se minerals oblems are re the reis to the at they are which will ay for the ed immediand local ys can be th that is

has embarthe Soviet issians for his action the years concerned e on the n light of entives to s and doons. Over reduce exmost effiost to the ion third ping may nion.

ation will

ustry will customers vior than

istain the ext fall.

CIVES

George racterisday as

appable the virhe rep-

at the Capitol. ome to : apparge Falntrol of t is not embers

ouilder. labors of our

go that to the ding, a æ said. ments Were

ere. It at we

began to build our magnificent Inter- the world in about five minutes. This fact state Highway System, funded by the highway trust fund. The work which flowed from the Fallon Act has touched the lives of all Americans, making it possible to drive quickly between our cities and to carry the finished goods and raw materials which are the lifeblood of our economy.

George Fallon's bill built roads and it provided jobs. And when the 1958 recession hit, George Fallon said: "I know of no better way to stimulate the economy and provide hundreds of thousands of jobs than by increasing our investment in highway construction."

George Fallon was a man who believed that America was a nation of builders, not a nation of doubters and nay-sayers.

He was right about that and his accomplishments stand before us today as proof that the United States is a nation capable of solving problems, of building a better life for the American people.

THE FUTURE OF HUMAN RIGHTS ...

HON. TOM HARKIN

OF IOWA .

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, April 2, 1980

 Mr. HARKIN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to commend to my colleagues in the Congress the words of a great American, Allard K. Lowenstein, who died tragically last month. In the following speech, given in March 1978 at Columbia University, Al discusses the role of human rights considerations in shaping American foreign policy. In his own work, Allard Lowenstein furthered the cause of suffering people around the world. It is my hope that we can build on his vision in the pursuit of human rights, social justice, and peace on Earth.

The speech follows:

THE FUTURE OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY

(Allard K. Lowenstein 1).

Professor Friedmann was a hero to many who know not only the circumstances of his death but also the qualities of his life. We ought to try to commemorate him in the way we live, and words on an occasion like this ought to be measured by whether they tend to encourage or to substitute for attitudes and patterns of activity that further the influence of those qualities . . . which may be a good way to begin these observations, because so many people are frustrated about the inadequacy of words in trying to deal with problems of human suffering. But despite that understandable and valid frustration, much of what can be achieved has to start with words.

We live in a world where technology has limited what we can do. This is a peculiar paradox, because it has also taken away many limits to what we can do-which is to say that for the first time since Cain killed Abel, we can wipe out half the population of

These extracts were taken from a talk given on March 23, 1978 that was cosponsored by the Program of General Education and the Friedmann Conference.

somewhat transforms what war is about. It limits what can be done by violence, even if you do not subscribe to pacifism as a philos ophy...

Once it is perceived and accepted that war has become an overwhelmingly brutal and potentially terminal tactic, the intertwining of necessities becomes almost tantalizingly contradictory. We need peace, justice, opportunity, and the decrease of suffering. But how do you obtain the second, third, and fourth of those items without violence in, say, South Africa? ...

So there is the paradox. How can we have human rights without peace?

How can we have peace without human rights? How do we achieve both under the intense pressure of time resulting from technological breakthroughs? One must start with talk, and that fact must add to one's awe about the extraordinary foresight of Eleanor Roosevelt in her work after the Second World War. If it had not been for her driving commitment, stature, and patience, there could not have been developed a document accepted by the whole world as a common standard of human rights-however differing the interpretations and flagrant the hypocrisies. . . These documents have given human rights international legal status, status as a proper topic for concern by governments about each other's behavior. . . . We can't enforce our view of these rights on other countries. But we can persist in placing the topic of human suffering on the agenda of governments in a way that affects the obligations of governments as spelled out in these documents. In fact every government except South Africa proclaims its adherence to the human rights principles of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter, and even South Africa is trying to make noises in that direction... Governments thus can be perceived not only as the source of a great deal of suffering, but also as the logical vehicles to decreased suffering. And that paradox lends power to the demands of conscience expressed by private citizens and builds pressures that cross national borders. . . .

Perhaps the greatest contribution of President Carter and Ambassador Young to human rights during their first year in office is that the problem of human suffering has been transformed from a kind of dogooders' inside joke to a major item on everybody's diplomatic agenda. And this transformation has in turn affected the atmosphere within many countries with repressive governments. It should make Americans feel good about their country to realize that our government has become a purveyor of hope in many places where not too long ago it was seen as a purveyor of quite a different sort. . . .

Our concern about human rights is what must be consistent and universal, not our tactics or our impact. We should do whatever we can to decrease the suffering of people wherever they live, whatever the source of suffering, understanding that there are limits to what we can do and that there are other requirements in shaping national policy....

But much can be achieved even within these limits when the government of a major power decides to make a priority of human rights concerns. Simply sending Andrew Young as our representative to the United Nations was a great contribution, be-cause Andrew Young's compassion, experience, and intuitive genius in dealing with people of greatly varied backgrounds and points of view have helped arrest a kind of rigor mortis that had overtaken so much of international discourse for so many years. Because of Andy Young's personal impact.

people are talking—and listening—who ! not done much of either for a long t That is a blessing for our country, and people trapped too long in various kind adversity around the world.

Robert Kennedy used to say that future might lie beyond our vision, by was not beyond our control, and that work of our hands matched to reason principle could help shape that future. I want a future shaped by the spirit thai commemorate on this occasion, we better revive and organize our energie work for the values that have moved University to greatness over the centuri

FRIENDS OF THE BALTIMO AGUDATH ISRAEL BOYS OR NIZATION

HON, CLARENCE D. LONG

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, April 2, 1980

 Mr. LONG of Maryland, Mr. Spe er, today 41 members of the Baltim branch of Agudath Israel organ tion, accompanied by Mr. Aaron Pre are visiting the Capitol. My frie. from Baltimore are students in first through sixth grades. They tour the House and Senate Chamt and will learn about the legislat process.

I welcome the boys from Agud Israel and am certain that their a here will be memorable and edu tional.

The boys are: -

David Hoffman, Moshe Bamberger, Ch och Bamberger, Avrohom Kuritsky, Ch Siegel, Yehoshue Ottensoser, Chaim Le David Levin, Avrohom Shor, Shmuel Gr man, Mordechai Steinharter, Yehuda St harter, Avrohom Shnidman, Nosson La vits, Israel Labovits, Meir Raskas, Dor Fishkind, Ari Fishkind, Yehuda Fishk Dov Schechter, Yaakov- Kestenba Shmuel Kestenbaum, Gary Macklin, ? Rosen, Eliyahu Rosen, Pesachya Ska Robert Mehler, Levi Friedman, Avrol Miller, Louis Vasquez, Boruch Weinb Shraga Berlin, Hillel Drazin, Michoel E mann, Yitzchok Eisenberg, Aharon Le Yeruham Prero, Asher Prero, Shaul Pr Tani Sperling, and Shmuel Sperling.

WHAT IS THE BASIC CAUSE C INFLATION?

HON. WILLIAM E. DANNEMEYE

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Wednesday, April 2, 1980

 Mr. DANNEMEYER. Mr. Speak at other times I have risen to disc the causes of inflation here in : House. At this time I feel that it wo be appropriate to identify just w has the responsibility for this in tion, how it affects the working peo of this Nation, and how this inflat can be curbed.

It is important to ask who is resp sible for these horrendous deficits the past 5 fiscal years. The simple it futable truth is that the Democr have overwhelmingly controlled Congress since World War II and