

TO THE EDITOR:

In his review, Mr. Graham lumps my book, "The Unanswered Questions About President Kennedy's Assassination," with several other works by Bertrand Russell, Hugh Trevor-Roper etc. as constituting "the first round of books and articles that appeared soon after the assassination." Mr. Graham adds that these books and articles "tended to be inaccurate and improbable in their conclusions and were largely discredited."

My book appeared in October, 1965, almost two years after the assassination, so I am afraid that it does not qualify for the association with the other works to which Mr. Graham refers, some of which appeared even before the Warren Report was published.

Furthermore, I find it difficult to understand Mr. Graham's sweeping statements. Some of these books and articles are in fact discredited. Others—mine included—are neither inaccurate nor are they discredited . . .

The fact is that most of the conclusions contained in my book are identical with those contained in the four or five books that have been published in recent months . . . .

SYLVAN FOX.

New York City.

TO THE EDITOR:

THE review of two books on the Warren Commission by Fred Graham (Aug. 28) contained a notable error. Mr. Graham wrote: "One of the

earliest and most perceptive critics of the Warren Commission, Paul L. Freese of the California Bar, remarked in the Columbia Law Review that the Commission was vulnerable because its real task 'was not to find the truth but to appear to have found the truth.'"

Mr. Freese's remarks and the above quote, however, appeared in the New York University Law Review, Vol. 40, page 459 (May, 1965) not in the Columbia Law Review.

MICHAEL J. MANGAN.

New York City.

TO THE EDITOR:

I share with Mr. Graham a warm admiration for the job done by Mr. Warren as Chief Justice, but I do not see how anyone who has read Mark Lane's book "Rush to Judgment" carefully and with anything but a completely committed point of view can gloss over the page after page of fully documented evidence indicating that only a prior conclusion that Oswald was the lone assassin kept the Commission from coming to an opposite conclusion. Mr. Graham does very much the same thing as the Warren Commission in belittling inexplicable evidence and ignoring completely other indications that the Commission could not fairly come to its published conclusion even on the basis of the testimony in its 26 volumes resulting from the hearings . . .

PATRICIA MOSHER

Elmhurst, N. Y.

N.Y. TIMES BOOK REVIEW

SEPTEMBER 25, 1966

(55:1,2)