

# Manchester Blames

By CY EGAN

World Journal Tribune Staff

"Overzealous employees" of Mrs. Jacqueline Kennedy and Sen. Robert F. Kennedy stood accused today of blowing up the controversy over William Manchester's book "The Death of a President."

"Had the Kennedys read the manuscript last spring, I think we would have been spared much," Manchester declared in a television interview.

"The difficulties arose on the lower levels when there were employees who knew that they would be answerable to the Kennedys and who were understandably overzealous in their suggestions."

Praising Sen. Kennedy for his "strong sense of family loyalty," the author declared that considerations of Kennedy's political future originally had played no part in suggested revision of the book.

## POLITICAL CHANGES

"Afterward, there came two waves of changes—the suggestion that 111 passages be deleted, these were clearly political," Manchester said.

"They were not made by the senator, who has not read the manuscript, but by one of his representatives. These I resisted."

Manchester said he had been told that Mrs. Kennedy had read the manuscript "within hours literally" of a trial of her Supreme Court suit to block serialization by Look Magazine and hard-cover publication by Harper & Row, Inc.

"Mrs. Kennedy did sit up until 5:30 in the morning reading the manuscript with what a person who was present said 'growing interest and fascination,'" Manchester stated.

"And the number of changes she suggested were very small. All were understandable and they constituted less than 1 per cent of the manuscript."

## HASN'T SEEN LETTER

Manchester, interviewed on

am very sympathetic to President Johnson, who I believe behaved admirably at a time when the rest of us were just barely able to function."

## COULD BE WRONG

Manchester also said it was possible that eyewitnesses could be mistaken in telling him that no aides to President Kennedy were present at Johnson's swearing-in in Dallas after President Kennedy's assassination. He said it would be "presumptuous for a contemporary historian . . . to claim that he bats a thousand."

He also said Marina Oswald, wife of the alleged assassin Lee Harvey Oswald, gave testimony "full of contradictions" before the Warren Commission and "should have been questioned more sharply."

NBC television's "Meet the Press," said he had never seen a letter written by Evan Thomas, editor-in-chief of Harper & Row, stating the book "is in part gratuitously and tastelessly insulting to President Johnson."

The letter was addressed to John Seigenthaler, editor of the Nashville Tennessean, and Edwin Guthman, of the Los Angeles Times, two former government aides who had been asked by Kennedy to read the book.

"I will not believe it until I have seen the letter," said Manchester. He added his correspondence with Thomas did not indicate the editor held such a point of view, "so I am at a loss to explain it."

The author further maintained that "I was and still

Journal Tribune, New York, Monday, February 13, 1967

# Kennedy Aides . . .



United Press International Telephoto

**William Manchester gestures as he answers questions on a television interview in Washington**