## LONDON DEBATES WARREN REPORT

Critics Are Split on Issues Raised by 2 U.S. Books

## By ANTHONY LEWIS

Special . The New York Times

LONDON, Sept. 25 - The Warren Commission report on the assassination of President Kennedy is undergoing another round of scrutiny in Britain. Critics and defenders seem about equally divided.

The occasion for the revival of interest is the publication of book, the commission "was not the serious Sunday papers was the market in the United states—"Inquest" by Edward ternally it appeared to be."

States—"Inquest" by Edward ternally it appeared to be."

On the other of the control of t ment" by Mark Lane.

Justice Earl Warren did a hasty, inadequate job has won more support than any conspiracy more fanciful ones of Mr. Lane.

Mr. Epstein, a Harvard docat Cornell, Mr. Lane is a lawyer well blyman from New York City.

sion to reopen its inquiry and bility, with no trace of affirmadeal with the various points of tive evidence to support it. criticism raised. The comment Prof. Arthur I. Goodhart, was in an editorial for tomor-another eminent lawyer, writrow's editions.

markable job of work in dif-pecially Mr. Lane's, ficult circumstances and ex- He recalled that treme pressure," said.

the commission headed by Chief not sustained his intimated the authorities investigating charge that the commission had the assassination were unduly "brought itself to shirk the committed to the view that truth because of its own fear Oswald had committed the slay-

## Evidence Held Lacking

toral candidate, undertook his commission that Lee Harvey less body." study of the Warren Commis-Oswald had fired at the Presision report as a master's thesis dent but thought there might have been a. and former Democratic Assem-assassin. Lord Devlin thought Guardian, also was critical of yman from New York City.
Tonight The Times of London times left the possibility open, it had "signally failed" to ascalled on the Warren Commis-but he saw it as only a possi-

as in an editorial for tomor-ow's editions.

"All things considered the arren Commission did a re-

He recalled that Mr. Lane's satisfying or conclusive." The Times own testimony before the com-

Mr. Epstein's complaint that

On the other hand, Lord that he was now convinces that

Devlin said Mr. Epstein had the outboiling in the said in the sa theories—either his or the of the political consequences." ing alone. He called for another investigation by "some com-Mr. Epstein agreed with the pletely unprejudiced and fear-

> Alistair Cooke, the long-time second American correspondent of The certain the truth, and that "this President or the next should convene another commission."

Warren Commission did a re- Epstein books as worthless, es- Economist. Without it, he said, markable job of work in dif- pecially Mr. Lane's.

Bernard Levin, an often acimission was evasive and devoid dulous columnist in The Daily However, it continued, "it is of direct relevance. He de-Mail, found both Mr. Ep tein now clear" that the commission scribed as "utter nonsense" a and Mr. Lane "shifty" in heir here. "did cut some corners."

Lord Devlip, one of the most respected legal figures in England, said in The Observer to-day that, in light of the Epstein respected legal figures in England.