

Date: 11/6/64

Transmit the following in _____ (Type in plain text or code)

Via AIRTEL _____ (Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (105-82555)
ATTENTION: CRIMINAL SECTION

FROM: SAC, DALLAS (100-10461)

RE: LEE HARVEY OSWALD, aka
IS - R - CUBA

Re Bureau airtel to Dallas dated 10/20/64 in regard to EUGENE P. ALDREDGE and CARL WREGLID pertaining to an alleged "bullet" scar in the sidewalk on Elm Street, Dallas, Texas, at the scene of the assassination of President KENNEDY.

On 11/5/64, EUGENE P. ALDREDGE, 9304 Lenel, Dallas, Texas, was interviewed at his home where he is confined due to illness. ALDREDGE minutely described the location of the "bullet" mark on Elm Street, which he has previously telephonically described to the Dallas Office on 9/29/64. It is noted that this is the same mark referred to in Dallas airtel to the Bureau-dated 10/16/64.

ALDREDGE stated that he does not agree with the findings of the Warren Commission and stated that the whole investigation was a "cover up" for the Soviets. He stated that he had originally noticed the "bullet" mark in the sidewalk earlier in 1964, when he was showing a visiting relative the scene of the assassination. He stated that upon reading the findings of the Warren Commission, he called the local FBI Office in Dallas to bring this matter to the attention of the FBI. This telephone call was on 9/29/64.

③ - Bureau
2 - Dallas

RJB/jtf
(5)

105-112

REC 8

105-82555-5246

10 NOV 9 1964

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

79 NOV 13 1964

SECTION

100-10461-100

Handwritten initials

Handwritten initials

DL 100-10461

ALDREDGE stated that several days after he had called the Dallas Office of the FBI, he had revisited the scene of the assassination and noted that the "bullet" hole had been patched with some sort of a plastic-type material. He described the patch work as a "sloppy" job as only the hole was covered up and not the whole area.

ALDREDGE stated that it is his opinion that the hole was covered in order "to protect the Soviet". He stated that he had only mentioned the "bullet" scar to CARL FREUND, a local newspaper reporter, and to the FBI Agent he spoke to over the telephone. ALDREDGE inferred that he suspects that the Soviets have infiltrated the FBI and that he hole was patched up by the FBI in order "to protect the Soviets" and hide the scar.

ALDREDGE was politely, but firmly, advised that his supposition in the latter regard is wrong and absurd.

The scene of the assassination was rechecked on 11/5/64 and the alleged "bullet" hole was again checked and it was noted that there is now some sort of foreign material partially covering this nick in the sidewalk. Scrapings were taken and are being sent to the FBI Laboratory by separate communication for examination purposes and possible identification.

It is noted that an inspection of this same mark on 9/30/64 did not disclose such a filling as of that date. (Dallas airtel to Bureau dated 10/16/64.)

ALDREDGE was not taken to the scene to point out the "bullet" mark due to his health condition and the fact that he has apparently already made up his mind in this matter.

DL 100-10461

CARL FREUND, reporter, "The Dallas Morning News", Dallas, Texas, was interviewed on 11/5/64 at which time he advised that he recalls a telephone call from ALDREDGE in regard to a "bullet" mark on the sidewalk of Elm Street near the scene of the assassination. FREUND stated that ALDREDGE sounded to him like a "mental case" and he just listened to him and agreed with him in order to get him off the phone.

FREUND stated that while some reporters will hang up on such type a caller as ALDREDGE, he normally just listens to such a person, agrees with him, and thus finds it much easier to get them off the line.

FREUND stated that he recalls that a Deputy Sheriff on the day of the assassination pointed out to him a suspected bullet hole on the sidewalk of Elm Street as some bystander at the assassination mentioned that he thought he had been hit near the eye by a piece of something, possibly cement.

FREUND stated that he believes that the Warren Commission report was most thorough and correct in its findings. He noted that there will always be some people who will have their own personal opinions regarding the assassination and no one will be able to change their minds.

Detailed results of instant investigation will be incorporated in an appropriate insert and reported.