Fox book will be reissued soon with added material and a foreword by the author
- 8/17/66 Albany Knickerbocker News, column by Rutledge Carter includes commentary on R to J and photos of LHO/with/rifle; auto with hole torn out deleting license plates; man resembling Ruby in crowd at Depository
- 8/17/66 Tulsa World, repeat of Morin story
- 8/16/66 Houston Chronicle, short AP item on Lane's film clips shown in NYC
- 8/16/66 Philadelphia Inquirer, column by Considine, "Troubling Thoughts Raised by Critique of WR" (previously received)

Press Clippings received 8/24/66 (continued)

- 8/16/66 Oregon Statesman (Salem), column by Chas A Sprague, impressed by A Howard London Observer story on Inquest, announces release of RtoJ film and book feels WC conclusions not yet discredited
- 8/22/66 Wall Street Journal, full page double column by Arlen J. Large, reviews Inquest and R to J..."this summer the cult of assassination experts is growing again...however, anti-WC writers bump against the hard data on which the WC relied" (REALLY!!!)...generally tends to ridicule Rto J ...says Inquest "offers the commercially marketable conclusion that WC's work was superficial and maybe even dishonest"...tends to dismiss Inquest thesis of altered autopsy results...concludes with recommendation to read the WR "still the best account of the assassination..."

Other Clippings Received

- 3 stories on Penn Jones (7/18, 7/24/8/17) two by Tom Johnson AP
- 7/23/66 Beaumont Tex Journal short item "Oswald's Mother Continues Probe in New Orls"
- 7/24/66 Corpus Christi Tex Caller Times, review of Inquest by Van Allen Bradley
- 7/25/66 El Paso Times, NYTimes item on Goodwin appeal new look at WR
- 8/7/66 Grand Rapids Mich Press, editorial, "A Weak Case So Far" opposes new look
- 8/14/66 Durham NC Morning Herald, repeat of Anthony Howard story
- 8/14/66 Akron O Beacon Journal, ditto " "
- 8/14/66 Louisville Ky Courier-Journal, ditto " "
- 8/17/66 Knoxville Tenn. News Sentinel, repeat of Merriman Smith column on Inquest
- 8/17/66 Wilmington Del. Journal, review of R to J (also mentions Sauvage's book) by Christopher Lehmann-Haupt, says RtoJ eloquent summary of the defense but cannot be considered airtight critique...discusses recency/reliability of WC ammunition...not really unfavorable review
- 8/18/66 San Francisco Chronicle, letter to editor, makes brilliant point that no amount of investigation can bring back JFK (anyone for Tennis, fellow-demonologists?)
- 8/19/66 NY Post, column by Murray Kempton, "Russell vs Redlich," points to irony of bigot looking for facts, "liberal" ready give-all for the State ..."in the national interest..." makes the finding that working for the Govt. corrupts good men, while lesser men in private life can stay ethical
- 8/19/66 St Louis Post-Dispatch, editorial, lukewarmly supports Goodwin proposal --suggests 5-year commission of experts to work independently, well-financed composed of historians, lawyers (WHAT???), academicians...but says still no firm evidence to refute lone-assassin-thesis
- 8/20/66 NY Post, "The Week in Books" column, mentions Avon to issue Popkin article with introduction by M Kempton and first time in print the Sibert/O'Neill rept.
- 8/21/66 Bryan O Times, editorial, sarcastically predicts "A Wonderful Book Coming" will say that the grand jury was hiding real truth about Charles Whitman being deranged lone assassin, will call his killing-spree part of Communist plot, per WR coverup, fluoridation, and flying saucers
- 8/21/66 Worker (NYC) letter to editor says Oswald's work history shows he was not a Communist because FBI did not have him fired (From which payroll?--Sm)

NOTE: This is the last shipment of clippings but one, as service expires with next batch.

PRESS CLIPPINGS RECEIVED 8/26/66

8/5/66 Delaware Co. Daily Times Chester Pa. column by McCormick, states that the case against the WC is growing; difficult to imagine that persons in high places will not soon begin to demand an explanation from the U.S. Government; Fonzi article in Greater Philadelphia shows Specter unable convincingly to defend WR; if there is one man who could explain away the challenges to the WC's work it is Specter and he cannot; the interview with Specter shows clearly the WC guilty of the major charge being made against it; this thing is getting too much publicity to long remain quiet issue; "The W.C., whether through a massive blunder or intentionally, has not explained the death of an American President."

8/9/and 8/10/66 North Penn Reporter (Lansdale Pa) two columns by Edgar Williams on his recent trip to Scandinavia and England, reporting universal scepticism and rejection of the WR in Europe.

8/14/66 San Francisco Examiner-Chronicle, "New Look at Dallas Shots" by Donald Stanley, says all the anti-WR writers (Lane Weisberg Epstein Buchanan Fox Sauvage Popkin Trevor-Roper) are having some effect; have at least shown the ineptitude of the WC's investigation; the questions Lane raises should have been raised at the time of the hearings, he should have been granted what he asked for: the right, as in adversary proceedings, to cross-examine witnesses and to submit evidence to tests of credibility and logic demanded by a court dedicated to the assumption that a man is innocent until proven guilty.

8/17/66 Montgomery Ala. Advertiser, editorial, "Doubters" states that the debate on the path of the bullet or bullets might quickly be resolved if photographs and xrays made during the autopsy were revealed; the whereabouts of the photos are unknown.

