(Circ. D. 40,595) Palo Alto, Calif. Times

AUG 20 1968

Attorney Shoots Holes in Warren Commission Report

(Holt, Rinehart, Winston, WENT" by Mark Lane 478 pages, \$5.95). "RUSH TO JUDG-

assassinated by Jack Ruby, alleged assassin, was in turn Dallas strip joint proprietor. 1964. Lee Harvey Oswald, his las, Texas. On November 23, open limousine through Dalsassinated as he rode in an President Kennedy was as-On November 22, 1964

deed did commit the crime. dissenting theory from the had not acted alone, if he inbeginning was that Oswald over the assassination. The od, the controversy has raged Since that historical peri-

survive in both America and wald never came to trial and lation was free to breed and as a result rumor and specu-Thanks to Jack Ruby, Os-

come out with a dissenting ally, he speculated that Offiassassination plot. Additionsomehow implicated in the spiracy, and that Ruby was was a member of a conact alone; that he indeed serted that Oswald did not "Who Killed Kennedy?" **B**ritish journalist who in book was James Buchanan, a One of the first authors to J. Tippet, who alleg as

> edly died of gunshot wounds part of the conspiracy. inflicted by Oswald, also was

Justice Earl Warren. ed by Supreme Court Chief Warren Commission," head a special committee, President Johnson appointed To quell these rumors

defend himself, and in the same breath relieve both assassination conspiracy itof any responsibility in the lish a prima facte case Jack Ruby and J. D. Tippet he was dead and unable to against Oswald, even though It was their job to estab-

refuting all that Buchanan mission" findings, was soon report of the "Warren Com-"Who Killed Kennedy?" had theorized in his book, published by MacMillan and its contents went far toward "The Witness," a partial

have since stuck to the story that Oswald could not alone Many other journalists killed President Ken

still do not accept the War-Europeans in particular

feel that it never will be told has yet to be told. And some to believe that the real story the whole truth, nothing but the truth. Rather, they tend ren Commission's findings as Mark Lane, an attorney



Atty. Mark Lane

right track. sination, seems to be on the thing, Still, Lane, who has literally made it his life's story; but at the same time critique, really—that goes far Senator John F. Kennedy, at work to investigate the assastoward revealing the true falls short of proving anylast has written a book—a with the help of then U.S. who ironically was admitted the New York State Bar

piece by piece, dissects the amazing document which, "Rush to Judgment" is an

> Warren Commission's report which surrounded the assas the strange circumstances viously knows his way and the way in which it arlarly effective way of taking around, and has a particuistic detective work peris an exciting piece of legalof a dead man. In short, it rived at its total conviction the reader on a trip through formed by a man who ob

Lane. and ill-conceived since the witnesses to the event, as has FBI and Secret Service re has, had access to detailed based only on wishful think. subject were both ill-timed other books written on the viewed and reinterviewed eye ports, nor had they inter ing. They had not, as Lane authors' convictions were He makes it clear that all

struck President Kennedy creed Oswald alone delivered pass adjacent to the Texas the fatal shots. the Warren Commission de Book Depository from which knoll near the railroad over was fired from the grassy one of the bullets which Lane insists that at least

known witnesses present, a total of 100 believed the by citing the fact that of 266 He documents this theory

> shots came from the railroad overpass knoll.

half of those who did not actually riding in the motoragree with the majority were Most important, he says,

Italian Mannlicher-Carcano, killed Kennedy. weapon became the one that Oswald and, overnight, this was, indeed, weapon they had first seen ficers, who testified that the produced, including police ofcaliber 6.5. Witnesses were this was changed to an weapon was a Mauser, Later, first presented as the murder Italian rifle was linked Lane argues that the riffe a Mauser. The Ş

of time—between 4.8 and 5.6 seconds. times in the required period Carcano three successive accounts, could not fire the in newspaper and magazine trary to what you may read that the best rifle shots, conand the record backs him up, Additionally, Lane claims,

so inexpertly attached that it poor shape." Also, the rifle's adjudged by experts as "in slightly rebuilt since it was could not be adjusted. A related to the line of fire and telescopic sight was so unbe test fired, it had to be Before the Carcano could

-Continued on Page 44

(Continued from Page 43)

technician stated: "They could not sight the weapon in using the telescope." He also stated that the rifle aiming device was rebuilt by a machinist who added "two shims, one which tended to adjust the azimuth, and one which adjusted an elevation."

The results of the ultimate test firing are even more interesting One expert was able to get off three shots in the required period of timefiring at a stationary, rather than a moving target. The other master riflemen required 6.45, 6.75, 7.0 and 8.25 seconds respectively. It is interesting to note that of the 18 test shots fired, regardless of the leisurely pace at which they were discharged, not one struck the head or neck of the make-believe target.

Despite all of this, the Commission concluded, as Lane points out:

"The various tests showed that the Mannlicher-Carcano was an accurate rifle and that the use of a four-power scope was a substantial aid to rapid firing . . . Oswald had the capability to fire three shots, with two hits, within 4.8 and 5.6 seconds."

There are many other instances of either deliberate or accidental errors in the Warren Commission Report contained in Lane's book. They are all thoroughly documented.

Why haven't the X-rays

taken of President Kennedy been shown to the public, asks Lane. Could it be that they perhaps would reveal something that would be unfavorable to the Commission's report? Could it be, asks Lane, that they would show that at least one bullet entered just below the adam's apple—therefore must have been fired from the front—near the railroad overpass?

If this be so, then wouldn't it indicate that Oswald might not have fired all the shots? Or perhaps not have fired any of the shots at all?

Read Mark Lane's "Rush to Judgment" and see for yourself that there are many, many holes in the government's case against a dead man; a man who was testified against by his wife (this would not have been allowed in court); a man who was convicted in absentia by witnesses who, unlike those in any court in the land, were not subjected to cross examination.

It's all very fascinating, and very exciting. After reading Lane's account you may very well begin to wonder about many things that were, in Lane's opinion, judged too quickly and, as a result, inaccurately.

Incidentally, Mark Lane will appear on the Joe Dolan radio show on KEWB at 10 p.m. on August 24. This will give you a chance to call in and argue or agree — take your choice.