

2537 Regent St., Apt. 202
Berkeley, Calif. 94704
September 13, 1968

Mr. James T. Devine
Assistant to the Deputy Attorney General
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Devine:

Thank you very much for your letter of September 11, 1968, concerning my previous request for access to a certain copy of the pamphlet "The Crime Against Cuba."

I believe that your examination of the record copies of this pamphlet in the files of your department has provided you with all the information I am interested in. However, I must ask for clarification of three relatively minor points in your letter:

1) You indicated that the pamphlet obtained by SA John L. Quigley from Lee Harvey Oswald on or about August 10, 1963, or a copy thereof, is presently in the files of the Department of Justice. Am I correct in assuming that your position is that, since it is in the "investigative files," it is exempt from public disclosure under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b)?

2) You wrote that the Quigley copy of the pamphlet bears no markings (other than those needed for filing purposes) by which it can be distinguished from copies transmitted to the Warren Commission. However, some of the copies submitted to the Warren Commission by the Federal Bureau of Investigation can be distinguished from others. Specifically, of the twenty copies of the pamphlet which form FBI Exhibits 99 and 303, nine copies have nothing added to page 39 and the other eleven bear the following rubber-stamped impression:

F P C C
544 CAMP ST.
NEW ORLEANS, LA.

I am confident that your letter was not meant to be evasive on this point. Since this is of some interest to me, I am specifically asking you to advise me exactly what stamped impression, if any, appears on page 39 (or on any other page) of the copy of the pamphlet obtained by SA Quigley. (Of course, a copy of the relevant page, with certification, as I originally requested, would be just as satisfactory.)

3) Your letter states that "the record copies in this Department are identical to those submitted to the Warren Commission." Was this intended to mean that the Quigley pamphlet, or a copy thereof, was in fact transmitted to the Warren Commission?

Thank you again for your assistance. I hope to hear from you, at least on point (2), quite soon.

Sincerely yours,

Paul L. Hoch
Paul L. Hoch