8/17/66 St. Paul Dispatch, "WC Left Room for Doubt" by Wm Sumner, Editor; says, Lane seemed either obsessed or as some of us believed cynically in search of the \$. He wasn't too believable, and when the WR finally was published there was some hope he would go back under the rock from which he had come. I cite this background to demonstrate the personal perspective for this: Lane, in a book called R to J, has created serious doubts about the WR and the investigation. As difficult as it might have been, he should have been listed to previously.

8/19/66 San Francisco Chronicle, story on harassment of two movie-makers (Stark and Mielche) who went to Dallas to help film Lane's "R to J" documentary

8/20/66 Dayton O. Journal Herald, Review of R to J, Inquest, and Sylvan Fox, by John M. Cassidy, says of the 3 volumes Lane's seems most factual, carefully documented and thorough; Epstein's book was labeled non-factual in a magazine article but Lane tends to show the magazine author not Epstein was in error; review ends with strong implication "There was a conspiracy 11/22/63 in Dallas."

8/20/66 Cincinnati Enquirer, review of R to J and The Oswald Affair, by book editor Arthur Darack; says, both Sauvage and Lane believe LHO to be innocent and have amassed an enormous amount of data to support their views. Moreover question of who killed Tippit remains in doubt, and role of Ruby more deeply mired in suspicion. Both men have dug deeply into the crimes and the WR...These are not inexperienced crackpot books. On the contrary...these are both powerful, indeed, staggering performances.

8/21/66 San Francisco Chronicle, summary of Anthony Howard story; 8/21/66 Houston Post, review of R to J by Grover Lewis, says will jar peace of mind most people,

refers to "skewered cadaver of the Commission's report"...R to J a compelling powerful impassioned plea in behalf of the unvarnished truth, however appalling..."
8/23/66 Boston Christian Science Monitor, review of R to J by Donovan Richardson, finds book very disquieting, says major questions raised by critics can and should be resolved. So far exposure of the conflicting autopsy reports has drawn from FBI only a tardy and unsatisfactory explanation. Fuller franker answers should be made by someone representing the WC.

Western District of Missouri, generally negative, on basis integrity of WC and Warren, concurrence of Kennedy family; but does suggest mildly that perhaps the books deserve an official answer. NOTE: This is the last list of press clips, service has expired.

- 8/4/66 McAllen Tex. Valley Monitor, Rotary hears talk by Ruby lawyer Tonahill suggesting Marina trained spy, has more information to give but may cost \$250,000 to get it--"she likes money." Says there was communist conspiracy to kill JFK, and WC should have said so.
- 8/14/66 Indianapolis News, review of Inquest by Herbert Kenney Jr., favorable "casts doubt on WR" Indianapolis Star, review of Inquest and R to J by Ben Cole, favorable, says both books raise doubts which cloud the WR, suggests Rto J convinces reader that new investigation needed.
- 8/11/66 Michigan Midland Daily News, editorial, supports Jacob Cohen on autopsy photos --says examination of photos should be ordered (reprinted from Chattanooga Times).
- 8/17/66 Louisville Ky. Times, Jack Ayer review of Inquest and RtoJ, generally favorable but with undercurrent of scepticism; says two books supporting WR are in preparation
- 8/20/66 Palo Alto Times, unsigned review of R to J, quite favorable
- 8/20/66 Charleston SC News&Courier, editorial, "A Strange Business," deplors innuendo re LBJ in new crop of books challenging WR, ascribes them to left-wingers and radicals opposed to Vietnam policy, "...add a new dimension to that hatred of Pres. LBJ..."
- 8/21/66 San Antonio Express, review of Sauvage book by "C.K." says he raises many doubts, some of his criticisms valid, but dismisses racist-conspiracy theory
- 8/21/66 Columbus O. Sunday Dispatch, review of Inquest and RtoJ by G. Robert Holsinger, generally favorable, recommends both to readers
- 8/21/66 Atlanta Journal, review of RtoJ by John Raymond, says began with large bias vs Lane but is ready to admit he has produced an "impressive, thorough and serious argument." Still believes WC's basic conclusions correct but does not feel quite so comfortably certain as he did before reading Rto J
- 8/22/66 Dallas Times Herald, AP dispatch "Oxford Group Commissions Oswald Play," says Frank Hauser of Oxford Playhouse Co. has commissioned a documentary play from Mark Lane
- 8/22/66 Greensboro NC Daily News, Edwin M. Yoder, Jr. reviews Inquest, RtoJ, and Oswald Affair, generally favorable
- 8/24/66 Chicago Defender, Harry Golden column, ridicules books challenging WR in particular Lane Epstein Weisberg & Jean Stafford books
- 8/27/66 NYTimes story by Homer Bigart, "Pressure Denied on Kennedy Book," discusses Manchester's book, cuts made for reasons of taste and national interest
- 8/29/66 National Observer, WashDC, review by Jerrold K. Footlick of Lane, Epstein, Fox and Sauvage books, prefers Epstein and Sauvage books, but concludes WR still stands.

<u>Pressclips</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>Aug</u>
Items rec'd	8	105	229	242
Eyster - new stories	II	17	13	15
wire service	-	43	18	107
reviews	-	8	43	12
editorials	-	8	14	8
columnist	II	12	15	4
Other other	3	21	126	96
have reviews				28
edits				3
Wire				19
col				9

For new invest'g
or turned for WR
(includes reports
letter to ed)
Support Graham
Fisher

 ### ### ###
 ### ### ### ###
 ||||

(59)