In Als "sorvary 27 letter, Hover wrote, "For your information, Ruby was contacted by an Agent of the Dallas Office on March 11, 1959, in view of his position as a night club operator who might have knowledge of the criminal element in Dallas. He was advised of the Eureau's jurisdiction in criminal matters, and he expressed a willingness to furnish information along these lines. He was subsequently contacted on eight occasions between March 11, 1959, with him were discontinued. Ruby was never paid any money, and he was never at any time an informant of this Eureau."	obtained through observation and interview." In CD 732, the identical description was obtained through observation and interview." In CD 732, the identical description is prefaced, somewhat more informatively, as follows: "Jack L. Ruby was contacted by Special Agent Charles W. Flynn on March 11, 1959, at which time the following this interview. No additional information was furnished by Ruby." This description of Jack L. Ruby was obtained through observation of the Agent and more studies in feel informant. The above-cited letters from Rankin raise no questions about this what follows is the information presented, apparently without prodding, by Hoover.	details on the ten items in CD 4, but no new items acucuments), provided more in the 26 volumes (CE's 1760, 1761, 1693, 1764). As can be seen there, the attachments dover provided to CD 732 are not original reports, but paraphrases. As he put it in his letter, "these copies are verbatim copies of the original source material with the exception of those instances wherein it was necessary to conceal the identity of a confidential source As the items basically contain information pertaining to other unrelated investigative matters much of President's Commission continue to maintain this information in the same confidence that it was initially furnished." This seems like a rather odd procedure and request. (Incidentally, no part of CD 732 is now withheld.) When of the ten items are of no particular interest to me. The exception	· · ·	JACK RUBY WAS NOT EITHER AN Synopsis: Jack Ruby was co provided no information, wa informant. The FEI was les Commission with details and 1965. This memo summarizes * * * *	
	To fill out the page, here is an excerpt from the nonexistent transcript of a session which the Commission did not hold on June 31, 1954; Mr. HOCVER, Ruby was never paid any money, and he was never, st any time, an informant of this Eureau. The COMMISSION (in unison). What, never? Mr. HOCVER, No, never? The STAFF (in unison). What, never? Mr. HOCVER, Well, hardly ever.	Faul L. Hon danuary 8, Was initiated when Harold Weisberg sent me a copy of Hoover's letter to Rankin of Pebruary 27, 1964, which was discovered in the Archives by (I think) Sary Schoener and/or Hal Verb.)	these contacts. Ruby was never paid any money and he was never, at any time, an informant of this Bureau." Some obvious questions present themselves. Why, specifically, was Ruby contacted at that time? (One possible clue is that the "description" of Ruby obtained on the first visit includes that fact that duby was an associate of lames Robert Todd, described as a "known Dallas area criminal.") is it customer information whatever, why was he repeatedly contacted? Even if he was not paid in cash, did huby at that time have any reason to seek other forms of compensation? Hight there be any significance to the fact that the FHI's contacts with Ruby bracketed his September, 1959, trip to Cube (WR 370, 602)? It is unformation, why did not give the Commission photocopies of the useful information, why did the Commission show no great interest - or have I just missed something? (I am not aware of any subsequent interest by the Archives files or made a thorough check of the 26 volumes.)	Rankin's letter of March 3 did not ask for any substantial clarification of this rather startling revelation. Hower's letter of April 7 repeated in essence the above-quoted paragraph, with some expansion: "He was subsequently contacted by an Agent on April 28 June 5 and 13, July 7 and 21, August 6 and 31, and October 2, 1959 These contacts were recorded only by date along with notations indicating Ruby had not furnished any information. There is no information recorded that was furnished by why in consention.	