that necessica she is us of Hamparks containing "Hisisipp: dudapy" by hust necessical she is no want - test it boat trough though sugar on it amon

Sunday after my call to go

Sylvia Jear ._

Mere is the article you wanted. I decided do land you 2 copies luce I had made leveral at the time, in preparafrom for the panoply on the "peripheral crimes", threats, etc. If elems strangely prophetic the caption, at least - and I how regret, all the more, that I never followed up my intention of having a meeting with hor. I had last year, called her _ as I believe I told you _ the was out of town and I spoke with her secretary who told the that the had a continuing and vidal interest in every facet of the case. One thing I especially remember being inplened by, at the dime (i.e. late October 1964) was the fact that the was hirlitantly and actively campaigning for the election of Rost Kennedy in the U.S. burderial con the election of Rost Kennedy in the U.S. burderial con that particularly in view of my feeling that the a political contervative and certainly an avowed Reput lican prior to her emergence in the case. "Ruark's deak may be connected. I have heard, however, that he was quite a heavy drinker and that that may have been a contributing factor. In the Hilgallen Admation, I would like to know it all is tree of enthicien (!) why then was complete lilence, after the autopsy was performed. Why was the public hever stold what the autopay revealed what the autopay revealed and how through that there wasn't a peop out of her and how through that there wasn't a peop out of her

They did kill a Communist who murdered the Metholent of the 1.9. 2 so why should the jury not be levient with him?!!! I dake Belli to task for being a charlatan of the first degree + a money-oriented, imprincipled, publicity-grathing egonamiac-but, curiously enough, his indictment of wallas , the police of everybody connected with the case in his book "ballar Justice" all about points the linger of plot conspiracy at every on of them. Incidently, have you read the current piece (November of them. issue) in the "Unority of One" called "What Ruby Did Not Tell" by Thomas C. Fiddick? He makes that very point. This Kaplan individual, on the other hand, countie do me as thoug he had been hired by some one and he haver even questions Ruby's motives or involvement. It goes without Jaying, therefore, that he how read neither the Aft 8. nor the WRO. The gall of shee people turning out books! I have lent you a book I came across in varis, in I believe I've abready mentioned it to you - it's called "Proces be Pallas "by Frédéric Pottecher. Jou should be receiving it shortly. After you've read it, if you don't hind, you might call Laurage & tell him about it - as, perhaps, he'd be inderested in borrowing your copy. - We give that sot I think interesting in its way.

Enestion about the witness you spoke of who saw the men Egaskering behind the wall! That's absolutely electrifying of Can't imagine why he hasn't phoned to tell me— who have have wit having a pipe-dream!

that close for the moment. I'll be looking forward to the hext wind dall from you; everything you tay, tend is of tremendous values interest. Carry on, teep will - god block-tonday, Maggie