

SmartZone Communications Center Collaboration Suite

jerrycatchall@comcast.net

Re: Gerald Posner

Monday, October 19, 2009 3:43:23 PM

From: feinmanr@aol.com

To: jlesar@mindspring.com; jimmydie@ca.rr.com; milicranor@aol.com

Cc: garaguiar@gmail.com; ddesalles@gmail.com; jpolicoff@comcast.net; grschoener@aol.com; rexbradford@gmail.com; morleyj@gmail.com; bbrody@mindspring.com; dalcorn@erols.com; david.wrone@uwsp.edu; jerrycatchall@comcast.net; gum226@sbcglobal.net; radrobertson@yahoo.com; peterdalescott@aol.com; wechtben@duq.edu

This is just a rehash of what Posner had to say when "Case Closed" was published, which coincided with the initial release of HSCA files in response to the JFK Records act: There's nothing in the files that he doesn't already know, or so he claims.

Shane is clearly aware of the traditional NYT line on the case, since he tries to portray the lawsuit as some sort of quixotic, almost humorous quest. A squib on the front page of the print edition was headlined "Food for Conspiracy Theorists." (Dig back into the NYT archives to find out what their "language expert," the late Bill Safire, had to say about the term "conspiracy theorist." It might surprise you.) Jeff Morley is now described as "entranced" by the Joannides story. Take a look at the synonyms for this term in your desk thesaurus.

So, I mean, like, why isn't the New York Times "entranced" by the assassination of an American president within our lifetimes?

Fuck them. Fuck them deeply.

-roger-

-----Original Message-----

From: jlesar@mindspring.com <jlesar@mindspring.com>

To: James DiEugenio <jimmydie@ca.rr.com>; milicranor@aol.com

Cc: garaguiar@gmail.com; ddesalles@gmail.com; jpolicoff@comcast.net; feinmanr@aol.com; grschoener@aol.com; rexbradford@gmail.com; morleyj@gmail.com; bbrody@mindspring.com; dalcorn@erols.com; david.wrone@uwsp.edu; jerrycatchall@comcast.net; gum226@sbcglobal.net; radrobertson@yahoo.com; bbrody@mindspring.com; peterdalescott@aol.com; wechtben@duq.edu

Sent: Sat, Oct 17, 2009 8:42 pm

Subject: Re: Gerald Posner

The reason Posner knows is obvious. If the C.I.A. had been involved with the Mafia to kill Castro, there would be no documents, right? Q.E.D.

This might be a good time for some of you to make this point to the NYT. Also, is Posner not aware of Dino Brugioni's analysis of the Zapruder film for the CIA?

JIM

----- Original Message -----

From: James DiEugenio

To: milicranor@aol.com

Cc: garaguiar@gmail.com; ddesalles@gmail.com; jpolicoff@comcast.net; feinmanr@aol.com; grschoener@aol.com; rexbradford@gmail.com; jlesar@mindspring.com; morleyj@gmail.com

Sent: 10/17/2009 7:29:31 PM

Subject: Re: Gerald Posner

Good question.

On Oct 17, 2009, at 1:03 PM, milicranor@aol.com wrote:

<http://www.nytimes.com/2009/10/17/us/17inquire.html?th&emc=th>

Mr. Posner, the anti-conspiracy author, said that if there really were something explosive involving the C.I.A. and President Kennedy, it would not be in the files — not even in the documents the C.I.A. has fought to keep secret.

“Most conspiracy theorists don’t understand this,” Mr. Posner said. “But if there really were a C.I.A. plot, no documents would exist.”

And he knows this . . . how?