

1 September 1966

Mr. James Aronson  
Editor  
The National Guardian  
197 East 4th Street  
New York, N.Y. 10009

Dear Mr. Aronson,

To correct the record; I believe that you are mistaken in saying that there have been no news stories based on the Lane book and that reviews have been relegated to an inconspicuous and literary treatment.

Because of my interest in the Warren Report I have been using a press clipping service for the last several months. I can tell you that I have a thick envelope of news stories, including a UPI story widely published, reporting the forthcoming publication of Rush to Judgment; also, many news story on the documentary film of the same name; considerable coverage of the Oxford Theater Group plan to stage a documentary drama on Oswald, with Lane's participation; and numerous major book reviews, filling prominent space, most of which are favorable to the book. Indeed, at least two reviewers made a point of stating that they had considered Lane a charlatan (one said he had hoped Lane would crawl back under the rock from which he came) but that he had written an impressive, sober, and troubling study of the Warren Report, and that "he should have been listened to in the first place."

Although the press at large has played a sorry role with respect to the Warren Report, and is largely to blame for the aura of sanctity which until recently surrounded it, Lane's book, film, and other enterprises have received surprisingly wide and generally favorable coverage.

Since you qualified your statement about the lack of news stories, I thought this information would be of interest to you.

Yours sincerely,

Sylvia Meagher  
302 West 12 Street  
New York, N.Y. 10014

(Not submitted  
for publication)