Yesterday I received 141 pp, as reported in the enclosed FBI letter and my response, copy to Shea. Thu'll see the unjustified and unnecessary b2 and 7D claims to withhold the phoney "informant" designation of the authorized tapping and the unauthorized bugging. The bug appears to have been a minerature radio transmitter than picked up interference. There is also an unjustified b1 claim and rather than withholding all FBI names, here they have given me even the signatures. As the enclosed sample, for another purpose, shows. I am sure that as goo as you inform estalfe they'll again withhold the SA names. Of course there is no appearant purpose in the withholding of the file identification, often extending to even the social numbers. Certainly no need, no secrecy problem. The b1 claim can't be applicable to this because there was no national security involved. (Maybe you'd like to josh Metcalfe about those other non-conspitators?

With these kinds of withholdings the FRI is going out of its way to create and perpetuate problems. Same on some of the privacy. I've put some of what they now withhold in court records, after their earlier disclosure, in more detail than isnpossible in the spade represented by the blackouts. That they withhold now certainly is privacy stuff, the reason I used it in other cases. But because it is already disclosed the new disclosure would not be a privacy violation and would be a cause for confusion in the future.

What I got includes no summaries. I suppose they'll come later. 141 pages is hardly a week's work, with so little in them and so little to be considered for withholding. It is not even a day's work for one, rather than a week's work for two.

The two typed pages, from different sections, may interest Buff and Mark. Marina's desire to let Ruth Paine "go to the devil" is provocative. I known of no disclosed information reflecting any basis for this.

I'm about to leave and take the mail out so I will be sending you as the next mailing a copy of an WD 297 form, the form for the surveillance logs. That one has one particular call marked as I received it, a male called and one word, "Hotties." Provocative. Please also note that it was declassified 12/18/80 but not mailedantil 3/12/84, or what I said and mateclase denied about when what could be sent. Others are declassified 10 days earlier.

On other such pages the entry after Log, not filled in in this case, is withheld as both b2 and 70.

Please call these matters to Metcalfo's attention so they can be corrected. Also, he should know that the fact of all three surveillances is disclosed in the past. The bugging was not authorized and the tapping was not natuonal ecurity. Hoover wanted to do it so he persuaded the Commission that it should be done and then told the AG that the Commission asked for it. It was all so entirely unproductive that it was soon abandoned.